

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY IN SIR ARTHUR CONAN DOYLE'S
'THE HOUND OF THE BASKERVILLES' AND
AGATHA CHRISTIE'S 'THE MYSTERIOUS AFFAIR AT STYLES'**

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of Bachelor of Arts
degree in English Literature
by

Madev Raj S (38010009)



**DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES**

SATHYABAMA

**INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
(DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)**

Accredited with Grade "A" by NAAC

JEPPIAAR NAGAR, RAJIV GANDHI SALAI, CHENNAI - 600 119

April - 2021



SATHYABAMA
INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
(DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)

Accredited with "A" grade by NAAC
Jeppiaar Nagar, Rajiv Gandhi Salai, Chennai – 600 119
www.sathyabama.ac.in



DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH

BONAFIDE CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that this Project Report is the bonafide work of **Madev Raj S (38010009)** who carried out the project entitled **A Comparative Study In Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's 'The Hound Of The Baskervilles' And Agatha Christie's 'The Mysterious Affair At Styles'** under my supervision from January 2021 to April 2021

DR.SOWMIYA L M M.A.,M.Phil.,Ph.D

Internal Guide

Submitted for Viva voce Examination held on 10th April 2021

Internal Examiner

External Examiner

DECLARATION

I **Madhav Raj S (38010009)**, hereby declare that the Project Report entitled **A Comparative Study In Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's 'The Hound Of The Baskervilles' And 'Agatha Christie's The Mysterious Affair At Styles'** done by me under the guidance of **Dr. Sowmiya L M, M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D**, Assistant Professor, Department of English, at Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Jeppiaar Nagar, Rajiv Gandhi Salai, Chennai 600119 is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Master of Arts degree in English Literature.

DATE :

PLACE:

Madhav Raj S (38010009)



SIGNATURE OF THE CANDIDATE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am pleased to acknowledge my sincere thanks to Board of Management of **SATHYABAMA INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY** for their kind encouragement in doing this project and for completing it successfully. I am grateful to them.

I convey my thanks to the **School of Science and Humanities** for providing me necessary support and details at the right time during the progressive reviews.

I would like to express my sincere and deep sense of gratitude to my Project Guide **Dr. Sowmiya L M, M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D, Assistant Professor, Department of English**, for her valuable guidance, suggestions and constant encouragement paved way for the successful completion of my project work.

I wish to express my thanks to all Teaching and Non-teaching staff members of the Department of English who were helpful in many ways for the completion of the project.

ABSTRACT

One of the prejudices regarding detective stories is that they are so famous since they are of no creative worth. However, as G. K. Chesterton puts it in his “A Defense of Detective Stories”, “it isn't true [. . .] that the populace prefer bad literature to good, and accept detective stories because they are bad literature” (Chesterton). The question of the artistic value of any work is disputable as art has no specific rules and definitions. nonetheless, those that claim that quality of a piece isn't necessary ought to understand that a narrative lives alone if it's the readership; and also the detective genre has the widest vary of readers, from standard workmen to distinguished scientists. From the massive list of detective fiction authors 2 names stand out: those of A. C. Doyle and Agatha Christie. This Study is dedicated to the comparison of two detective novels, namely “The Hound of the Baskervilles” by Sir Arthur Conan Doyle and “The Mysterious Affair at Styles” by Agatha Christie. Both of the novels are well known, and they have many similarities as well as differences. They are to be compared in the following aspects: author's background, historical and cultural aspect, women's position, social class and the style of writing.

Key points: Detective Fiction, Comparative Literature, Historical Background, Class.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Sl.No	Topic	Pages
1	Abstract	v
2	Chapter 1 - Introduction	1 - 18
3	Chapter 2	19 - 41
4	Chapter 3 - Conclusion	42 - 50
5	Works Cited	51 - 54

List of Abbreviations

HOTB – The Hound of the Baskervilles

MAAS – The Mysterious Affair at Styles

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

AUTHORS' MEMOIR:

Sir Arthur Ignatius Conan Doyle (1859-1930) started the time of an uncommon spread and prominence of detective fiction. He was brought into the world in Edinburgh in Scotland in a group of Roman Catholics. He was instructed in Jesuit schools, and later he utilized his companions and educators from Stonyhurst College as motivation for characters in his Holmes stories. While considering medication at Edinburgh University, he met Dr. Joseph Bell, one of his educators, whose deductive abilities filled in as a model for Doyle's most famous investigator, Sherlock Holmes. In 1884, Doyle wedded Louise Hawkins. Ineffective as a specialist, Doyle coordinated his aspirations towards writing however his first book was not acknowledged by any distributing house he went to. He concluded thusly to make something energizing and unique and began to write detective stories. His first huge work was *A Study in Scarlet* which showed up in Beeton's Christmas Annual for 1887 and included the principal appearance of Sherlock Holmes. *The Sign of Four* continued in 1889. It was a gigantic achievement, and Doyle started creating one story after another, distributed for the most part in the pages of the Strand Magazine. Sherlock Holmes and his partner Watson soon long turned into the world's most popular anecdotal pair of investigators. In any case, the monstrous beginning ubiquity affected Doyle, and his expanding disdain towards Holmes lead him to slaughter his character in the story named "The Final Problem", distributed in 1893. During the South African War (1899-1902) Doyle filled in as a doctor in a field emergency clinic, where he wrote *The Great Boer War* wherein he safeguarded the arrangement of his country. After the battle, in 1902, Doyle got back to England and was knighted. His monetary troubles finished in the restoration of Holmes who showed up first in Watson's diaries in *The Hound of the Baskerville* (1902) and later expressly, asserting that his passing had been recreated, in "The Adventure of the Empty House" (1904). Doyle never attempted to dispose of him again, and Holmes stayed a piece of

his life until Doyle's demise. Other than criminal investigator stories, Doyle composed a few science fiction books highlighting Professor Challenger, like *The Lost World* (1912) and *The Poison Belt* (1913), historical novels, for example *The White Company* (1891) and *Micah Clarke* (1888), and numerous other random works. Agatha Mary Clarissa Christie (1890-1976) was brought into the world in Torquay in England as the girl of Frederick Alvah Miller and Clarissa Miller. Christie was taught at home, where her mom urged her to write from an early age. At sixteen she was shipped off school in Paris where she considered singing and the piano. Her first marriage was to Colonel Archibald Christie, a pilot in the Royal Flying Corps, and finished in a separation fourteen years after the fact. The couple had one girl, Rosalind. During the First World War Christie worked at a medical clinic and afterward a drug store, a task that impacted extensively her artistic work as a large number of the homicides in her books are completed with poison. In 1930 she wedded a Roman Catholic, Sir Max Mallowan, a British excavator, whose work remembered a great deal of movements for which Christie partook and from which she draw motivation. In 1971 she was allowed the title of Dame Commander of the British Empire and after five years, at 85 years old, she died from characteristic causes in Wallingford in England and was covered at St. Mary's Churchyard in Cholsey. Christie's first novel, *A Mysterious Affair at Styles*, was distributed in 1920. This book presented her most well known detective, Hercule Poirot, and his partner, Captain Hastings. Different books followed and her profession moved gradually up until it blast in 1926 after the distribution of *The Murder of Roger Ackroyd*, a unique and much examined story where the killer is simply the storyteller. The way that Christie vanished for eleven days seven months after its distribution additionally helped the offer of her books, as certain pundits guarantee. She wrote more than 100 books, short story assortments and radio and theater plays. Other than Hercule Poirot, her another most popular investigator characters is Miss Jane Marple. There is an enormous number of less notable agents, like Harley Quinn, Parker Pyne, Tommy and Tuppence, Ariadne Oliver, and numerous others. Christie likewise composed five sentimental and psychological books which were distributed under the alias Mary Westmacott.

COMMONALITIES AND DISSIMILARITIES IN WRITING STYLE:

Detective fiction is something that acquired prominence similarly later than any remaining classifications in writing. There may be a few works that existed in notable time that could now be recognized as detective fiction, however the works that presented exclusively as this classification started with the works of Edgar Allan Poe and Wilkie Collins. Obviously, today when we talk about detective fiction crafted by Arthur Conan Doyle and Agatha Christie comes premier. Their accounts are those that has given an edge to this classification because of its fame. Being an ardent reader of Doyle and Christie, I might want to draw a correlation between the style utilized by Doyle in Sherlock arrangement and Christie in her secret spine chillers. The two of them utilize a particular example for their detective stories. Doyle generally builds up the story from a vulnerable and confounded customer's visit to 221B Baker road. All the records of the cases are described by Watson aside from two in which Sherlock Holmes himself is the storyteller. Christie's works in a manner appears to be totally unique from one another as the accounts and setting are differed and very novel. In any case, we can generally see that there is a fundamental recipe in her works. A homicide occurs and all the characters in the plot, generally individuals around the casualty have something to be associated, for certain mysteries that they keep down, which is all set up to absolutely befuddle the reader. Christie's books are about "who is the killer", while reading Sherlock a reader is more keen on knowing how Sherlock finds the guilty party. It is the strategy Sherlock utilizes to conclude current realities and to come to an obvious conclusion that readers anticipate to know. This can be seen just by speculation how every Sherlock reader sit tight for that part where Sherlock discloses current realities to Watson who shares the peruser's obliviousness. At the point when we arrive at similar significant point in Christie's work what our eyes look for is the name-the name of the killer. All the clarifications, rationale and thinking intrigues us just later. Christie has utilized different sorts of account procedures in various books. There has been a bunch of books where there is all-knowing portrayal, others with Hastings (Hercule Poirot's side-kick) as the storyteller, and even some in first-individual

account. She presented numerous analysts like Hercule Poirot, Miss Marple, Tommy and Tuppence and so forth, Poirot being the most well known. Then again, Doyle had just Sherlock as criminal investigator in his books and stories. Yet, he had begun another arrangement depicting another character 'Educator Challenger' which didn't become as mainstream as Sherlock arrangement. Both the scholars dealt with the standards of science, rationale and brain research. At the point when analyzed Sherlock stories appear to be more sensible as it's not as emotional as Christie's books. Be that as it may, the component of brain research is more investigated by Christie. Frequently her analyst has confidence in sitting in a seat and figure (utilizing the little dim cells as Poirot would like to say) to uncover the secret, as opposed to going around gathering hints. It is on the grounds that her criminal investigators work by breaking down the secret brain science as opposed to express activities. Sherlock is a functioning investigator who buckles down in discovering signs, wouldn't see any problems with conceiving a little dramatization or a demonstration of camouflage for it. The two of them have made legitimately believable stories, yet some place or other Sherlock ones are near life, since it depicts murder as well as apparently basic human issues. Christie's works are seriously captivating and exciting because of the elevated component of anticipation, however the demonstration of making up the plot-the intentional hiding and uncovering is some place obvious, causing us to feel that 'indeed, presently Agatha Christie has set up something here'- the cognizance that we are reading an anecdotal story is predominant in our mind. But it is irrefutable that she never neglects to engage her readers. While reading Sherlock we fail to remember Doyle and our entire consideration is on Sherlock, and some of the time we wind up feeling he is a genuine individual instead of an anecdotal character. Yet, in Christie some way or another, we feel it's she who is working, instead of Poirot or Marple-that is the reason she turned into the 'queen of crime' and not her characters. So, in a way Agatha Christie dominated her characters and Sherlock Holmes eclipsed his maker. Yet, the two different ways Doyle and Christie gifted their fans a variety of phenomenal detective stories to catch themselves in and appreciate for their entire life.

