# SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES <br> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS <br> UNIT - I <br> DIRECT AND ITERATIVE METHODS 

## Gauss Elimination method

To solve the system of equation represented by matrix form $\mathrm{AX}=\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A}$ is a square matrix of order ' $n$ ' and X and B are column matrices with n elements. The coefficient matrix is reduced to upper triangular matrix by means linear transformation thereby the values of the variable are found one after other by back substitution methods.

We consider the system of $n$ linear equations in $n$ unknowns

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+\ldots .+a_{1 n} x_{n}=b_{1} \\
& a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+\ldots .+a_{2 n} x_{n}=b_{2} \\
& \vdots \\
& a_{n 1} x_{1}+a_{n 2} x_{2}+\ldots .+a_{n n} x_{n}=b_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two steps in the solution viz., the elimination of unknowns and back substitution
Problem 1. Solve the following system of equations using Gaussian elimination.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}+3 x_{2}-5 x_{3}=2 \\
& 3 x_{1}+11 x_{2}-9 x_{3}=4 \\
& -x_{1}+x_{2}+6 x_{3}=5
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution :
An augmented matrix is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr|r}
1 & 3 & -5 & 2 \\
3 & 11 & -9 & 4 \\
-1 & 1 & 6 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

We use the boxed element to eliminate any non-zeros below it.
This involves the following row operations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{crr|r}
1 & 3 & -5 & 2 \\
3 & 11 & -9 & 4 \\
-1 & 1 & 6 & 5
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& R 2-3 \times R 1 \\
& R 3+R 1
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{rrr|r}
1 & 3 & -5 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 6 & -2 \\
0 & 4 & 1 & 7
\end{array}\right]
$$

And the next step is to use the 2 to eliminate the non-zero below it. This requires the final row
operation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr|r}
1 & 3 & -5 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 6 & -2 \\
0 & 4 & 1 & 7
\end{array}\right] \quad R 3-2 \times R 2 \quad \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{rrr|r}
1 & 3 & -5 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 6 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & -11 & 11
\end{array}\right]
$$

This is the augmented form for an upper triangular system, writing the system in extended form we

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+3 x_{2}-5 x_{3} & =2 \\
2 x_{2}+6 x_{3} & =-2 \\
-11 x_{3} & =11
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives $x_{3}=-1 ; x_{2}=2 ; x_{1}=-9$.
Problem 2: Solve the system of equation $2 x+4 y+6 z=22$

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 x+8 y+5 x & =27 \\
-x+y+2 z & =2
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 4 & 6 & 22 \\
3 & 8 & 5 & 27 \\
-1 & 1 & 2 & 2
\end{array}\right]} \\
& R_{1}{ }^{\prime}=1 / 2 R_{1} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 11 \\
3 & 8 & 5 & 27 \\
-1 & 1 & 2 & 2
\end{array}\right]} \\
& R_{2}{ }^{\prime}=R_{2}-\mathbf{3} R_{1} ; R_{3}{ }^{\prime}=R_{3}+R_{1} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 2 & 3 & 11 \\
0 & 2 & -4 & -6 \\
0 & 3 & 5 & 13
\end{array}\right]} \\
& R_{2}{ }^{\prime}=\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{2} R_{2} ; R_{1}{ }^{\prime}=R_{1}-2 R_{2} ; R_{3}{ }^{\prime}=R_{3}-3 R_{2} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 7 & 17 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & -3 \\
0 & 0 & 11 & 22
\end{array}\right]} \\
& R_{3}{ }^{\prime}=\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{1 1} R_{1} ; R_{1}{ }^{\prime}=R_{1}-7 R_{3} ; R_{1}{ }^{\prime}=R_{1}-7 R_{3} ; R_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime}=R_{2}+\mathbf{2} R_{3} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]} \\
& \text { Thus the solution to the system is } \mathrm{x}=3, \mathrm{y}=1, \mathrm{z}=2 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 3. Using Gauss-Elimination method solve $2 \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+4 \mathrm{z}=12,8 \mathrm{x}-3 \mathrm{y}+2 \mathrm{z}=20$, $4 \mathrm{x}+11 \mathrm{y}-\mathrm{z}=33$.