HISTORICAL EVENTS AND THEIR EFFECTS:

Although Christie and Doyle has many similarities in the way they write, they are from two different eras. Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's works falls under the Victorian age and Christie belongs to the Edwardian age. The Victorian time of writing generally matches with the years that Queen Victoria managed Great Britain and its Empire (1837-1901). During this time, Britain was changed from a prevalently provincial, rural society into a metropolitan, modern one. New advances like rail lines and the steam print machine joined Britons both actually and psychologically. Albeit now the time frame is famously known as a period of tidy, traditionalist virtues, the Victorians saw their reality as quickly evolving. Strict confidence was fragmenting into fervent and even skeptic convictions. The common laborers, ladies, and ethnic minorities were unsettling for the option to cast a ballot and rule themselves. Reformers battled for safe work environments, sterile changes, and widespread schooling. Victorian writing mirrors these qualities, discusses, and social concerns. Victorian writing contrasts from that of the eighteenth century and Romantic period most altogether since it was not focused on a trained professional or first class crowd; rather, on the grounds that the steam print machine made the creation of writings a lot less expensive and on the grounds that railways could disperse messages rapidly and effectively, the Victorian time frame was when new kinds engaged recently mass crowds. The logical and mechanical advances celebrated at the Great Exhibition of 1851 prompted another emergency in Victorian England: an emergency of confidence and uncertainty. During the previous piece of the nineteenth century, crafted by Charles Lyell and different geologists with their revelations of fossilized remaining parts of creatures never seen prompted banters among researchers about the roots of these animals. Discussions about the age of the earth for some raised doubt about the Genesis record of creation. In 1859, Charles Darwin distributed his *On the Origin of Species*. Lyell and Darwin were among numerous who added to logical hypotheses that some saw as opposing to set up strict convictions. These logical issues along with clear absence of worry for horrifying human conditions among the lower classes drove some to question the presence of a heavenly

being on the planet and others to scrutinize the estimation of Christianity. Writing by scholars, for example, Thomas Hardy and Matthew Arnold addresses the presence of strict confidence on the planet. Simultaneously, a conviction that Britain had an obligation to spread Christianity around the planet became one explanation, or to approximately a pardon, for British dominion. Clashes over Imperialism A longing to extend mechanical abundance and to approach reasonable crude materials prompted the British control of nations around the world. Albeit the United States and other European nations took an interest in this kind of colonialism, the British Empire was the biggest and richest of now is the ideal time. Alongside their longing for material addition, numerous British saw the extension of the British Empire as what Rudyard Kipling alluded to as "the white man's weight," the obligation of the British to bring their human progress and their lifestyle to what many thought about mediocre societies. The aftereffect of this sort of thinking was regularly the obliteration of nearby societies and the mistreatment of neighborhood populaces. Also, a strict energy to carry British religion to "rascalion" people groups brought about a flood of evangelists with the colonialists. A reaction of dissent against the idea of colonialism further isolated a British country previously partitioned by class, religion, instruction, and abundance. While numerous British residents earnestly wanted to impart their insight and convictions to less created countries, others found the development a helpful pardon to extend their country's, and their own, influence and riches. Clashes over Women's Rights "The Queen is generally restless to enroll each and every individual who can talk or write to join in checking this frantic, mischievous imprudence of 'Lady's Rights,' with all its chaperon repulsions, on which her poor weak sex is bowed, failing to remember each feeling of womanly inclination and propriety." Queen Victoria, 1870 - cited in Lytton Strachey's Queen Victoria) Unexpectedly, as found in this section from a letter written in the imperial third individual by Queen Victoria, even the Queen contradicted ladies' privileges. In any case, the Victorian Era saw headway in ladies' political rights. The Married Woman's Property Act of 1870 gave wedded ladies the option to claim property they procured or gained by legacy. The privileged societies

were, obviously, fundamentally worried about legacies. Prior to the entry of this demonstration, cash or property left to a wedded lady promptly had a place with her significant other. By the late nineteenth century, ladies reserved a few options to their youngsters and the option to leave their spouses due to actual maltreatment. Schooling for ladies additionally improved. The thought Mary Wollstonecraft communicated in her "A Vindication of the Rights of Woman" in 1792 continuously, over 100 years, turned into a reality. The main schools for the lower classes, young ladies or young men, were Sunday schools coordinated by chapels to train youngsters fundamental education just as strict exercises on the lone day they were not working all day. Not until the Education Act of 1870 were state funded schools altogether territories of the nation given by law. That being said, participation was not made obligatory for an additional ten years and afterward just for youngsters matured five to ten. A nineteenth century Sunday school. Young ladies from the lower classes were remembered for the main government funded schools; notwithstanding, young ladies from the privileged societies kept on getting their fundamental instruction principally in the home and in completing schools for youngsters. Cambridge University and Oxford University set up the main schools for ladies in the last 50% of the nineteenth century. Ladies were not permitted to go to the current schools for men and were not viewed as full individuals from the colleges until the twentieth century. Despite the fact that there was a functioning lady's testimonial development during the Victorian Era, ladies didn't get the option to cast a ballot until the twentieth century. The Edwardian era or Edwardian period in the United Kingdom is the time frame covering the rule of King Edward VII, 1901 to 1910. The demise of Queen Victoria in January 1901 and the progression of her child Edward denoted the finish of the Victorian period. While Victoria had disregarded society, Edward was the head of an in vogue first class that set a style affected by the workmanship and designs of Continental Europe—maybe due to the King's affection for movement. The period was set apart by huge movements in legislative issues as areas of society that had been generally prohibited from using power previously, like basic workers and ladies, turned out to be progressively politicized. The Edwardian

time frame is in some cases stretched out past Edward's demise in 1910 to incorporate the years up to the sinking of the RMS Titanic in 1912, the beginning of World War I in 1914, the finish of threats with Germany in 1918, or the marking of the Treaty of Versailles in 1919. The Edwardian time was a period during which the British class system was exceptionally inflexible. Financial and social changes established a climate in which there was more friendly portability. Such changes included rising interest in socialism, regard for the situation of poor people and the situation with ladies, including the issue of women's testimonial, along with expanded financial freedoms because of fast industrialisation. These progressions were to be rushed in the result of World War I. The upper classes embraced leisure sports, which brought about quick advancements in fashion, as more portable and flexible clothing styles were required. During the Edwardian time, ladies wore a very tight corset, or bodice, and wearing long skirts. The Edwardian period was the last time ladies wore corsets in regular basis. Agreeing to Arthur Marwick, the most striking difference in all the advancements that happened during the Great War was the alteration in ladies' dress, "for, anyway far government officials were to returned the checks to different steeples in the years after the war, nobody at any point set the lost crawls back on the trims of ladies' skirts". The time frame included numerous advancements. Mainland Europeans such as Max Planck and Albert Einstein were creating a portion of their most noteworthy work. The first Nobel prizes were granted, and Ernest Rutherford published his book on radioactivity. The primary transoceanic remote signs were sent by Guglielmo Marconi, and the Wright brothers flew interestingly. Before the finish of the era, Louis Blériot had crossed the English Channel by air, the biggest boat in the world, RMS Olympic, had cruised on its launch, autos were normal, and the South Pole was went after the first run through by Roald Amundsen's and then Robert Falcon Scott's groups. In the early long stretches of the time frame, the Second Boer War in South Africa split Britain into hostile to and supportive of war groups. Extraordinary speakers, like the Liberal David Lloyd George, who criticized the war, turned out to be progressively compelling albeit supportive of war government officials,

such as Unionist Joseph Chamberlain, held force. The Unionists proposed Tariff Reform (a structure of protectionism) to make the British Empire a financial unit; the Liberals guaranteed this would make food dearer, and, in the general appointment of 1906, the Liberals won an avalanche. The Liberal government couldn't continue with the entirety of its extreme program without the help of the House of Lords, which was to a great extent Conservative. Strife between the two Houses of Parliament over Lloyd George's 1909 People's Budget eventually brought about a decrease in the force of the friends in the Parliament Act 1911. The general political race in January 1910 returned a "hung parliament" with the overall influence held by Labour and Irish Nationalist members. The Edwardian time frame is now and again envisioned as a sentimental brilliant period of long summer evenings and nursery parties, relaxing in a sun that never sets on the British Empire. This discernment was made during the 1920s and later by the individuals who recalled the Edwardian age with sentimentality, thinking back to their childhoods across the chasm of the Great War. The Edwardian age was additionally seen as an average time of delight between the extraordinary accomplishments of the former Victorian age and the disaster of the accompanying war. Late appraisals accentuate the incredible contrasts between the rich and the poor during the Edwardian period and portray the age as proclaiming extraordinary changes in political and public activity.

SUMMARY OF THE HOUND OF THE BASKERVILLES:

The Hound of the Baskervilles is the third of the Sherlock Holmes crime novels written by Sir Arthur Conan Doyle. In this story, Dr. James Mortimer requests Sherlock Holmes to examine the demise from his companion, Sir Charles Baskerville. Sir Charles was discovered dead on the grounds of his Devonshire bequest, Baskerville Hall, and Mortimer currently is worried for Sir Charles' nephew and sole beneficiary, Sir Henry Baskerville, who is the new expert of Baskerville Hall. Sir Charles' passing was ascribed to a coronary failure, yet Mortimer smells a rat since Sir Charles died with a demeanor of loathsomeness all over, and Mortimer saw "the impressions of a monstrous dog"

close by. The Baskerville family had evidently been under a revile since the English Civil War when precursor Hugo Baskerville purportedly offered his spirit to the demon for help in kidnapping a lady and was allegedly executed by a monster dog. Sir Charles trusted in the revile and appeared to be frightfully escaping from something when he died. Holmes meets with Sir Henry, who has recently shown up from Canada and has gotten a mysterious note, reordered from newsprint, notice him to remain away. One of Sir Henry's new boots is unexpectedly absent from his London lodging. Sir Henry intends to move into Baskerville Hall regardless of the notice. Holmes and Dr. Watson follow him from Holmes' loft back to his lodging and notice an unshaven man following him in a taxi. They seek after the man, however he get away. Mortimer discloses to them that Mr. Barrymore, the head servant at Baskerville Hall, has a facial hair growth like the one on the outsider. Sir Henry's boot returns, yet a more seasoned one disappears. Holmes sends for the taxi driver who drove the unshaven man after Sir Henry and is astounded to discover that the outsider had made highlight give his name as "Sherlock Holmes" to the taxi driver. Holmes currently turns out to be significantly more intrigued by the Baskerville case in any case, being occupied with different cases, sends Watson to go with Sir Henry to Baskerville Hall with guidelines to send him successive reports. After showing up at the Baskerville domain, Watson and Sir Henry discover that a got away from killer named Selden is accepted to be nearby. Barrymore and his significant other, who likewise works at Baskerville Hall, wish to leave the domain soon. Watson hears a lady crying around evening time; it is evident to him that it was Mrs. Barrymore, yet her significant other denies it. Watson at that point meets a sibling and sister who live close by, Mr. Stapleton and Miss Stapleton. At the point when a creature sound is heard, Stapleton rapidly excuses it as inconsequential to the unbelievable dog. At the point when her sibling is too far to hear, Miss Stapleton botches Watson for Sir Henry and cautions him to leave. She and Sir Henry later meet and rapidly begin to look all starry eyed at. Mr. Stapleton at first gets rankled by this yet later apologizes and welcomes Sir Henry to feast with him a couple of days after the fact. Barrymore stimulates more doubt when Watson and Sir Henry get him around

evening time with a flame in a vacant room. Barrymore will not answer their inquiries, however Mrs. Barrymore admits that Selden is her sibling. Watson and Sir Henry seek after Selden on the field, yet he evades them, while Watson sees another man close by. After an arrangement is reached to permit Selden to leave the country, Barrymore uncovers the substance of a not entirely consumed letter requesting that Sir Charles meet. It was endorsed with the initials L.L.; on Mortimer's recommendation, Watson addresses a Laura Lyons, who confesses to composing the letter with the expectation that Sir Charles would help money her separation, yet says she didn't keep the proposed meeting. Watson tracks the second man he found nearby and finds it to be Holmes, examining independently, expecting a quicker goal. Holmes uncovers extra data: Stapleton is really hitched to the alleged Miss Stapleton. They hear a shout and find the collection of Selden, dead from a fall. They at first error him for Sir Henry, whose old garments he was wearing. At Baskerville Hall, Holmes sees a likeness among Stapleton and a representation of Hugo Baskerville. He understands that Stapleton could be an obscure Baskerville relative, attempting to guarantee the Baskerville abundance by disposing of his family members. Joined by Inspector Lestrade, whom Holmes has called, Holmes and Watson travel to the Stapleton home, where Sir Henry is eating. They salvage him from a dog Stapleton discharges while Sir Henry is heading back home across the field. Shooting the creature dead in the battle, Holmes uncovers that it was an ordinary canine—a blend of hunting dog and mastiff and painted to give it an unnerving appearance. They discover Miss Stapleton bound and choked inside the house, while Stapleton obviously kicks the bucket trying to arrive at his fort in a close by mine. They additionally discover Sir Henry's boot, which was utilized to give the dog Sir Henry's aroma. Weeks after the fact, Holmes gives Watson extra insights concerning the case. Stapleton was, truth be told, Rodger Baskerville's child, additionally named Rodger. He upheld himself through wrongdoing for a long time prior to discovering that he could acquire a fortune by killing his uncle and cousin. Stapleton had taken Sir Henry's old boot in light of the fact that the new, unworn boot did not have

his fragrance. The dog had sought after Selden to his demise in view of the aroma on Sir Henry's garments. Mrs. Stapleton had couldn't help contradicting her significant other's arrangement, so he detained her to keep her from enlightening anybody concerning it.