Solution: Given system of equations in Matrix form $\mathrm{AX}=\mathrm{B}$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 4 \\
8 & -3 & 2 \\
4 & 11 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
12 \\
20 \\
33
\end{array}\right)
$$

Consider the Augmented Matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B
\end{array}\right] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 1 & 4 & 12 \\
8 & -3 & 2 & 20 \\
4 & 11 & -1 & 33
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 1 & 4 & 12 \\
0 & -7 & -14 & -28 \\
0 & 9 & -9 & 9
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& R_{3} \leftrightarrow R_{3}-2 R_{1} \\
& R_{2} \leftrightarrow R_{2}-4 R_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 1 & 4 & 12 \\
0 & -7 & -14 & -28 \\
0 & 0 & -27 & -27
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{3} \leftrightarrow R_{3}+\left(\frac{9}{7}\right) R_{2} \\
& -27 \mathrm{Z}=-27, \mathrm{Z}=1,-7 \mathrm{Y}-14 \mathrm{Z}=-28, \mathrm{Y}=2, \quad 2 \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}+4 \mathrm{Z}=12, \mathrm{X}=3 \\
& \mathrm{X}=3, \mathrm{Y}=2, \mathrm{Z}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 4. Solve $2 x+y+4 z=4, x-3 y-z=-5,3 x-2 y+2 z=-1$ by Gauss Elimination method

Solution: Given system of equations in Matrix form $\mathrm{AX}=\mathrm{B}$
$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & -3 & -1 \\ 3 & -2 & 2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}x \\ y \\ z\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}4 \\ -5 \\ -1\end{array}\right)$
Consider the Augmented Matrix
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}A & B\end{array}\right] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}2 & 1 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & -3 & -1 & -5 \\ 3 & -2 & 2 & -1\end{array}\right]$
$\sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}2 & 1 & 4 & 12 \\ 0 & -7 & -6 & -14 \\ 0 & -7 & -8 & -14\end{array}\right] R_{3} \leftrightarrow 2 R_{3}-3 R_{1}, R_{2} \leftrightarrow 2 R_{2}-R_{1}$
$\sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}2 & 1 & 4 & 12 \\ 0 & -7 & -6 & -14 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0\end{array}\right] R_{3} \leftrightarrow R_{3}-R_{2}$
By back substitution methods $-2 \mathrm{z}=0, \mathrm{z}=0,-7 \mathrm{y}-6 \mathrm{z}=-14, \mathrm{y}=2,2 \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+4 \mathrm{z}=12, \mathrm{x}=1$ $\mathrm{X}=1, \mathrm{Y}=2, \mathrm{Z}=0$

Problem 5. Solve $x+2 y+3 z=6,2 x+4 y+z=7,3 x+2 y+9 z=14$ by Gauss Elimination method

Solution: Given system of equations in Matrix form $\mathrm{AX}=\mathrm{B}$
$\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 9\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}x \\ y \\ z\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}6 \\ 7 \\ 14\end{array}\right)$
Consider the Augmented Matrix
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}A & B\end{array}\right] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 7 \\ 3 & 2 & 9 & 14\end{array}\right]$
$\sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & -5 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & -4\end{array}\right] R_{3} \leftrightarrow R_{3}-3 R_{1}, R_{2} \leftrightarrow R_{2}-2 R_{1}$
$\sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & -5\end{array}\right] R_{3} \leftrightarrow R_{2}$
By back substitution methods $-5 z=-5, z=1,-4 y=-4, y=1, x+2 y+3 z=6, x=1$ $\mathrm{X}=1, \mathrm{Y}=1, \mathrm{Z}=1$

## ITERATIVE METHODS FOR SOLVING LINEAR SYSTEMS

As a numerical technique, Gaussian elimination is rather unusual because it is direct. That is, a solution is obtained after a single application of Gaussian elimination. Once a "solution" has been obtained, Gaussian elimination offers no method of refinement. The lack of refinements can be a problem because, as the previous section shows, Gaussian elimination is sensitive to rounding error. Numerical techniques more commonly involve an iterative method. For example, in calculus you probably studied Newton's iterative method for approximating the zeros of a differentiable function. In this section you will look at two iterative methods for approximating the solution of a system of $n$ linear equations in $n$ variables.

## Gauss-Jacobi method

The Jacobi Method The first iterative technique is called the Jacobi method, after Carl Gustav Jacob Jacobi (1804-1851). This method makes two assumptions: (1) that the system given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+\ldots .+a_{1 n} x_{n}=b_{1} \\
& a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+\ldots .+a_{2 n} x_{n}=b_{2} \\
& \vdots \\
& a_{n 1} x_{1}+a_{n 2} x_{2}+\ldots .+a_{n n} x_{n}=b_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

has a unique solution and (2) that the coefficient matrix A has no zeros on its main diagonal. If any of the diagonal entries are zero, then rows or columns must be interchanged to obtain a coefficient matrix that has nonzero entries on the main diagonal. A matrix A is diagonally dominated if, in each row, the absolute value of the entry on the diagonal is greater than the sum of the absolute values of the other entries. More compactly, A is diagonally dominated if

$$
\left|A_{i j}\right|>\sum_{j, j \neq i}\left|A_{i j}\right| \text { for all } i
$$

To begin the Jacobi method, solve the first equation for the second equation for and so on, as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \mathrm{x}_{1}=1 / \mathrm{a}_{11}\left[\mathrm{~b}_{1}-\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{X}_{2}-\ldots-\mathrm{a}_{1 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right] \\
& \mathrm{x}_{2}=1 / \mathrm{a}_{22}\left[\mathrm{~b}_{2}-\mathrm{a}_{21} \mathrm{X}_{1}-\ldots-\mathrm{a}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right] \\
& \vdots \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=1 / \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{nn}}\left[\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} 2} \mathrm{X}_{2}-\ldots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then make an initial approximation of the solution, Initial approximation and substitute these values of into the right-hand side of the rewritten equations to obtain the first approximation. After this procedure has been completed, one iteration has been performed. In the same way, the second approximation is formed by substituting the first approximation's $x$-values into the right-hand side of the rewritten equations. By repeated iterations, you will form a sequence of approximations that often converges to the actual solution.

Problem 1:Use the Jacobi method to approximate the solution of the following system of linear equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
5 x_{1}-2 x_{2}+3 x_{3}= & -1 \\
-3 x_{1}+9 x_{2}+x_{3}= & 2 \\
2 x_{1}-x_{2}-7 x_{3}= & 3
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution
To begin, write the system in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=-\frac{1}{5}+\frac{2}{5} x_{2}-\frac{3}{5} x_{3} \\
& x_{2}=\frac{2}{9}+\frac{3}{9} x_{1}-\frac{1}{9} x_{3} \\
& x_{3}=-\frac{3}{7}+\frac{2}{7} x_{1}-\frac{1}{7} x_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathrm{x}_{1}=0, \mathrm{x}_{2}=0, \mathrm{x}_{3}=0$
as a convenient initial approximation. So, the first approximation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=-\frac{1}{5}+\frac{2}{5}(0)-\frac{3}{5}(0)=-0.200 \\
& x_{2}=\frac{2}{9}+\frac{3}{9}(0)-\frac{1}{9}(0) \approx 0.222 \\
& x_{3}=-\frac{3}{7}+\frac{2}{7}(0)-\frac{1}{7}(0) \approx-0.429 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing this procedure, you obtain the sequence of approximations shown in Table

| n | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 0.000 | -0.200 | 0.146 | 0.192 | 0.181 | 0.185 | 0.186 | 0.186 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 0.000 | 0.222 | 0.203 | 0.328 | 0.332 | 0.329 | 0.331 | 0.331 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | 0.000 | -0.429 | -0.517 | -0.416 | -0.421 | -0.424 | -0.423 | -0.423 |

Because the last two columns in the above table are identical, you can conclude that to three significant digits the solution is $\mathrm{x}_{1}=0.186, \mathrm{x}_{2}=0.331, \mathrm{x}_{3}=-0.423$.

Problem 2. Solve the system of equation using Gauss-Jacobi method $4 x-10 y+3 z=-3$, $x+6 y+10 z=-3,10 x-5 y-2 z=3$

Sol. Given equation can be rearranged such that they are diagonally dominant as follows.
$10 x-5 y-2 z=3 \rightarrow x=1 / 10[3+5 y+2 z]$
$4 x-10 y+3 z=-3 \rightarrow y=-1 / 10[3+4 x+3 z]$
$x+6 y+10 z=-3 \rightarrow z=-1 / 10[3 x+x+6 y]$
By iteration process, the values are tabulated as follows

| Iteration | $\mathrm{x}=1 / 10[3+5 \mathrm{y}+2 \mathrm{z}]$ | $\mathrm{y}=-1 / 10[3+4 \mathrm{x}+3 \mathrm{z}]$ | $\mathrm{z}=-1 / 10[3 \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}+6 \mathrm{y}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | -0.3 |
| 2 | 0.39 | 0.33 | -0.51 |
| 3 | 0.363 | 0.303 | -0.537 |
| 4 | 0.344 | 0.284 | -0.518 |
| 5 | 0.338 | 0.282 | -0.505 |


| 6 | 0.34 | 0.284 | -0.503 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 0.341 | 0.285 | -0.504 |
| 8 | 0.342 | 0.285 | -0.505 |
| 9 | 0.342 | 0.285 | -0.505 |