SUMMARY OF *THE MYSTERIOUS AFFAIR AT STYLES*:

Styles was Christie's first distributed novel, presenting Hercule Poirot, Inspector (later, Chief Inspector) Japp, and Lieutenant Hastings (later, Captain). The story is told in first person by Hastings and highlights a significant number of the components that have become symbols of the Golden Age of Detective Fiction, generally because of Christie's impact. It is set in an enormous, disengaged country estate. There are about six suspects, a large portion of whom are concealing realities about themselves. The book incorporates guides of the house, the homicide scene, and a drawing of a piece of a will, just as various distractions and shock unexpected developments. The novel is set in England during World War I at Styles Court, an Essex country estate (likewise the setting of *Curtain*, Poirot's last case). Upon her significant other's demise, the rich widow, Emily Cavendish, acquired a daily existence home in Styles just as the out and out legacy of the bigger piece of the late Mr. Cavendish's pay. Mrs. Cavendish became Mrs. Inglethorp upon her new remarriage to a lot more youthful man, Alfred Inglethorp. Emily's two stepsons, John and Lawrence Cavendish, just as John's better half Mary and a few others, additionally live at Styles. John Cavendish is the vested remainderman of Styles; that is, the property will pass to him naturally upon his stepmother's perish, according to his late dad's will. The pay left to Mrs Inglethorp by her late spouse would be circulated according to Mrs. Inglethorp's own will. Late one evening, the occupants of Styles wake to discover Emily Inglethorp dying of what ends up being strychnine harming. Lieutenant Hastings, a houseguest, enrolls the assistance of his companion Hercule Poirot, who is remaining in the close by town, Styles St. Mary. Poirot sorts out occasions encompassing the homicide. On the day she was killed, Emily Inglethorp was caught contending with somebody, no doubt her significant other,

Alfred, or her stepson, John. A while later, she appeared to be very bothered and, obviously, made another will — which nobody can discover. She had little at supper and resigned right on time to her life with her report case. The case was subsequently constrained open by somebody and an archive eliminated. Alfred Inglethorp left Styles before at night and remained for the time being in the close by town, so was absent when the harming happened. It's not possible for anyone to clarify how or when the strychnine was regulated to Mrs. Inglethorp. From the outset, Alfred is the great suspect. He has the most to acquire monetarily from his better half's demise, and, since he is such a great deal more youthful than Emily was, the Cavendishes effectively presume him as a fortune tracker. Evelyn Howard, Emily's buddy, appears to detest him the greater part of all. His conduct, as well, is dubious; he straightforwardly bought strychnine in the town before Emily was harmed, and despite the fact that he denies it, he won't give a justification. The police are quick to capture him, yet Poirot intercedes by demonstrating he was unable to have bought the toxin. Scotland Yard police later capture Emily Inglethorp's most established stepson, John Cavendish. He acquires under the details of her will, and there is proof to recommend he additionally had gotten poison. Poirot clears Cavendish by demonstrating it was, all things considered, Alfred Inglethorp who perpetrated the wrongdoing, helped by Evelyn Howard, who ends up being his kissing cousin, not his foe. The liable pair harmed Emily by adding a hastening specialist, bromide (got from Mrs Inglethorp's resting powder), to her customary night medication, making its typically harmless strychnine constituents sink to the lower part of the jug where they were at last burned-through in a solitary, deadly portion. Their arrangement had been for Alfred Inglethorp to implicate himself with bogus proof, which could then be discredited at his preliminary. When absolved, because of twofold peril, he was unable to be gone after for the wrongdoing a subsequent time should any certified proof against him be along these lines found, henceforth inciting Poirot to keep him out of jail when he understood that Alfred needed to be captured.

OVERVIEW TO THE THEORIES APPROACHED:

Mysteries have consistently held incredible interest for the human psyche, not least on account of the quality that encompasses them and the domain of the Unknown into which they dive. Combined with the human affinity of being especially inquisitive about angles which escape the normal psyche, the layer of interest that gleams over such riddles makes for a powerful mix of the abstract and the mainstream. In the standard of detective fiction around the world, no analyst has stimulated the inquisitive reader's creative mind and held it in bondage as much as Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's Sherlock Holmes. The London detective joins an uncommon mix of scholarly ability and sharp mind to break a progression of astounding conundrums. The point of utilising Karl Marx's Marxism in this thesis is to comprehend the scholarly merits of the Sherlock Holmes arrangement, given its recorded area and particularity. The thought is to break down Holmes' 'Victorian-ness' and spot it in the financial structure of that time. Unique consideration has been paid to character communication and Holmes' response to the prevailing class and professional philosophies. Marxism is a social, political, and monetary way of thinking named after Karl Marx. It inspects the impact of free enterprise on work, efficiency, and financial turn of events and contends for a specialist insurgency to upset free enterprise for socialism. Marxism places that the battle between friendly classes—explicitly between the bourgeoisie, or business people, and the low class, or laborers—characterizes monetary relations in an entrepreneur economy and will definitely prompt progressive socialism. Marxism is both a social and political theory, which envelops Marxist class conflict theory and Marxian economics. Marxism was first freely defined in the 1848 handout, the "Socialist Manifesto," by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, which spreads out the theory of class struggle and revolution. Marxian economics centers around the reactions of free enterprise, which Karl Marx expounded on in his 1859 book, "Das Kapital." Marx's class theory depicts free enterprise as one stage in the authentic movement of financial frameworks that

follow each other in a characteristic arrangement. They are driven, he placed, by immense indifferent powers of history that work out through the conduct and strife among social classes. As per Marx, each general public is split between various social classes, whose individuals share more practically speaking with each other than with individuals from other social classes. Capitalist society is comprised of two classes—the bourgeoisie, or entrepreneurs, who control the methods for creation, and the working class, or laborers, whose work changes crude items into significant monetary products. Ordinary workers, who don't claim the methods for creation, like plants, structures, and materials, have little force in the industrialist monetary framework. Laborers are likewise promptly replaceable in times of high joblessness, further cheapening their apparent worth. To boost benefits, entrepreneurs have a motivation to get the most work out of their workers while paying them the least potential wages. This makes an unreasonable unevenness among proprietors and the workers whose work they abuse for their own benefit. Since laborers have minimal individual stake during the time spent creation, Marx accepted they would get distanced from it and angry toward the entrepreneur and their own humankind. The bourgeoisie additionally utilize social organizations, including government, media, the scholarly world, coordinated religion,[2] and banking and monetary frameworks, as devices and weapons against the working class with the objective of keeping up their situation of force and advantage. Ultimately, the innate disparities and shifty monetary relations between these two classes will prompt an insurgency in which the common radicals against the bourgeoisie, holds onto control of the methods for creation, and nullifies Capitalism. Marx believed that the entrepreneur framework naturally contained the seeds of its own obliteration. The distance and abuse of the working class that are key to industrialist relations would definitely drive the working people to defy the bourgeoisie and hold onto control of the methods for creation. This transformation would be driven by edified pioneers, known as the vanguard of the low class, who comprehended the class construction of society and who might join the working people by bringing issues to light and class cognizance. A close reading of Christie's self-portrayal shows that

Christie experienced childhood in a strong patriarchy where, formed by proto-women's activist game play and dream, she built up a lady focused viewpoint reflected in her long lasting upkeep of female encouraging groups of people and her libertarian perspective on romance, marriage, parenthood, and vocation. Her "changing occasion" (see Carolyn Heilbrun, *Writing a Woman's Life* [1988]), the notorious disappearance of 1926, marks the intersection of what Catherine MacKinnon calls the "imperceptible male centric line"; once across, Christie increased her all around rebellious vision, facing new challenges in her day to day existence and work that reinforced her as a lady and craftsman. Christie's work may do not have a proper women's activist plan, yet it over and again undermines male centric society: in a few books, Miss Marple or other capricious ladies help destroy damaging male controlled societies and modify families along libertarian lines. Christie additionally utilizes her most intricate ladies characters as coincidental investigators, getting them through fortifying missions for female selfhood dependent on her own life injuries; if these ladies wed, the marriage is an organization of equivalents like what Christie attempted to accomplish in her own two relationships. Christie's doubt of the current male-model work environment as not helpful for wellbeing or imagination expects Betty Friedan's developed women's activist perspectives in *The Second Stage* (1991). Outperforming the vocations of even her most redoubtable courageous women, Christie eventually exhibits that for her, keeping in touch with itself is a women's activist demonstration. The suggested, conveniently disguised woman's rights of her life and work requests affirmation. Hence, to explaining more about the women's position in Christie's novels, Betty Friedan's Feminist theory has been used in Chapter 2. With her book *The Feminine Mystique* (1963), Betty Friedan (1921-2006) kicked off something new by investigating the possibility of females discovering individual satisfaction outside of their conventional jobs. She additionally helped advance the women's privileges development as one of the authors of the National Organization for Women (NOW). She supported for an expanded part for ladies in the political cycle and is recognized as a pioneer of women's liberation and the women's privileges developments. A splendid understudy, Betty Friedan excelled

at Smith College, graduating in 1942 with a four year certification. Despite the fact that she got an association to learn at the University of California, she picked rather to go to New York to function as a journalist. Friedan got hitched in 1947 and had three kids. She got back to work after her first youngster was conceived, however lost her employment when she was pregnant with her second, as per The Christian Science Monitor. Friedan at that point remained at home to really focus on her family. In any case, she was eager as a homemaker and contemplated whether different ladies felt a similar way. To address this inquiry, Friedan studied different alumni of Smith College. The consequences of this exploration shaped the premise of *The Feminine Mystique*. The book turned into a sensation—making a social upheaval by scattering the fantasy that all ladies needed to be glad homemakers. Friedan urged ladies to look for new freedoms for themselves. As a symbol in the female's privileges development, Betty Friedan accomplished more than expound on limiting sex generalizations—she turned into a power for change. She helped to establish the National Organization for Women (NOW) in 1966, filling in as its first president. Friedan likewise battled for fetus removal rights by building up the National Association for the Repeal of Abortion Laws (presently known as NARAL Pro-Choice America) in 1969. She needed ladies to have a more noteworthy part in the political cycle. With such other driving women's activists as Gloria Steinem and Bella Abzug, Friedan made the National Women's Political Caucus in 1971. In 1982, Betty Friedan distributed *The Second Stage*, which tried to help ladies grappling with the requests of work and home. It was by all accounts a more moderate women's activist situation than her prior work. While in her seventies, Friedan investigated the later phases of a lady's life in *The Fountain of Age* (1993). Betty Friedan died of cardiovascular breakdown on February 4, 2006, in Washington, D.C. She is recognized as one of the main voices of the women's activist and female's privileges development of the 20th century. What's more, the work that she began is as yet being conveyed today by the three associations she set up. To discuss and justify the connection between the historical events and their influence on the characters of Christie's and Doyle's novel, this thesis utilizes New Historicism theory.

New Historicism is a literary theory based on the idea that literature should be studied and interpreted within the context of both the history of the author and the history of the critic. Based on the literary criticism of Stephen Greenblatt and influenced by the philosophy of Michel Foucault, New Historicism acknowledges not only that a work of literature is influenced by its author's times and circumstances, but that the critic's response to that work is also influenced by his environment, beliefs, and prejudices. A New Historicist looks at literature in a wider historical context, examining both how the writer's times affected the work and how the work reflects the writer's times, in turn recognizing that current cultural contexts color that critic's conclusions. For example, when studying Christie's *The Mysterious Affair at Styles*, one always comes to the question of whether the novel shows Christie to be Feminist. The New Historicist recognizes that this isn't a simple yes-or-no answer that can be teased out by studying the text. This work must be judged in the context in which it was written; in turn, cultural history can be revealed by studying the work — especially, say New Historicists, by studying the use and dispersion of power and the marginalization of social classes within the work. Studying the history reveals more about the text; studying the text reveals more about the history. The New Historicist also acknowledges that her examination of literature is "tainted" by her own culture and environment. The very fact that we ask whether Christie was Feminist — a question that wouldn't have been considered important a century ago — reveals how our study of Christie is affected by our civilization. New Historicism, then, underscores the impermanence of literary criticism. Current literary criticism is affected by and reveals the beliefs of our times in the same way that literature reflects and is reflected by its own historical contexts. New Historicism acknowledges and embraces the idea that, as times change, so will our understanding of great literature.