Solution is $\mathrm{x}=0.342, \mathrm{y}=0.285, \mathrm{z}=-0.505$

## GAUSS SEIDEL METHOD

Intuitively, the Gauss-Seidel method seems more natural than the Jacobi method. If the solution is converging and updated information is available for some of the variables, surely it makes sense to use that information! From a programming point of view, the Gauss-Seidel method is definitely more convenient, since the old value of a variable can be overwritten as soon as a new value becomes available. With the Jacobi method, the values of all variables from the previous iteration need to be retained throughout the current iteration, which means that twice as much as storage is needed. On the other hand, the Jacobi method is perfectly suited to parallel computation, whereas the Gauss-Seidel method is not. Because the Jacobi method updates or 'displaces' all of the variables at the same time (at the end of each iteration) it is often called the method of simultaneous displacements. The Gauss-Seidel method updates the variables one by one (during each iteration) so its corresponding name is the method of successive displacements.

Problem 1
Solve the following system of equations by Gauss - Seidel method
$28 x+4 y-z=32$
$x+3 y+10 z=24$
$2 x+17 y+4 z=35$

Solution: Since the diagonal element in given system are not dominant, we rearrange the equation as follows
$28 x+4 y-z=32$
$2 x+17 y+4 z=35$
$x+3 y+10 z=24$
Hence
$x=1 / 28[32-4 y+z]$
$\mathrm{y}=1 / 17[35-2 \mathrm{x}-4 \mathrm{z}]$
$z=1 / 10[24-x-3 y]$
Setting $y=0$ and $z=0$, we get,
First iteration
$\mathrm{x}^{(1)}=1 / 28[32-4(0)+(0)]=1.1429$
$\mathrm{y}^{(1)}=1 / 17[35-2(1.1429)-4(0)]=1.9244$
$z^{(1)}=1 / 10[24-1.1429-3(1.9244)]=1.8084$
Second Iteration
$\mathrm{x}^{(2)}=1 / 28[32-4(1.9244)+(1.8084)]=0.9325$

$$
y^{(2)}=1 / 17[35-2(0.9325)-4(1.8084)]=1.5236
$$

$$
z^{(2)}=1 / 10[24-0.9325-3(1.5236)]=1.8497
$$

Third Iteration
$\mathrm{x}^{(3)}=1 / 28[32-4(1.5236)+(1.8497)]=0.9913$
$\mathrm{y}^{(3)}=1 / 17[35-2(0.9913)-4(1.8497)]=1.5070$
$z^{(3)}=1 / 10[24-0.9913-3(1.5070)]=1.8488$
Fourth Iteration
$\mathrm{x}^{(4)}=1 / 28[32-4(1.5070)+(1.8488)]=0.9936$
$\mathrm{y}^{(4)}=1 / 17[35-2(0.9936)-4(1.8488)]=1.5069$
$\mathrm{z}^{(4)}=1 / 10[24-0.9936-3(1.5069)]=1.8486$
Fifth Iteration
$x^{(5)}=1 / 28[32-4(1.5069)+(1.8486)]=0.9936$
$\mathrm{y}^{(5)}=1 / 17[35-2(0.9936)-4(1.8486)]=1.5069$
$z^{(5)}=1 / 10[24-0.9936-3(1.5069)]=1.8486$
Since the values of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ are same in the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ Iteration, we stop the procedure here.
Hence $\mathrm{x}=0.9936, \mathrm{y}=1.5069, \mathrm{z}=1.8486$.
Problem 2. Solve the following system of equation by Gauss-Seidel method $4 x+2 y+z=14, x+5 y-$ $\mathrm{z}=10, \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+8 \mathrm{z}=20$

Here the diagonal elements are dominant. Hence we apply Gauss-Seidel method.

| Iteration | $\mathrm{X}=1 / 4(14-2 \mathrm{y}-\mathrm{z})$ | $\mathrm{Y}=1 / 5(10-\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{z})$ | $\mathrm{Z}=1 / 8(20-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| 2 | 2.375 | 1.905 | 1.965 |
| 3 | 2.05625 | 1.98175 | 1.99525 |
| 4 | 2.0103125 | 1.9970 | 1.9991 |
| 5 | 2.00030 | 1.99947 | 1.9998 |
| 6 |  | 1.99991 | 1.99997 |

The values of solution correct to 4 decimal places are $\mathrm{x}=2.0000, \mathrm{y}=1.9999, \mathrm{z}=1.9999$

1. Solve the system of equations using Gauss-Jacobi method, $8 x-3 y+2 z=20$, $4 x+11 y-z=33,6 x+3 y+12 z=35$
Ans: $x=3.0168, y=1.9858, z=0.9117$
2. Solve by Gauss-Seidel method $x+y+54 z=110,27 x+6 y-z=85,6 x+15 y+2 z=72$ Ans: $x=2.425, y=3.573, z=1.926$

Power method: To find the numerically largest eigenvalue called dominant eigenvalue andthe corresponding eigen vector of a square matrix A .

1. Find the numerically largest eigenvalue of $\mathrm{A}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ by power method.
Soln.: Let $\mathrm{X}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right)$
Then $\mathrm{Y}_{1}=\mathrm{AX}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}5 \\ 7 \\ 5\end{array}\right)=7\left(\begin{array}{c}0.714 \\ 1 \\ 0.714\end{array}\right)=7 \mathrm{X} 1$
$\mathrm{Y}_{2}=\mathrm{AX}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.856 \\ 6.428 \\ 3.856\end{array}\right)=6.428\left(\begin{array}{c}0.6 \\ 1 \\ 0.6\end{array}\right)=6.428 \mathrm{X} 2$
$Y_{3}=A X_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.4 \\ 6.2 \\ 3.4\end{array}\right)=6.2\left(\begin{array}{c}0.548 \\ 1 \\ 0.548\end{array}\right)=6.2 \times 3$
$Y_{4}=A X_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.192 \\ 6.096 \\ 3.192\end{array}\right)=6.096\left(\begin{array}{c}0.524 \\ 1 \\ 0.524\end{array}\right)=6.096 \mathrm{X} 4$
$Y_{5}=A X_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.096 \\ 6.048 \\ 3.096\end{array}\right)=6.048\left(\begin{array}{c}0.512 \\ 1 \\ 0.512\end{array}\right)=6.048 \times 5$
$Y_{6}=A X_{5}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.048 \\ 6.024 \\ 3.048\end{array}\right)=6.024\left(\begin{array}{c}0.506 \\ 1 \\ 0.506\end{array}\right)=6.024 \mathrm{X} 6$
$Y_{7}=A X_{6}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.024 \\ 6.012 \\ 3.024\end{array}\right)=6.012\left(\begin{array}{c}0.503 \\ 1 \\ 0.503\end{array}\right)=6.012 \times 7$
$\mathrm{Y}_{8}=\mathrm{AX} \mathrm{X}_{7}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.012 \\ 6.006 \\ 3.012\end{array}\right)=6.006\left(\begin{array}{c}0.501 \\ 1 \\ 0.501\end{array}\right)=6.006 \mathrm{X8}$
$\mathrm{Y}_{9}=\mathrm{AX}_{8}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.004 \\ 6.002 \\ 3.004\end{array}\right)=6.002\left(\begin{array}{c}0.5 \\ 1 \\ 0.5\end{array}\right)=6.002 \mathrm{X} 9$
$\mathrm{Y}_{10}=\mathrm{AX}_{9}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.0\end{array}\right)=6.0\left(\begin{array}{c}0.5 \\ 1 \\ 0.5\end{array}\right)=6.0 \mathrm{X} 10$
$\mathrm{Y}_{11}=\mathrm{AX}_{10}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.0\end{array}\right)=6.0\left(\begin{array}{c}0.5 \\ 1 \\ 0.5\end{array}\right)=6.0 \mathrm{X} 11$
Convergence has occurred. The dominant eigen value is 6 and the corresponding eigen vector $\left(\begin{array}{c}0.5 \\ 1 \\ 0.5\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2 \\ 1\end{array}\right)$

# SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIT II 

## NUMERICAL DIFFERENTIATION AND INTEGRATION

2. Interpolation: Interpolation is the process of finding the intermediate values of a function (which is not explicitly known) from a set of values at specific points given in a tabulated form. The process of computing $y$ corresponding to $x$ where $x_{i}<x<x_{i+1}, I=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots$ ( $n-1$ ), is interpolation.