CHAPTER 2

DOYLE'S TOUCH ON MARXISM:

All through his scholarly vocation Conan Doyle endeavored to accommodate the staggering inconsistencies among Liberalism and Empire. His endeavor at philosophical trade off was further hindered by other clashing loyalties—to party, way of life, religion, and race. To help himself in this discussion, Conan Doyle utilized his work and position as a essayist to scatter his thoughts. In a 1895 article in *Literary Digest*, written while he was on visit through the United States, Conan Doyle remarked on the job of writers and their work. Despite the fact that he conceded that the fiction-author's first point is "to delight humankind," he imagined more noteworthy jobs for journalists in the public eye: I think the period of fiction is coming—the age when strict and social and political changes will all be affected by methods for the writer. To get a plan to infiltrate the majority of individuals you should put fiction around it, similar to sugar around a pill. Obviously, Conan Doyle saw a part for himself in the public eye more noteworthy than that of craftsman. He felt himself to be in an exceptional situation to advance thoughts, accepting that "if [the novelist] has solid feelings, he will have great offices for dazzling them on others." Thus, his writing served to impact the general population to settle an philosophical battle, a battle with which he, at the end of the day, was all the while wrestling. The pressures that intensified Conan Doyle's battle with liberal government worked on three interconnected levels. First, the vast majority of his work as a essayist, just as his way of life, upheld the practice of "masculine" Victorian government, supported by the Tories and the state funded school. Conan Doyle, notwithstanding, savored the practice of grant and reflection which appeared to be at chances with nineteenth century "masculinity." Second, with regards to contemporary logical racialism, Conan Doyle advanced the ideal of an English race in a social-Darwinist battle against the other European races and those of "shading." Yet he was an Irishman in English society, without a moment's delay focal furthermore, common. Third, the realm of Britain was obliged to Protestant goals, which implanted minister

movement and upheld the chivalric substance of magnificent patriotism. Conan Doyle, advanced through the strict disturbance of the late nineteenth century on a strict odyssey. Dismissing the Catholicism of his youth, he turned into a realist, accepting strict opportunity, prior to changing over to mysticism, as many did at the breakdown of Pax Britannica in 1914—none of which at any point carried him into understanding with the overwhelming Anglicanism of the political field. These three subjects converge with the beginning of "Another Imperialism" that matched with Conan Doyle's rise as author in the most recent many years of the Nineteenth Century. Set apart by the Scramble for Africa and a recharged public interest in majestic action, this royal restoration filled in as the foundation for traditionalist radicals like Prime Minister Benjamin Disraeli and later Lord Baden-Powell, the organizer of the Boy Scouts. Notwithstanding his adherence to colonialism, Conan Doyle was a Liberal—a Liberal-Unionist, one of numerous Liberal "dissidents" who broke with Gladstone over realm and Home Rule. As "liberal government" here is utilized to portray a way of thinking and isn't expected to suggest alliance with the Liberal-Imperialist gathering. John Dickson Carr notes in his magnificent history of Conan Doyle: "For his entire life he remained politically eager, such a Tory liberal with his confidence in the Realm. He may have been a Conservative who held majority rule fairness, or he might have been a Liberal-Imperialist." Conan Doyle's governmental issues mirror the Liberal line of union and non-development, however his fiction sells out a contending saint love which wonders the victories of Britain's past. Conan Doyle's battle with paternalistic dominion and Liberal standards of laissez-faire and independence mirror the battle inside his whole gathering—even inside the settler wing of his gathering—from Lord Rosebery's Whig Liberal-Dominion to Joseph Chamberlain's Radical Liberal-Unionism. In spite of the fact that the "New Imperialism" of the last quarter of the century provoked an energetic development, the discussion on colonialism had been seething for a long time, both all through Parliament. Most grant credits Benjamin Disraeli with modifying the essence of colonialism. Prior to Disraeli's prevalence, Britain's realm had been run for the most part by Liberal governments keen on saving Streamlined commerce and free enterprise

social, financial political strategies abroad. In the Nineteenth Century, the boss of such approaches was Lord Palmerston, who controlled international strategy by a straightforward ideology, which Ronald Robinson and John Gallagher depict as extension "by casual methods if conceivable, or by formal extensions where necessary." Chamberlain saw the main point of government to be the insurance of assembling while Rosebery considered it to be the security for the free and simple fare of capital, which relied upon Free Trade. As indicated by Martin Pugh, Gladstone reformed British governmental issues by carrying the supreme issue to individuals in his 1880 Midlothian campaign. Despite the fact that revisionist researchers, for example, Robinson and Gallagher contend that British approach didn't transform from the mid-to late-Victorian periods, it is essential to take note of that researchers and legislators at the time positively noticed a change in approach. Disraeli's present moment as leader denoted a urgent point in British magnificent arrangement and mentalities. The production of Victoria as Empress of India affirmed the Liberal apprehensions that political devolution of capacity to India was almost incomprehensible, and Disraeli's 1872 discourse in the Crystal Palace mixed nationalistic spirits not handily set out to settle. Disraeli made realm into a state of pride for the British, and it turned into a political need. Practically all concur that the period generally following 1880 saw the ascent of inescapable public interest in realm, to the degree that Cecil Rhodes could remark in 1899 that "they are tumbling over one another, Liberals and Conservatives, to show which side are the most noteworthy and most energetic imperialists." obviously there existed in Britain a solid minority of Gladstonian Liberals and different gatherings upholding "Close to nothing Britain" wistfulness, yet settler intensity had part the Liberal Party, and by 1899, even such semi communist gatherings as the Fabians proclaimed themselves unequivocally for government. Obviously, each political gathering individualized government to accommodate their individual projects. The Conservatives professed to have had the longest history of relationship with realm. They advocated majestic development and assaulted Liberal 'cosmopolitanism,' and it was Disraeli, the primary extraordinary

current Moderate Imperialist, who transformed India into the "Gem in the Crown" and made a sovereign into a ruler. The Conservative perspective on colonialism, outlined in Disraeli's addresses, appears to have contained minimal more than devoted patriotism and a worry for worldwide eminence—particularly when one considers exactly how minimal the domain became under Disraeli. Lord Salisbury (Traditionalist Prime Minister 1886-92; Foreign Secretary 1894-5, 1895-1902) addressed a more conventional Conservative hatred to change at home and the shortcoming of Palmerstonian strategy abroad, which, as per Richard Shannon, he saw as a "jumbled combination of bumbling emphaticness and nostalgic morality." At its most noticeably awful, Conservative government was viewed as expansionist; at its best, Palmerstonian and consolidationist. On the off chance that there was an arrangement to battle the recently "found" issues of destitution and specialist turmoil, it was the hypothesis that benefits from domain would benefit all Britons. The Liberals, particularly under Gladstone, took the inverse, and not generally mainstream, tack that Britain should zero in on its inward issues and lay aside its imperial efforts abroad. The advancement of the term 'class' was an outcome of the endeavor to see a portion of the significant disturbances of the time frame 1780-1848, like the extreme thoughts of the French Revolution, industrialisation, the fast development of populace, the nook development and because of these, urbanization. In the old primitive society every individual had their dispensed spot and was identified with each other by the obligation fitting to their position, yet in current culture the spot in the public arena was resolved likewise by money related association. Classes rely upon the monetary contrasts, imbalances under lock and key and in charge of material assets between groupings of people. The Marxist hypothesis rises to class with financial matters: proprietorship and control of capital and property are seen as the essential power behind the imbalance between classes. One class, the bourgeoisie, claims the methods for creation while the other class, the working class, possesses just their workforce, which they were obliged to sell to endure. As a result of industrialisation and colonization the situation with the working class got significant. The extension of metropolitan regions, the development in

populace, innovative turn of events, the expanded size of industry and exchange, particularly abroad exchange, the abuse of crude material and products from the provinces and the extension of the Empire spurred a colossal interest for new callings and experts that managed and kept the wheels of society running. The nineteenth century working class remembered for expansion to the individuals utilized in the callings of the old center positions, likewise industrialists and money managers who worked in account and in business; they were additionally among the most affluent in the country. The working class included likewise directors, government employees, specialists, researchers, attorneys, instructors, modelers, engineers, little business people, businesspeople, traders, agents, collaborators, and so forth. An extremely enormous number of Europeans emigrated to the settlements which offered a situation for a great many officials, government workers, directors, specialists, instructors, teachers, and different experts that managed and kept the wheels of the Empire running. Disregarding the heterogeneity in the interior design of Victorian working classes, there built up a sound working class philosophy that was to become domineering as the nineteenth century advanced. Matthew Arnold, the nineteenth century English artist and social pundit, had seen that the nobility were bit by bit, however consistently stopping to be the predominant class in England as the nineteenth century advanced. There was a developing worry that an unrest much the same as the ones in America and France may occur additionally in England. Besides, the lower classes, particularly the recently shaped common that was used into achieving the Industrial Revolution, were extremely poor and living in frightful conditions and there was a steady dread that they also may revolt. Thusly Arnold contended that the privileged should move their way of life to the rising working classes and that the common laborers should have been instructed so they won't be a danger to society and the decision classes. Since the force of religion had decreased essentially in the nineteenth century and, despite the fact that religion is an amazingly compelling type of philosophical control, in an inexorably common world, the Church could at this point don't control the huge masses. There was a pressing requirement for something that

would stick all the various layers of society together. Arnold requested that English writing was to turn into the new opium for the general population. It had a triple capacity: it was to amuse, to teach, and to save the spirits and recuperate the state. It should show individuals fortitude between classes, public pride and virtues, at the end of the day, convey "the ethical wealth of common civilisation" to them. Marx proposes that the transcendent thoughts or belief systems normal to the industrialist society are those of the decision class. The decision class or its scholarly agents produce and spread them and they overwhelm the cognizance and activities of those classes outside the decision class. The idea of philosophy is usually utilized as a word to allude to deliberate faith as a rule, at the end of the day, shared convictions and qualities that are held in an unquestioning way by a gathering or a culture. Terry Eagleton has offered a few definitions and ways to deal with the idea of philosophy. For instance, in *Ideology: An Introduction* (1991) he records 16 distinct definitions in the initial two pages of the book. For instance, he considers philosophy to be "a collection of thoughts normal for a specific gathering of people or class", as thoughts (valid or bogus) that help to real a predominant political force, as a "medium in which cognizant social entertainers sort out their reality", and as "socially essential hallucinations", as "an interaction whereby public activity is changed over to a characteristic reality", at the end of the day, belief systems present thoughts and the common conditions as something regular, unavoidable and unchangeable. The greater part of all, philosophy is an approach to authentic the force of a prevailing gathering or class: A predominant force may real itself by advancing convictions and qualities harmonious to it; naturalizing and universalising such convictions to deliver them undeniable and clearly unavoidable; stigmatizing thoughts which may challenge it; barring rival types of thought, maybe by some implicit precise rationale; and darkening social reality in manners advantageous it itself. What Eagleton implies by this, is that the built the truth is passed as something normal and verifiable. Eagleton stresses that philosophy involves talk than of just language. He proposes that philosophical talk "concerns the real employments of language between specific human subjects for the creation of explicit impacts"; at the

end of the day, philosophy is about "the topic of who is saying what to whom for what purposes." Why and how, at that point, did the bourgeoisie figure out how to acquire and keep up their situation as the decision class? Antonio Gramsci planned his hypothesis of authority to clarify why the socialist insurgencies by the 'misused' classes didn't happen where they were generally expected to occur; in industrialized Western Europe. He put together his hypothesis with respect to the predominant philosophy postulation proposed by Marx and Engels in Communist Manifesto (1848), which recommends that the class that is financially prevailing will attempt to force its own curious method of considering the to be in general. Gramsci has recognized this marvel as authority: he considered authority to be a cycle of battle, a consistent endeavor to keep up command over the subordinate classes. Authority is something that is effectively made, kept up and replicated by genuine people through a cycle of cognizant scholarly reflection and combination. Gramsci typically utilized the idea to signify "a type of social and political 'control' which joins actual power or intimidation with scholarly, good and social influence or assent;" as such, authority can be characterized as a broadly acknowledged arrangement of culture, values, ethics, morals and social design that hold a general public together and make strong individuals. Rather than endeavoring toward transformation that would serve the aggregate requirements of the majority, the lower classes have assimilated the viewpoint and the philosophies of the decision classes, for this situation the working classes, and accordingly neglect to rebel against the industrialist society. In *The Hound of the Baskervilles*, the character called Barrymore, suffers much for being a working class at Baskervilles. Though he was an innocent man, he was accused as a killer with just his looks in the story at a time. The Barrymores act basically as one unit, never showing up independently for any time span. Both of their families have served the Baskerville domain for quite a long time, and they invest heavily in this reality. In any case, they stress that the new, youthful expert of Baskerville Hall, Sir Henry Baskerville, will anticipate a degree of administration and loftiness that they will be not able to give. Both Mr. what's more, Mrs. Barrymore take their obligation to Sir Henry genuinely, yet they are faithful to each

other before all else. At the point when Sir Henry and Dr. Watson get Mr. Barrymore utilizing a lamp to impart signs to the got away from convict Selden, Mr. Barrymore will not account for himself, since it would implicate his significant other. This would have cost Mr. Barrymore his long lasting vocation, had Mrs. Barrymore not uncovered the key to Sir Henry to save her better half (even to the detriment of her sibling's life). Mr. also, Mrs. Barrymore's essential capacity in *The Hound of the Baskervilles* is to give data about Baskerville Hall's past and the accounts of its past occupants (through, for example, the family pictures), since Sir Henry Baskerville—the lone living Baskerville, so particularly far as anybody most likely is aware—has not been there since he was a little kid and thinks minimal about his family's ancestry. They have an auxiliary capacity, in any case, as distractions, in view of the abnormal conduct they display while concealing the area of Selden. Following quotes from *The Hound of the Baskervilles*, explains well about the mind set that the high class people has against the working class: “Already round this pale-faced, handsome, black-bearded man there was gathering an atmosphere of mystery and of gloom” (HOTB 306). “Some deep sorrow gnaws ever at her heart. Sometimes I wonder if she has a guilty memory which haunts her, and sometimes I suspect Barrymore of being a domestic tyrant. I have always felt there was something singular and questionable in this man's character” (HOTB 323). In these lines, Dr. Watson makes his own assumption on Barrymore with just his looks as a criminal, although he was a good hearted person. Note: all page numbers and citation info for both quotes above refer to the Borzoi edition of *The Hound of the Baskervilles* published in 2014.