Extrapolation: If $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{x}_{0}$ or $\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ then the process is called extrapolation

## : Lagrange's Interpolation Formula for Unequal intervals

If $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots Y_{n}$ are the values of a function $y=f(x)$ corresponding to the arguments $x_{0}, x_{1}$, $\mathrm{X}_{2}$, Xn which are not necessarily equally spaced then
$\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{(x-\mathrm{x} 1)(x-\mathrm{x} 2)_{\ldots \ldots(x-\mathrm{xn})}}{(x 0-\mathrm{x} 1)(x 0-\mathrm{x} 2)_{\ldots \ldots 0}(x 0-\mathrm{xn})} \mathrm{y}_{0}+\ldots .+\frac{(x-\mathrm{x} 0)(x-\mathrm{x} 1) \ldots \ldots(x-\mathrm{xn}-1)}{(x n-\mathrm{x} 0)(x n-\mathrm{x} 1)_{\ldots \ldots 0}(x n-\mathrm{xn}-1)} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$
Problem 1. Determine by Lagrange's method the percentage number of patients over 40 years using the following data

| Age over <br> years | 30 | $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | 35 | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 45 | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 55 | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% number y of <br> patients | 148 | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | 96 | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 68 | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 34 | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ |

Soln. By Lagrange's polynomial
$Y=f(x)=\frac{(x-x 1)(x-x 2)(x-x 3)}{(x 0-x 1)(x 0-x 2)(x 0-x 3)} y_{0}+\ldots$
$\frac{\mathrm{Y}}{\frac{(x-35)(x-45)(x-55)}{(-5)(-15)(-25)} 148+\frac{(x-30)(x-45)(x-55)}{(5)(-10)(-20)} 96+\frac{(x-30)(x-35)(x-55)}{(15)(10)(-10)} 68+\frac{(x-30)(x-35)(x-45)}{(25)(20)(10)} 34=}=$
$\mathrm{Y}=\frac{-148}{5}+\frac{3}{4} X 96+\frac{68}{2}-\frac{34}{20}=74.7$
2. Apply Lagrange's interpolation formula to find $f(x)$ if $f(1)=2, f(2)=4, f(3)=8, f(4)=16$
and $f(7)=128$. Hence find $f(5)$ and $f(6)$.
Soln. Given data

| X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 2 | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | 4 | 8 | 16 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ |

By Lagrange's polynomial $Y=f(x)=\frac{(x-x 1)\left(x-x_{2}\right)(x-x 3)\left(x-x_{4}\right)}{\left(x 0-x_{1}\right)\left(x 0-x_{2}\right)(x 0-x 3)\left(x 0-x_{4}\right)} y_{0}+\ldots \ldots \ldots$.
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{(x-2)(x-3)(x-4)(x-7)}{(-1)(-2)(-3)(-6)} 2+\frac{(x-1)(x-3)(x-4)(x-7)}{(-1)(-2)(-1)(-5)} 4+\frac{(x-1)(x-2)(x-4)(x-7)}{(-1)(2)(-4)(1)} 8+ \\
\frac{(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)(x-7)}{(3)(2)(-3)(1)} 16+\frac{(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)(x-4)}{(5)(4)(3)(6)} 128
\end{gathered}
$$

$f(x)=1 / 90\left[11 x^{4}-80 x^{3}+295 x^{2}-310 x+264\right]$
$f(5)=32.93$ and $f(6)=66.67$

Problem 3: Determine the value of $y(1)$ from the following data using Lagrange's Interpolation

| $x$ | -1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y$ | -8 | 3 | 1 | 12 |

Solution: given

| $x$ | $x_{0}=-1$ | $x_{1}=0$ | $x_{2}=3$ | $x_{n}=3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y$ | $y_{0}=-8$ | $y_{1}=3$ | $y_{2}=1$ | $y_{n}=12$ |

Since the intervals ere not uniform we cannot apply Newton's interpolation.
Hence by Lagrange's interpolation for unequal intervals

$$
\begin{align*}
y(x) & =\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{n}\right)} y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{n}\right)} y \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{n}\right)} y_{2}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{n-1}\right)}{\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)} y_{i} \\
y(x) & =\frac{\left(x^{2}-0\right)(x-2)(x-3)}{(-1-0)(-1-2)(-1-3)}(-8)+\frac{(x+1)(x-2)(x-3)}{(0+1)(0-2)(0-3)}(3)  \tag{3}\\
& +\frac{(x+1)(x-0)(x-3)}{(2+1)(2-0)(2-3)}(1)+\frac{(x+1)(x-0)(x-2)}{(3+1)(3-0)(3-2)}(12)---1 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