CHRISTIE AND WOMEN:

Despite being quite possibly the most popular writers ever, Agatha Christie has some of the time been scrutinized for highlighting old sex generalizations in her books and stories. In any case, indeed, she battled Victorian scholarly shows, which saw ladies painted as negligible and zeroed in on men, to carry the public gutsy females with incredible personalities. Christie's ladies stayed aware of the young men as well as

commonly outflanked them. Before her cerebral ladies investigators showed up on the scene, female characters in detective novels were essentially consistently there for beautification. Christie's ladies crime warriors clear in to tackle kills that leave police officers) bewildered. To analyse more about the Christie's idea on Feminism, knowing how women were treated in Edwardian age is must. Life for women during the 19th century followed a well worn track. The poorest women in society had little choice in the pattern their lives would take. It was struggle enough to feed and clothe oneself but maintaining a family was an all consuming process and so it continued as the century turned the corner. By the turn of the 19th century though, there were many changes in the lives of middle class women. The statistics tell us that one in ten married women in Edwardian England were in paid employment. Most of these women were from the poorest backgrounds. It was not considered suitable for a woman from the middle or upper classes to be in paid employment. Women were seen as a family's possession, to be groomed for marriage, the richer the better, have and raise children, run a household, not much changed from the Medieval perception of women. All of these assumptions of what a woman could or would do were based on the principle that a woman would marry. What if a woman did not marry? In 1901, 14% of women under the age of 45 years, did not marry. The 'suitability' of husbands was a key issue, not able to marry beneath them left some women to life on the margins, either living within the confines of their immediate family or possibly becoming companion to an older woman. All brothers and sisters in this family worked as telegraphists. Couples were having fewer children, for the middle classes it was becoming increasingly expensive to buy the things that made them 'middle class' and so having fewer children meant money could be stretched further. The need to buy things was fuelled during the later Industrial Revolution. The Great Exhibition of 1851 brought goods to the attention of over six million visitors. Goods that most people never thought they needed, now became must have items for the growing middle classes. By 1900 suburbia had grown. Husbands commuted and wives stayed at home separated from their husbands lives. It became obvious that some sort of occupation was needed to fill the long days and

volunteering served that purpose. Shopping had become a chief occupation amongst those who could afford. In the main, churches supplied the social services in early 20th century society. The churches and related charities were, by and large, headed by men who oversaw an immense army of female volunteers. With the responsibility of volunteering, women found a new confidence. They began to mix with a wider spectrum of people and they began to take an interest in political work. Women's political work was kept separate from the politics of men, largely involving organising money raising events and campaigning but for some women this was never going to be enough. Some women wanted to work on causes they felt very close to involving the rights and issues of women. In the mid 19th century new acts of parliament concerning divorce and women's property laws, gave women the opportunity to create lives separate from their husbands. But it was the individual women who, in the mid 19th century started the ball rolling. Emily Davis working on women's education founded Girton College Cambridge 1869. Francis Cobbe demanding that women could study for a university degree 1862. Josephine Butler and the repeal of the Contagious Diseases Act, so humiliating in its treatment of women. The campaigning that led to Elizabeth Anderson becoming the first British licensed female doctor and the setting up of the London School of Medicine for women. The ball was rolling but very slowly. An increasing awareness amongst women that there was a different way of doing things really arose amongst women of the lower middle classes who needed to work. Thousands of women were employed as teachers and this number continued to grow. The invention of things like the sewing machine gave women an opportunity to work from home but the invention of the bicycle gave them freedom. Women could move about unchaperoned and get to work. Further inventions of the typewriter and the phone opened up completely new work opportunities in offices and shops. By 1901 25% of all office workers were women. With money in their pocket and a new freedom on their bicycles, women changed the way they thought and dressed. The cumbersome skirts and crinolines that restricted movement were cast aside in favour of more unrestricted garments and even cycling trousers. Women faced an uphill battle for any sort of recognition in the workplace.

Their role was a subservient one, to serve the needs of the men who employed them. Few had managerial roles outside of their own businesses. Those that ran their own businesses were often very successful and had to be robust to operate in a male driven workplace. The trade unions wanted to keep women out. They did not want competition for well paid jobs to be given to women and so legislation was created to keep certain jobs closed to women. There was no equal pay, women were often segregated from men at work and there was little chance for women to advance in the workplace. Edwardian women were finding fresh fields to conquer, not just in work but in sports as well. To scandalized reports of women rowing clubs, bicycling and gymnastic clubs, women's football clubs and even British women playing and winning at Wimbledon, women were pushing at the boundaries of society. But life was going to change beyond all recognition at the end of the Edwardian era and the lives of women with it. Women's suffrage and World War One, would mean that women took new roles in society, undreamed of in Queen Victoria's Britain. There are a noteworthy number of solid female characters in Christie's books, and just a not many of them are portrayed contrarily. Proficient, functional, and skillful financial specialists, servants and secretaries; fruitful and proficient craftsmen, entertainers and creators; instructing, refined, and scholarly headmistresses; the keen and valiant Miss Marple, on a superficial level a fluttery, vacillating old house cleaner yet under savage in the reason for equity—these women, anyway momentarily they go through the tales, are basically praiseworthy sorts. Furthermore, Christie introduced throughout the long term a progression of beginner champions of "cheerful moods, challenging, and imperturbability," from Prudence "Tuppence" Beresford, initial presented in *The Secret Adversary* in 1922 through Woman Eileen "Pack" Brent of *The Secret at Chimneys* (1925) and *The Seven Dials Mystery* (1929) and Woman Frances "Frankie" Derwent of *The Boomerang Clue* (1933) to Victoria Jones in *They Came to Baghdad* (1951), Hilary Craven in *Destination Unknown* (1954) and Katherine "Ginger" Corrigan in *The Pale Horse* (1961)— and a lot of others. These young ladies all had comparative ascribes. Above all, they were completely "current." They were "brave," "fiery," "wicked,"

"innocent," "gamin," "energetic," "great in games," "vivacious fillies," "alive," "fearless," "alert," "saucy," "minxes," "spunky and sassy," "disrespectful," "chivalrous," "warriors," and "overflowing over with life." They were regular 1920's flappers (directly through to the books of the 1960's): they smoked, drank, and swore, and were respected by their seniors with a mix of shock and jealousy. They were sufficiently athletic to swing here and there the ivy when fundamental for the plot, gifted and bold enough to drive splendid little sports vehicles in a design which unnerved every other person out and about, fearless enough to take too much dangers—and consistently they were appreciated for these characteristics. In *NorM?* Tuppence Beresford, at this point a moderately aged woman with a developed family, fakes a call, stows away in a cabinet, and beats her significant other Tommy to the location of a government operative examination in which she at that point assumes a significant part. Tommy's response to his better half's drive and guile is secret deference, and his supervisor in British insight concurs: "She's a keen lady." When Ginger Corrigan in *The Pale Horse* demands facing a grave individual challenge to trap individuals from a homicide-for-recruit activity, the attractive and truly qualified Mark Easterbrook expeditiously experiences passionate feelings for "her red hair, her spots, her chivalrous soul." But the subject is maybe best evolved in *The Boomerang Clue* (British title: *Why Didn't They Ask Evans?*), in which Christie differentiated Woman Frances "Frankie" Derwent, a mischievous and autonomous young lady with Moira Nicholson, delicate, "similar to a tragic Madonna" and "horrendously fragile." Bobby Jones, the fairly blundering yet eminently decent youthful legend finds the two women alluring, however for some time it would appear that Moira will win him, since she draws out the entirety of his defensive male senses. "Bobby likes them powerless," whines the troubled Frankie, "It's exceptional how men like defenseless ladies." Another character, Roger Bassington-Ffrench, attempts to comfort Frankie: "The reality of the situation is that you have guts and she hasn't." obviously Bobby ultimately sees the light, and in the conclusion concedes to Frankie that while Moira's "face" had pulled in him immediately, Frankie had caught his heart since she was "so brave about things," "so appallingly spunky."

Just to give the commonplace Christie twofold wind, nonetheless, Moira's "frail and vulnerable" character ends up being a front; she is indeed a gangster and dope seller, and has "the nerve to put quite a few group far removed without turning a hair!" Thus we are left with not one but rather two in number female characters, one great and one wickedness. Also, Frankie gets her man—as do Tuppence, Bundle, Ginger, and all the others of the sort. Here the confusions start. These enthusiastic, current young women are important for the sentimental subplots of the books where they show up, and they are combined off toward the end, hitched, and apparently live cheerfully ever after. Oftentimes, and with conviction, Christie's characters talk about marriage as the objective and fate of all womankind. A considerable lot of her female characters are utilized, however at whatever point they get the opportunity, they hurl great positions and professions for a man and a home. In the event that they work after marriage, it is constantly in light of the fact that their spouses are invalids, wastrels, or perished. Most unequivocally depicted is the destiny of Rosamund Darnley in *Evil Under the Sun*. Hercule Poirot appreciates Rosamund particularly from the second he meets her, for she has cerebrums, appeal and stylish. She is reasonable, alert, and pleased, and an extremely fruitful fashioner and money manager. Right off the bat in the book she has a fairly uncertain discussion with Poirot about her objectives throughout everyday life, except by the last passages all uncertainty is dissipated. Kenneth Marshall, an old companion, proposes to her. After her marriage Rosamund stayed reasonable and fruitful—in her new position. For Tuppence Beresford, as well, marriage was not "an asylum, or a shelter, or a delegated brilliance, or a condition of servitude," it was "a sport," and the impressions we have of Tuppence as a wedded lady affirm that her marriage was that way. Christie introduced relationships of association and friendship—"Joint Ventures"—in a positive light; the ones she showed all the more ominously were those wherein either accomplice was powerless or cowed by the other, albeit even in those cases she clarified that she comprehended the human requirements which drove people into such connections. Frequently Christie enlightened character types by having them claim sees on ladies which were regular

of their group, age, and status: "Women tell a ton of untruths," "All women extravagant marriage, regardless of how best in class and self-supporting they are," "Toxin is a women's weapon," "Women are savage," "On the off chance that you need a thing broadcast, tell a woman," "Old house cleaners are famously curious, etc. That Christie utilized such speculations so as often as possible to outline character uncovers how unavoidable and by and large acknowledged some of them were, however one should oppose the impulse to presume that Christie herself essentially concurred with them. Such explanations were basically right away and extensively conspicuous hints which assisted her readers with classifying the characters. By different methods, in any case, Christie uncovered that she, when all is said and done, had the to some degree "customary" demeanor to sex jobs which was average other class and status. In her books, women's primary mental capacity is by all accounts natural; even Miss Marple, who is adulated by her recognized male admirers like Sir Henry Clithering for her brilliantly (and shockingly) legitimate mind, is so digressive in discourse that it appears to be inconceivable that she can think straight. On in any event one event, the maturing, fleecy haired old maid expressly denies settling a mysterv by coherent derivation yet rather femininely credits. The feisty youthful courageous women like Tuppence and Frankie, fearless however they might be. are not actually canny or reasonable; they are over-curious, unyielding, imprudent, and subsequently are interminably being safeguarded from some scratch or other. In a few of her books, in addition, Christie suggested that maternal love was one of the most grounded potential thought processes in murder; if five characters all had rationale and opportunity, however one is associated with perpetrating the crime for "her kids," you can be genuinely sure that she is the blameworthy party. In the largely autobiographical romantic novel *Unfinished Portrait* which Christie distributed under the alias Westmacott, she in part credits the disappointment of Celia's—her own—union with the way that she clung to her better half excessively. Among Christie books in which the impulses of parenthood assume a significant part in the plot are *Dead Man's Folly* (1956), *Ordeal by Innocence* (1958), *The Mirror Crack'd from Side to Side* (1962) and *By the Pricking of My Thumbs* (1968).