To compute $y(1)$ put $x=1$ in (1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(x=1)=\frac{(1-0)(1-2)(1-3)}{(-1-0)(-1-2)(-1-3)}(-8)+\frac{(1+1)(1-2)(1-3)}{(0+1)(0-2)(0-3)}(3) \\
&+\frac{(1+1)(1-0)(1-3)}{(2+1)(2-0)(2-3)}(1)+\frac{(1+1)(1-0)(1-2)}{(3+1)(3-0)(3-2)}(12) \\
& \Rightarrow y(x=1)=2
\end{align*}
$$

To find polynomial $y(x), ~ f r o m ~(1) ~ w e ~ g e t ~$$\quad$| $y(x)=$ | $\frac{2}{3}\left(x^{3}-5 x^{2}+6 x\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{3}-4 x^{2}+x+6\right)$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $-\frac{1}{6}\left(x^{3}-2 x^{2}-3 x\right)+\frac{1}{1}\left(x^{3}-x^{2}-2 x\right)---(1)$ |
| $y(x)$ | $=x^{3}\left(\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{6}+1\right)+x^{2}\left(\frac{-10}{3}+\frac{-4}{2}+\frac{2}{6}-1\right)+x\left(\frac{12}{3}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{6}-2\right)+\left(\frac{6}{2}\right)$ |
| $\Rightarrow y(x)=2 x^{3}-6 x^{2}+3 x+3----(2)$ |  |

To compute $y(1)$ put $x=1$ in (2), we get $y(x=1)=2-6+3+3=2$

## Inverse interpolation

For a given set of values of $x$ and $y$, the process of finding $x$ (dependent) given $y$ (independent) is called Inverse interpolation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(y)=\frac{\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)--\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{0}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{0}-y_{2}\right)--\left(y_{0}-y_{n}\right)} x_{0}+\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)--\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)--\left(y_{1}-y_{n}\right)} x \\
& +\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{1}\right)--\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{2}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)--\left(y_{2}-y_{n}\right)} x_{2}+---+\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}-y_{1}\right)--\left(y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right)}{\left(y_{n}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{n}-y_{1}\right)--\left(y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right.} x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 4: Estimate the value of $x$ given $y=100$ from the following data, $y(3)=6$ $y(5)=24, y(7)=58, y(9)=108, y(11)=174$

Solution: given

| $x$ | $x_{0}=3$ | $x_{1}=5$ | $x_{2}=7$ | $x_{3}=9$ | $x_{n}=11$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y$ | $y_{0}=6$ | $y_{1}=24$ | $y_{2}=58$ | $y_{3}=108$ | $y_{n}=174$ |

By applying Lagrange's inverse interpolation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(y)=\frac{\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)\left(y-y_{3}\right)\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(y_{0}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{0}-y_{3}\right)\left(y_{0}-y_{n}\right.} x_{0}+\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)\left(y-y_{3}\right)\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{1}-y_{3}\right)\left(y_{1}-y_{n}\right)} x_{1} \\
& +\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{3}\right)\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{2}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{3}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{n}\right)} x_{2}+\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{3}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{3}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{3}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{3}-y_{n}\right)} x \\
& +\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)\left(y-y_{n-1}\right)}{\left(y_{n}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{n}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{n}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right)} x_{n} \\
& \Rightarrow x(100)=\frac{(100-24)(100-58)(100-108)(100-174)}{(6-24)(6-58)(6-108)(6-174)}(3)+\frac{(100-6)(100-58)(100-108)(100-174)}{(24-6)(24-58)(24-108)(24-174)}(5) \\
& +\frac{(100-6)(100-24)(100-108)(100-174)}{(58-6)(58-24)(58-108)(58-174)}(7)+\frac{(100-6)(100-24)(100-58)(100-174)}{(108-6)(108-24)(108-58)(108-174)}(9) \\
& +\frac{(100-6)(100-24)(100-58)(100-108)}{(174-6)(174-24)(174-58)(174-108)}(11) \\
& \Rightarrow x(100)=0.35344-1.51547+2.88703+7.06759-0.13686=8.65573
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gregory Newton's Interpolation:

## Newton's Forward Interpolation for equal intervals:

If $\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are the values of a function $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ corresponding to the arguments $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}$,
$\mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{Xn}$ which are equally spaced where $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}-1}=\mathrm{h}$, for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to n then $y(x)=y_{0}+\frac{(u)}{1!} \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2}\left(y_{0}\right)+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3}\left(y_{0}\right)+\cdots$ where $\mathrm{u}=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}$