Note that these are from late in her profession. Despite the fact that Christie biographer Derrick Murdoch goes excessively far when he composes that Christie had little inclination for sexual equity and is very off-base in demanding that solid willed spouses get unsympathetic treatment on the whole her books, there are positively enough hints of such mentalities saturating Christie's attempts to clarify how he has perused them that way. Christie in this manner introduced apparently opposing pictures of ladies—the free, independent, competent and valiant lady who is regarded for those characteristics and treated as an equivalent accomplice in experience and in life existed together with the senseless, enthusiastic woman who has no personality besides through her significant other and kids. Yet, the lines continued intersection; the free youthful flapper champions needed to settle down to marriage and kids, and the senseless ditherers frequently ended up being made of steel. In *The Mysterious Affair at Styles*, the character named Emily Inglethorp strictly supports the idea of feminism with her words and actions. Emily Inglethorp was an old lady and the proprietor of the Styles Court bequest. She was depicted as a "egotistical lady in her own particular manner. She was benevolent and liberal, inclined to help those out of luck, similar to Cynthia Murdoch and the belgian ex-investigator Hercule Poirot, yet she generally anticipated appreciation and regard consequently. She previously wedded with the dad of John and Lawrence Cavendish, Mr. Cavendish, who passed on of obscure causes a few years before the occasions of the novel. She re-wedded to Alfred Inglethorp, who was around twenty years more youthful than her, a few months after he came to Styles Court, presenting himself as a far cousin of Evelyn Howard. The entire group of Mrs Inglethorp relied upon her. This is fundamentally the same as the connection between Mrs Boynton and her family in *Appointment with Death*, and patriarch Aristide with the Leonides family in *Crooked House*. In *Curtain*, Judith Hastings classified Emily as the 'type of selfish person' that didn't let the younger members of their family 'have a life'. Christie herself lived a life of such ambivalences. Brought up to be a proper upper middle-class wife and mother, the natural pattern of her life was broken by service as a VAD nurse and dispenser during World War I, by the "accident" of her becoming a

best-selling author, and by the failure of her first marriage. Whatever she might say about deferring to her husband, and no matter how she insisted that her occupation in life was not as author but as "Married Woman," she was in fact a professional, a considerable personality in her own right, and possessed of much independence of mind, not to mention income.

CONTRIBUTION OF HISTORY TO DETECTIVE FICTION:

History always has a big part in giving birth to any form of literature. Not to forget, detective fiction was emerged only because of the creation of Scotland Yard. The name Scotland Yard invokes the image of a foggy London street being patrolled by a detective in a trench coat puffing smoke from his pipe. But Scotland Yard has an easily muddled history, full of misnomers and controversy. Neither in Scotland, nor in a yard, it is the name of the headquarters of London's Metropolitan Police and, by association, has become synonymous with the force. The Yard doesn't serve the city either, but instead the Greater London area. With all this confusion, it's time to investigate the story of Scotland Yard and some of its most infamous cases, from Jack the Ripper to the 2005 London bombings. The London police force was created in 1829 by an act introduced in Parliament by Home Secretary (similar to the U.S. Secretary of the Interior) Sir Robert Peel—hence the nickname "bobbies," for policeman. The new police superseded the old system of watchmen. By 1839 these men had replaced the Bow Street Patrols, who enforced the decisions of magistrates, and the River Police, who worked to prevent crime along the Thames. The responsibility of organizing the new police force was placed on Colonel Charles Rowan and Richard Mayne, who occupied a private house at 4 Whitehall Place, the back of which opened onto a courtyard: the Great Scotland Yard. The Yard's name was inspired by its site, a medieval palace which housed Scottish royalty on their visits to London. The staff of Scotland Yard was responsible for the protection of important individuals, community patrols, public affairs, recruitment and personnel management. When the Yard sent out its first plainclothes police agents in 1842, the public felt uncomfortable with these "spies" on the streets.

But the force's role in several important cases, and the charisma of many of its detectives, helped it win the people's trust. One such personality, Inspector Charles Frederick Field, joined the force upon its establishment in 1829. He became good friends with Charles Dickens, who occasionally accompanied constables on their nightly rounds. Dickens wrote a short essay about Field, "On Duty With Inspector Field," and used him as a model for the all-knowing, charming Inspector Bucket in his novel *Bleak House*. Field retired as a chief of the detective branch in 1852. In 1877, four out of the five heads for the detective branch were brought to trial for conspiring with criminals in a betting scheme. In an effort to repair the force's tarnished reputation, Howard Vincent submitted a restructuring proposal to the force. Soon Vincent was appointed director of criminal investigations and he reorganized Scotland Yard, strengthening its central unit. And with that, the Criminal Investigation Department (CID), a respected unit of plainclothes police detectives, was born. The turn of the century saw many monumental events at Scotland Yard. Britain's "Bloody Sunday" occurred on November 13, 1887, when 2,000 police officers disrupted a meeting in Trafalgar Square organized by the Social Democratic Federation, resulting in more than 100 casualties. A few years later, the force moved to its new building on the Victoria Embankment. The premises became known as New Scotland Yard. Also during this time, one of Scotland Yard's most durable detectives, Frederick Porter Wensley (a.k.a. "the weasel"), began his 40-year post. Wensley joined the force in 1888, and his career was highlighted with many landmark cases, including the murder of 32-year-old French woman Emilienne Gerard, also known as the "Blodie Belgium" case. On the morning of November 2, 1917, street sweepers found Gerard's torso along with a note reading "Blodie Belgium." Wensley questioned Gerard's lover, Louis Voisin, asking him to write the message "Bloody Belgium." Voisin made the same spelling error, sealing his guilt. Earlier in Wensley's career, he did minor detective work on the infamous case of Jack the Ripper, which had gripped London's East End. Jack the Ripper was the self-proclaimed alias of the serial killer (or killers) responsible for five murders between 1888 and 1891. The officers of Scotland Yard were assigned to apprehend the suspect who

was responsible for 11 attacks on prostitutes in the largely impoverished Whitechapel area. Police determined the killer's pattern—he would offer to pay for sex, lure the women away and slice their throats—but struggled to track down the criminal. Without modern forensic technology, the officers of Scotland Yard, namely Inspector Frederick Abberline, relied on anthropometry—or identifying criminals by certain facial features, such as brow thickness or jaw shape. More than 160 people were accused of the Whitechapel murders, ranging from Alice in Wonderland author Lewis Carroll to painter William Richard Sickert. The force received many letters from people claiming to be the killer; two in particular gave detailed facts and were signed "Jack the Ripper." Still, in 1892, with no more leads or murders, the Jack the Ripper case was officially closed. Since its inception, Scotland Yard has always held a place in popular culture. The officers have appeared frequently as characters in the backdrop of mysteries, including Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's Sherlock Holmes stories. On television and in magazines today, Scotland Yard "bobbies" can be found standing stoically behind the royal family and other dignitaries that they are assigned to protect. In 1967, the force moved once again to its present location, a modern 20-story building near the Houses of Parliament. The CID has become well-known for its investigative methods, primarily its fingerprinting techniques, which have been borrowed by the FBI. Today, Scotland Yard has roughly 30,000 officers patrolling 620 square miles occupied by 7.2 million citizens. Hence, that's why the Scotland Yard has been heavily referred in both Christie's and Doyle's novels. While detective fiction is perhaps the most well known abstract sort today, and World War One and its fallout has become a significant setting for American, British and South African crime authors, present day detective fiction set in that period has pulled in generally minimal basic consideration. I contend that cutting edge detective novels that return to the War and underline the significance of the reclamation of request comprise another sub-class—the Neo-Golden Age analyst novel. The War gives an especially fruitful setting to analyzing human inspiration and enduring, and for taking a gander at methods of managing wrongdoing in war and harmony. It additionally exhibits the significance of request and soundness. A worry with brutality and ethical

quality is staying put, as is broad interest in the War, especially in Britain where most of the investigator stories on the War are delivered. World War I, otherwise called the Great War, started in 1914 after the death of Archduke Franz Ferdinand of Austria. His murder slung into a battle across Europe that went on until 1918. During the contention, Germany, Austria-Hungary, Bulgaria and the Ottoman Empire (the Central Powers) battled against Great Britain, France, Russia, Italy, Romania, Japan and the United States (the Allied Powers). Because of new military advances and the revulsions of close quarters conflict, World War I saw remarkable degrees of bloodletting and obliteration. When the war was finished and the Allied Powers asserted triumph, in excess of 16 million individuals—warriors and regular people the same—were dead. Strains had been preparing all through Europe—particularly in the upset Balkan area of southeast Europe—for quite a long time before World War I really broke out. Various collusions including European powers, the Ottoman Empire, Russia and different gatherings had existed for quite a long time, yet political shakiness in the Balkans (especially Bosnia, Serbia and Herzegovina) took steps to obliterate these arrangements. The sparkle that lighted World War I was struck in Sarajevo, Bosnia, where Archduke Franz Ferdinand—beneficiary to the Austro-Hungarian Empire—was shot to death alongside his significant other, Sophie, by the Serbian patriot Gavrilo Princip on June 28, 1914. Princip and different patriots were battling to end Austro-Hungarian standard over Bosnia and Herzegovina. The death of Franz Ferdinand set off a quickly raising chain of occasions: Austria-Hungary, in the same way as other nations around the globe, censured the Serbian government for the assault and would have liked to utilize the occurrence as legitimization for settling the topic of Serbian patriotism unequivocally. Since powerful Russia upheld Serbia, Austria-Hungary stood by to announce battle until its chiefs got affirmation from German pioneer Kaiser Wilhelm II that Germany would uphold their motivation. Austro-Hungarian pioneers expected that a Russian mediation would include Russia's partner, France, and perhaps Great Britain too. On July 5, Kaiser Wilhelm subtly vowed his help, giving Austria-Hungary a supposed unconditional power, or "unlimited free pass" affirmation

of Germany's moving on account of war. The Dual Monarchy of Austria-Hungary at that point sent a final offer to Serbia, with such cruel terms as to make it practically difficult to acknowledge. Persuaded that Austria-Hungary was preparing for war, the Serbian government requested the Serbian armed force to activate and engaged Russia for help. On July 28, Austria-Hungary proclaimed battle on Serbia, and the shaky harmony between Europe's incredible powers immediately fell. Inside seven days, Russia, Belgium, France, Great Britain and Serbia had arranged against Austria-Hungary and Germany, and World War I had started. As per a forceful military procedure known as the Schlieffen Plan (named for its genius, German Field Marshal Alfred von Schlieffen), Germany started battling World War I on two fronts, attacking France through unbiased Belgium in the west and defying Russia in the east. On August 4, 1914, German soldiers crossed the boundary into Belgium. In the principal clash of World War I, the Germans attacked the vigorously strengthened city of Liege, utilizing the most impressive weapons in their stockpile—gigantic attack cannons—to catch the city by August 15. The Germans left passing and obliteration afterward as they progressed through Belgium toward France, shooting regular people and executing a Belgian cleric they had blamed for impelling non military personnel obstruction. In the First Battle of the Marne, battled from September 6-9, 1914, French and British powers defied the attacking Germany armed force, which had by then entered profound into northeastern France, inside 30 miles of Paris. The Allied soldiers checked the German development and mounted a fruitful counterattack, driving the Germans back to north of the Aisne River. The loss implied the finish of German designs for a brisk triumph in France. The two sides delved into channels, and the Western Front was the setting for an appalling battle of steady loss that would last over three years. Especially long and exorbitant fights in this mission were battled at Verdun (February-December 1916) and the Battle of the Somme (July-November 1916). German and French soldiers endured near 1,000,000 losses in the Battle of Verdun alone. On the Eastern Front of World War I, Russian powers attacked the German-held districts of East Prussia and Poland, yet were held back by German and Austrian powers at the Battle of Tannenberg in late

August 1914. Regardless of that triumph, Russia's attack had constrained Germany to move two corps from the Western Front toward the Eastern, adding to the German misfortune in the Battle of the Marne. Joined with the savage Allied opposition in France, the capacity of Russia's tremendous war machine to prepare moderately rapidly in the east guaranteed a more extended, really overwhelming clash rather than the brisk triumph Germany had would have liked to win under the Schlieffen Plan. At the flare-up of battling in 1914, the United States stayed uninvolved of World War I, embracing the strategy of lack of bias supported by President Woodrow Wilson while proceeding to take part in business and delivery with European nations on the two sides of the contention. Impartiality, notwithstanding, was expanding hard to keep up even with Germany's unchecked submarine animosity against unbiased boats, including those conveying travelers. In 1915, Germany pronounced the waters encompassing the British Isles to be a combat area, and German U-boats sunk a few business and traveler vessels, including some U.S. ships. Inescapable dissent over the sinking by U-boat of the British sea liner Lusitania—venturing out from New York to Liverpool, England with many American travelers locally available—in May 1915 aided switch things around of American popular assessment against Germany. In February 1917, Congress passed a \$250 million arms allotments charge planned to prepare the United States for war. Germany sunk four more U.S. shipper transports the next month, and on April 2 Woodrow Wilson showed up before Congress and required a revelation of battle against Germany. A long time before World War I, the prevalence of Britain's Royal Navy was unchallenged by some other country's armada, however the Imperial German Navy had taken generous steps in shutting the hole between the two maritime forces. Germany's solidarity on the high oceans was likewise helped by its deadly armada of U-boat submarines. After the Battle of Dogger Bank in January 1915, in which the British mounted an unexpected assault on German boats in the North Sea, the German naval force decided not to face Britain's strong Royal Navy in a significant fight for over a year, liking to rest the heft of its maritime system on its U-boats. The greatest maritime commitment of World War I, the Battle of Jutland (May 1916) left

British maritime prevalence on the North Sea flawless, and Germany would make no further endeavors to break an Allied maritime barricade for the rest of the war. World War I was the main significant clash to saddle the force of planes. In spite of the fact that not as significant as the British Royal Navy or Germany's U-boats, the utilization of planes in World War I forecasted their later, vital part in military clashes around the world. At the beginning of World War I, flying was a moderately new field; the Wright siblings took their first supported flight only eleven years prior, in 1903. Airplane were at first utilized fundamentally for observation missions. During the First Battle of the Marne, data passed from pilots permitted the partners to misuse shaky areas in the German lines, assisting the Allies with pushing Germany out of France. The primary automatic weapons were effectively mounted on planes in June of 1912 in the United States, yet were blemished; whenever coordinated erroneously, a slug could without much of a stretch obliterate the propeller of the plane it came from. The Morane-Saulnier L, a French plane, given an answer: The propeller was shielded with redirector wedges that kept projectiles from hitting it. The Morane-Saulnier Type L was utilized by the French, the British Royal Flying Corps (part of the Army), the British Royal Navy Air Service and the Imperial Russian Air Service. The British Bristol Type 22 was another mainstream model utilized for both surveillance work and as a military aircraft. Dutch creator Anthony Fokker refined the French redirector framework in 1915. His "interrupter" synchronized the shooting of the weapons with the plane's propeller to evade crashes. Despite the fact that his most famous plane during WWI was the single-seat Fokker Eindecker, Fokker made more than 40 sorts of planes for the Germans. The Allies appeared the Handley-Page HP O/400, the initial two-motor aircraft, in 1915. As flying innovation advanced, long-range hefty aircraft like Germany's Gotha G.V. (first presented in 1917) were utilized to strike urban communities like London. Their speed and mobility end up being far deadlier than Germany's prior Zeppelin attacks. By war's end, the Allies were creating multiple times more airplane than the Germans. On April 1, 1918, the British made the Royal Air Force, or RAF, the principal flying corps to be a different military branch free from the naval force or army. World War I ended the

existences of in excess of 9 million troopers; 21 million more were injured. Regular citizen losses numbered near 10 million. The two countries most influenced were Germany and France, every one of which sent somewhere in the range of 80% of their male populaces between the ages of 15 and 49 into fight. The political disturbance encompassing World War I likewise added to the fall of four admired majestic traditions: Germany, Austria-Hungary, Russia and Turkey. World War I achieved gigantic social commotion, as a great many ladies entered the labor force to supplant men who did battle and the individuals who won't ever returned. The main worldwide war additionally assisted with spreading one of the world's deadliest worldwide pandemics, the Spanish influenza scourge of 1918, which executed an expected 20 to 50 million individuals. World War I has likewise been alluded to as "the main present day war." Many of the innovations currently connected with military clash—assault rifles, tanks, flying battle and radio correspondences—were presented for a gigantic scope during World War I. The extreme impacts that synthetic weapons, for example, mustard gas and phosgene had on fighters and regular people during World War I excited public and military perspectives against their proceeded with use. The Geneva Convention arrangements, endorsed in 1925, confined the utilization of synthetic and natural specialists in fighting and stays basically today. Hence, although Christie and Doyle were from two different eras, they share many common themes in their novels because of the historical events that occurred in both Victorian and Edwardian era.

CHAPTER 3

CONCLUSION

SOCIAL CLASSES AND REAL LIFE CRIMES:

The Victorian Era in Britain was dominated by the rule of Queen Victoria (1837-1901). In spite of the fact that it was a serene and prosperous time, there were still issues inside the social construction. The social classes of this period incorporated the Upper class, Middle class, and lower class. The individuals who were sufficiently lucky to be in the Upper class didn't generally perform physical work. All things being equal, they were landowners and recruited lower class laborers to work for them, or made speculations to make a benefit. This class was isolated into three subcategories: Royal, the individuals who came from an illustrious family, Middle Upper, significant officials and masters, and Lower Upper, rich men and entrepreneurs (Victorian England Social Hierarchy). The development of the Middle class during this time was because of the fast development of urban communities and the economy. It was likewise alluded to as the Bourgeoisie, and comprised of the individuals who had gifted responsibilities to help themselves and their families. Shippers and retailers became well known occupations as exchange, both homegrown and abroad, thrived. The enormous size of new businesses like railways, banks, and government implied that more work was expected to ensure the urban communities had the option to work (Loftus). The middle class callings been able to climb in the corporate rankings and procure a more significant compensation. It was useful to have associations with those in incredible situations as they had the option to land positions all the more without any problem. Additionally, the Middle class was likewise isolated into two classifications, more significant level and lower level. Individuals from the lower working class commonly worked for those in the Higher level (Victorian England Social Hierarchy). The Working class comprised of incompetent workers who worked in ruthless and unsanitary conditions (Victorian England Social Hierarchy). They didn't approach clean water and food, training for their youngsters, or legitimate apparel. Frequently, they lived in the city and were a long way

from the work they could get, so they would need to stroll to where they expected to get to. Shockingly, numerous specialists depended on the utilization of medications like opium and liquor to adapt to their difficulties (Thomas). The Under class were the individuals who were defenseless and relied upon the help of others. Poor people and youthful vagrants depended on gifts to endure (Victorian England Social Hierarchy). A few ladies who were incompetent and couldn't land any positions became whores to earn enough to pay the rent. As they were very questionable, Parliament casted a ballot to pass the "Infectious Diseases Act" (1864, 1866, 1869) which permitted prostitution in military towns, however implied the ladies must be coercively checked for sicknesses (Landow). The demonstration was intended to shield the men from contracting sicknesses; not the ladies from being hurt. This abuse made a solid women's activist development among Victorian ladies who longed for reasonable treatment. At long last in 1885, Parliament passed the "Criminal Law Amendment Act", which raised the period of assent and disallowed the utilization of houses of ill-repute (Landow). During the Victorian Age, there was an early time of increased birth rates, which prompted an expansion in populace, yet in addition a headway of industrialization. The movement of England as a general public prompted a more prominent interest for work from the two grown-ups and kids. Youngsters took on dedicated positions as coal excavators, smokestack sweepers, ranch laborers and homegrown workers. A few youngsters were even compelled to assume the job of a railroad laborer because of the innovation of The Railway brought by the Industrial Revolution (Find out additional about industrialization in England at The Impact of the Railway on Victorian England). Kid work turned into a general issue in the mid 1800s because of an absence of exertion to improve working conditions by the privileged. Since the public authority was affected by the rich to put resources into extravagance as opposed to advance security for workers, numerous youngsters endured at work. The most ruthless type of kid work occurred in coal mineshafts. Youngsters were needed to work 12 to 18 hours per day in mines that were invaded with rodents and sickness, and had helpless ventilation. Such unforgiving working conditions prompted the advancement respiratory issues and

an increment in mine debacles/setbacks. It was not until at any rate thirty years after the fact when reformers started to make a move against youngster work. In 1875, The New York Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children was established as the principal youngster defensive organization on the planet. This association set the pace for social change and eventually, saved youngsters from an existence of savagery and difficulty. During this period, the parts of people turned out to be more forcefully characterized than they had at any point been ever. As opposed to ladies working close by the men in privately-owned companies, the nineteenth century saw an increment in men driving away to their work environments, leaving the ladies home practically the entire day to direct the family unit. This philosophy of people involving "Separate Spheres" was upheld by the possibility that there were "normal" qualities of people that fit each for various jobs. Ladies, considered actually more fragile yet ethically prevalent, best fitting them for the home-grown circle. Ladies couldn't appear to be too centred on finding a spouse, in case it showed up they had a stressing measure of sexual craving. Ladies were intended to just craving marriage in that it permitted them to become moms as opposed to for any sexual or enthusiastic fulfilment. Ladies had no real option except to remain unadulterated until marriage, generally not in any event, being permitted to address a man except if there was a hitched lady overseeing. Young ladies commonly wedded in their ahead of schedule to mid 20's to a husband to be around 5 years more established than them to support the "regular" pecking order between the genders. After a lady wedded, her privileges and property stopped to remain her own. All that she possessed currently had a place with her better half, including her body, property, and cash. The duties of privileged and noble ladies were restricted on account of the basic assessment that they were frail. These women had a scope of workers to play out the home-grown errands for them, so they normally needed to administer them. An ordinary undertaking of privileged women was tolerating and paying visits, just as getting sorted out evening gatherings for their loved ones. These were events where ladies could demonstrate their homemaking abilities and great taste, and to fill in as images to others about their societal position. Jobs of lower class ladies were

recognized from the high society by having less training and less freedoms. Most ladies worked in home-grown help, either as a cook, house cleaner, or laundress to a richer lady. Different ladies were utilized as barmaids, servers, housekeepers, and washerwomen. To have the option to go to work, moms would regularly pay different ladies, generally older or youthful, to watch their children. Working ladies couldn't stand to pay for workers, so close to their genuine positions they needed to do the entirety of the family unit errands themselves. This was utilized as proof to help that ladies ought not have a place in the work environment, in light of the fact that their families were not being as expected dealt with. By the beginning of the Victorian Era, it had become certain that the predominance of wrongdoing in England was an issue that should have been tended to. "The mechanical unrest put new pressing factors on society, prompting viciousness. Aggregate living prompted aggregate association, which assisted with making social problem for a bigger scope" (Bloy). Nonetheless, while the requirement for a police power was apparent, it wasn't until 1829, when Robert Peele supported the Metropolitan Police Act, that the beginnings of a goal were reached. The consequence of the Act was the Metropolitan Police, settled at Scotland Yard. One of the soonest formally dressed police powers, they supplanted military soldiers and civilian army as the peacekeeping power in the London metropolitan region (in spite of the fact that they had no locale in the City of London, itself). In early years, the police had insignificant position, yet their purview developed during the accompanying forty years. For instance, they were given the power to capture irritation young men and road artists (British Library Board), board vessels, enter gaming foundations, watch fairs, and perform search and seizures (National Archives). Such authority was required, as crime percentages were high. Proof of the inescapability of crime is found in *The Night Side of London* (1858), composed by J. Ewing Ritchie. Ritchie gives the accompanying measurements to 1856: "it creates the impression that altogether 73,240 people were arrested, of whom 45,941 were guys, and 27,209 were females; 18,000 of the misgivings were because of inebriation, 8160 for unlawful ownership of merchandise, 7021 for straightforward theft, 6763 for normal attacks, 2194 for attacks on the police;

4303 ladies were arrested as whores" (Banerjee). Indeed, even these high numbers may be a belittle as the poor frequently neglected to report wrongdoings because of an absence of confidence in the police power (Emsley). This qualification between the affluent and the poor as for law authorization stemmed to some extent from the new idea of a 'criminal class.' In the personalities of the privileged societies, the individuals from this hidden world lived in the foulness of the East End and comprised of the least fortunate citizenry. They "lived altogether on the returns of crime and went after the good individuals of the West End of London" (Beaven and Pulham).

PIONEERS OF CRIME FICTION:

In the western world, crime fiction – mystery, thrillers, suspense, whodunnits, etc. – makes up somewhere between 25 and 40 percent of all fiction book sales. Crime is fascinating, to be sure, because most of us don't commit it. But the popularity of the genre has little to do with crime per se. It has far more to do with the very essence of how storytelling works. Crime fiction exhibits most clearly one of the fundamental rules of storytelling: cause and effect. In crime fiction, you notice more than in other genres that every scene must be justified – each plot event must have a *raison d'être* within the story, because the reader or audience perceives every scene as the potential cause of an effect that comes later. Even if a plot event turns out to be a red herring, then that herring is placed there for a purpose – i.e. of misleading the reader/viewer. And that is the point. Every scene has a recognizable purpose. In all fiction, scenes with no purpose might best be expunged. In crime fiction scenes with no purpose are least forgivable. Structurally, crime fiction is the purest genre. In classic crime fiction the narrative principle is particularly clear. The external problem as inciting incident is most obvious: the crime. The crime is external to the investigator's life – until the investigator receives the call to investigate it. So the investigator is set a task, given a mission. The story sets up directly what the investigator wants: to resolve the crime. The investigator perceives the need to follow the first of the chain of clues that leads to solving the crime – that is the job, it's what investigators do. The goal is to apprehend the criminal, that

is the quest, achieving it will satisfy the want. An external problem, a call, a task, a want, an external need, a goal. All the elements of storytelling, all that the surface structure of any story exhibits – in crime fiction none of this is buried, it is not indirect, it's not possible to overlook these elements, either as a reader or indeed as an author. Probably the main reason some people don't appreciate the crime genre is because it concentrates so expressly on the surface structure. What crime stories often don't exhibit so meaningfully is the deep structure – not the internal problem and the real or emotional need of the main character, nor the change, the emotional development or growth of that character. In crime fiction, the resolution of the quest for the truth behind the mystery set up at the beginning of the story – the answer to the story question "whodunnit", i.e. "who committed the crime?" – often takes the place of character development. For some people, that is not enough. That's why crime fiction is often considered "lightweight" in comparison to more "literary" stories.

The Search for Truth in Stories Consider this: All art, like all science and all religion, is in its very essence the search for truth. Truth about the human condition, what it is like to be living as a person in this world. In no genre is a search for truth more explicitly the subject matter. Who committed the crime? The whole story revolves explicitly about solving this problem, finding the truth of who or what is behind the mystery. Because the whole point of any story – as with any art – is truth-seeking, when it is so direct as in crime fiction, the story is easier to consume, less demanding than stories that are not so obviously and directly about truth-seeking. That explains the apparent paradox of why reading about gruesome murders is somehow relaxing. A search for truth culminates in a gaining of awareness. One of the secrets of how to compose great stories is conceiving the moment of revelation – the scene in which the penny drops for the audience –, and structuring the rest of the architecture of the story around that fundamental scene. The entire narrative is designed to lead stringently to the moment in which the audience is to have the aha-effect. This gasp, this "oh yes" in the audience, is achieved by various dramatic techniques, such as "planting" and "foreshadowing". For the audience, it is one of the most satisfying moments of consuming a story. A novel, film, or tale around

the campfire that does not have such a scene is less likely to be well-received. This general rule of thumb is true for stories ancient and modern, from any and all cultures, and irrespective of the medium in which they are told. And crime fiction follows this rule more distinctly than any other genre, because a crime story inevitably moves towards the scene in which the audience gains awareness of the truth behind the mystery. In the end, the audience must know whodunit and why. The interesting twist in crime fiction compared to other genres is that the protagonist of a crime story often does not exhibit change. In most stories, the main character learns something and grows emotionally – is a changed person at the end of the narrative. That cannot be said for most detectives in crime stories. This is due to a large extent to the pragmatic necessity of keeping the investigator as a consistent character for the next books in the series, the next episodes of the TV show. But it is also because the discovery of what was hidden, the revelation of truth, takes place in the audience notwithstanding. The effect necessary for the reader or viewer to feel that a story is satisfying, the effect of perceiving a change from the situation set up at the beginning to the situation at the end, happens with the “aha”-effect of finding out who the murderer is, rather than with seeing, aha, the character has grown. It is quite true that Doyle and Christie were the pioneers of crime fiction but, there are many reasons why. Although it’s true that Christie’s mystery setup was absolutely brilliant, the writing of Poirot himself drives the book home. He’s got a very dignified personality, but also has quirks that make readers more interested in his character: he requires complete symmetry when eating breakfast, despises disorder and mess, is always polished and dressed to perfection. His character is compelling in the way that Sherlock Holmes is: Poirot is funny, quick-witted, and incredibly intelligent, but he is also able to admit that he is wrong, and pick up on his mistakes. Poirot’s polished personality and humility make him a hero in readers’ eyes. He was included in thirty-three novels and fifty-nine short stories, which is a clear display of his popularity, and was so loved by all that he even received an obituary on the front page of the New York Times. Christie knew well what makes a compelling character, and used it to her advantage to ensure that every detective novel

she wrote would be a best-seller. Nobody wants to spend an entire book with a stuck-up, dull-witted, or boring detective, so of course Christie's tact with writing Poirot would be to ensure that he's clever enough to get us to stick with him through all ninety-two of the works he appears in. Christie took inspiration for her books from her daily life. She was doing a lot of traveling while writing, which allowed her to come up with more exciting locations for her books. It also gave her better inspiration for her characters. Main characters, suspects, and even victims that starred in her bestsellers were almost exclusively taken from real people that Christie knew or had seen. She even said that she had 'seen' Poirot in two separate people while out and about. Christie's characters and locations are always incredibly vivid, which makes her books that much more interesting. Because she took inspiration from her daily life and interactions, it made her books more realistic. Characters had deep personalities and colorful traits, and locations were always changing and always exciting. She came up with a thousand different ways for mysteries to happen, each of them involving a cast of unforgettable characters in interesting locations. This is by far the most important thing that Christie did. When she first began writing, murder mysteries simply weren't that popular. Edgar Allen Poe wrote a few — in fact, his mysteries are recognized as the first written murder mysteries — and, of course, Arthur Conon Doyle created Sherlock Holmes, which was incredibly popular. But no "ground rules" or established importance in the mystery genre had yet been created. Essentially, Christie knew that her murder mysteries would need a good detective, somewhat similar in character to the famous Holmes, in that he would both have quirks and be witty. Beyond that, she had no prior literary inspirations to go off of. Christie established the other rules of writing a murder mystery. It was she who created the idea of trapping all of her characters in one location, she who thought of having her detective go around and connect the suspects one by one. Even Holmes' mysteries take place in a goose-chase around London, and rarely have more than a couple of suspects. But Christie's murders had a wide variety of people that could have done it, and slowly, throughout her stories, the list gets more and more narrow until the final suspect is revealed and arrested (or commits suicide, in some novels). Now, when

readers think about murders, the general consensus is that the most exciting stories take place where a detective has easy access to all of the suspects. This eliminates time-wasters like running around, chasing suspects, or constantly changing scenery: the focus is entirely on the detective and the suspects. This allows Christie's true brilliance to shine: she was so spectacular at writing clever, intelligent murder mysteries that she can keep readers captivated without cut-in scenes or extra fluff. So, there you have it. Christie's mysteries were so popular because, not only were they one of the first murder mysteries ever written, but because they had compelling detectives, colorful suspects, and because they created the true rules of murder mystery. Her scenarios were smart and well-executed: it's because of her that readers look for murders in mansions, abandoned trains, spooky islands, and behind locked doors. Christie defined what it meant to write a good mystery, and, because of that, her novels are still popular today. Utilizing a contemporary scenery, Sherlock, Dr. Watson and Mrs Hudson are relocated from Victorian to present day London. By remaining dedicated to Doyle's accounts however adding a dull layer of current anticipation through instant messages, bombs and logical investigation in an authorized research center, the allure stays a lot of the equivalent. However, for what reason do we actually adore Holmes? It must be the blend of Sherlock's keenness, his captivating abilities of allowance and perception, just as the way in which Holmes works, that attracts us. When he gets associated with a case, nothing else matters until it is tackled. We go along with him, very close, at a homicide scene – intrigued instead of censured. On an individual level, Sherlock additionally interests. He can tackle any wrongdoing, yet he remains socially incompetent and awkward – qualities which really charm him to his thoughtful and appreciating pursuers and watchers. His companionship with Watson is likewise charming. They contend and spat, yet their dependability to one another is ensured. That is the reason, Sherlock Holmes is the world's most popular detective, and ostensibly perhaps the greatest fictional characters ever.

WORKS CITED:

Primary Sources:

- [1] Christie, A. (2004). *The Mysterious Affair at Styles*. New York: Harper Collins.
- [2] Doyle, A. C. (2007). *The Hound of the Baskervilles*. London: Penguin Books.

Secondary Sources:

- [1] http://www.beaconsociety.com/uploads/3/7/3/8/37380505/onderkova_kristyna_--_sherlock_holmes_vs_hercule_poirot_--_the_comparison_between_doyle_and_christies_great_detectives.pdf
- [2] <https://www.redalyc.org/jatsRepo/279/27964362040/html/index.html>
- [3] <https://worldofsmallthingsblog.wordpress.com/2017/08/14/agatha-christie-and-arthur-conan-doyle-a-comparison/>
- [4] <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/210571283.pdf>
- [5] <https://www.easternct.edu/speichera/understanding-literary-history-all/the-victorian-period.html>
- [6] <https://core.ac.uk/reader/35470220>
- [7] <https://2012books.lardbucket.org/books/british-literature-through-history/s07-01-the-victorian-era-1832-1901.html>
- [8] <https://lisawallerrogers.com/tag/edwardian-era/>
- [9] <http://victorian-era.org/edwardian-era-literature.html>
- [10] <https://bitaboutbritain.com/edwardian-timeline/>

[11] <https://intriguing-history.com/periods-history/edwardian-period/>

[12] <https://englishc.pressbooks.com/chapter/1-the-edwardian-era/>

51

[13] https://agathachristie.imgix.net/news/Extract_TheMysteriousAffairAtStyles_%C2%A9AgathaChristieLimited.pdf

[14] https://agathachristie.fandom.com/wiki/The_Mysterious_Affair_at_Styles#:~:text=The%20novel%20is%20set%20in,part%20of%20the%20late%20Mr.

[15] <https://www.supersummary.com/the-hound-of-the-baskervilles/summary/>

[16] https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282818012_Sir_Arthur_Conan_Doyle_and_British_Liberal_Imperialism

[17] <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/m/marxism.asp#:~:text=Marxism%20is%20a%20social%2C%20political%2C%20and%20economic%20theory%20originated%20by,capitalists%20and%20the%20working%20class.&text=He%20believed%20that%20this%20conflict,seize%20control%20of%20the%20economy.>

[18] <https://repository.upenn.edu/dissertations/AAI9953555/#:~:text=A%20close%20reading%20of%20Christie's,egalitarian%20view%20of%20courtship%2C%20marriage%2C>

[19] <https://www.heritage.org/gender/report/betty-friedan-and-the-birth-modern-feminism#:~:text=Friedan's%20feminism%20emphasized%20career%2Doriented,men%20instead%20of%20domestic%20life.&text=Section%201%2F0->

,Betty%20Friedan%20launched%20modern%20feminism%2C%20arguably%20the%20most%20influential%20and,movement%20of%20the%2020th%20century.

[20] <https://feministtheory.weebly.com/betty-friedan.html>

[21] <https://www.cliffsnotes.com/cliffsnotes/subjects/literature/what-is-new-historicism#:~:text=New%20Historicism%20is%20a%20literary,the%20history%20of%20the%20critic.&text=The%20New%20Historicist%20also%20acknowledges,his%20own%20culture%20and%20environment.>

[22] <https://trepo.tuni.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/78751/gradu02341.pdf?sequence=1#:~:text=defines%20what%20it%20is%20to%20be%20a%20Victorian%20middle%20Dclass%20person.&text=and%20the%20woman%20question%20are,of%20Arthur%20Conan%20Doyle's%20writings.&text=female%20clients%20in%20Sherlock%20Holmes,a%20powerful%2C%20patriarchal%20hero.%E2%80%9D>

[23] <https://www.bbcamerica.com/anglophenia/2015/09/agatha-christie-four-heroines-that-make-her-an-unlikely-feminist-icon>

[24] <https://intriguing-history.com/womens-roles-in-edwardian-era/#:~:text=By%201901%2025%25%20of%20all,garments%20and%20even%20cycling%20trousers.>

[25] https://agathachristie.fandom.com/wiki/Emily_Inglethorp

[26] <https://www.smithsonianmag.com/history/a-brief-history-of-scotland-yard-172669755/>

- [27] <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0013838X.2015.1051872?journalCode=nest20>
- [28] <https://www.beemgee.com/blog/crime-fiction/>
- [29] <https://www.seijo.ac.jp/graduate/gslit/orig/journal/english/pdf/seng-43-15.pdf>
- [30] <https://sites.udel.edu/britlitwiki/social-life-in-victorian-england/>
- [31] <https://medium.com/@sophiabeams/uncovering-agatha-christie-why-were-her-mysteries-so-popular-180b6230d13f#:~:text=Christie's%20mysteries%20were%20so%20popular,true%20rules%20of%20murder%20mystery.>
- [32] <https://www.ool.co.uk/blog/sherlock-holmes-still-popular/#:~:text=It%20has%20to%20be%20the,matters%20until%20it%20is%20solved.>