## Newton's Backward Interpolation for equal intervals:

If $\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are the values of a function $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ corresponding to the arguments $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}$, $\mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{Xn}$ which are equally spaced where $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}-1}=\mathrm{h}$, for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to n then $y(x)=y_{n}+\frac{(v)}{1!} \nabla y_{n}+\frac{v(v+1)}{2!} \nabla^{2}\left(y_{n}\right)+\frac{v(v+1)(v+2)}{3!} \nabla^{3}\left(y_{n}\right)+\cdots$ where $\mathrm{v}=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}$

Remark:
(i) The process of finding the values of $y\left(x_{i}\right)$ outside the interval $\left(x_{0}, x_{n}\right)$ is called extrapolation
(ii) The interpolating polynomial is a function $p_{n}(x)$ through the data points $y_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)=P_{n}\left(x_{i}\right) \quad \mathrm{i}=0,12, . . \mathrm{n}$
(iii) Gregory-Newton's forward interpolation formula (a) can be applicable if the interval difference $h$ is constant and used to interpolate the value of $y\left(x_{i}\right)$ nearer to beginning value xof the data set

If $y=f(x)$ is the exact curve and $y=p_{n}(x)$ is the interpolating polynomial then the Error in polynomial interpolation is $y(x)-p_{n}(x)$ given Error $=\frac{h^{n+1} y^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)--\left(x-x_{n}\right): x_{0}<x<x_{n}, x_{0}<c<x_{n}---(c)$
(v) Error in Newton's forward interpolation is

$$
\text { Error }=\frac{h^{n+1} y^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!} u(u-1)(u-2)--(u-n): x_{0}<x<x_{n}, x_{0}<c<x_{n}----(d)
$$

(vi) Error in $\quad$ Newton's backward interpolation is Error $=\frac{h^{n+1} y^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!} v(v+1)(v+2)--(v+n): x_{0}<x<x_{n}, x_{0}<c<x_{n}----(e)$

Problem 1: If $y(10)=35.3, y(15)=32.4, y(20)=29.2, y(25)=26.1, y(30)=23.2$ and $y(35)=$ 20.5 , find $y(12)$ using Newton's forward interpolation formula.

Solution: The difference table is

| X | Y | $\Delta$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} y$ | $\Delta^{5} y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 35.3 | -2.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 32.4 | -3.2 | -0.3 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 29.2 | -3.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | -0.3 |  |
| 25 | 26.1 | -2.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 |
| 30 | 23.2 | -2.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |
| 35 | 20.5 |  |  |  |  |  |

By Newton's forward interpolation formula
$y(x)=y_{0}+\frac{(u)}{1!} \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2}\left(y_{0}\right)+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3}\left(y_{0}\right)+\cdots \quad$ where $\quad$ u $=$ $\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{12-10}{5}=0.4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(12)= & 35.3+\frac{(0.4)}{1!}(-2.9)+\frac{(0.4)(-0.6)}{2!}(-0.3)+\frac{(0.4)(-0.6)(-1.6)}{3!}(0.4) \\
& +\frac{(0.4)(-0.6)(-1.6)(-2.6)}{4!}(-0.3)+\frac{(0.4)(-0.6)(-1.6)(-2.6)(-3.6)}{5!}(0.2) \\
y(12) & =35.3-1.16+0.036+0.0256+0.01248+0.0059904 \\
y(12)= & 34.22
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 2. The population of a town in the census is as given in the data. Estimate the population in the year 1996 using Newton's (i) forward interpolation (ii) backward interpolation formula.

| Year(x) | 1961 | 1971 | 1981 | 1991 | 2001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population <br> (in 1000's) | 46 | 66 | 81 | 93 | 101 |

Solution: The difference table is

| X | Y | $\Delta$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1961 | 46 | 20 |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 66 | 15 | -5 |  |  |
| 1981 | 81 | 12 | -3 | 2 | -3 |
| 1991 | 93 | 8 | -4 | -1 |  |
| 2001 | 101 |  |  |  |  |

By Newton's forward interpolation formula
$y(x)=y_{0}+\frac{(u)}{1!} \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2}\left(y_{0}\right)+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3}\left(y_{0}\right)+\cdots$
where $\mathrm{u}=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{1996-1961}{10}=3.5$
$y(x=1996)=46+\frac{(3.5)}{1!} 20+\frac{(3.5)(2.5)}{2!}(-5)+\frac{(3.5)(2.5)(1.5)}{3!} 2+\frac{(3.5)(2.5)(1.5)(0.5)}{4!}(-3)$
$y(x=1996)=46+70-21.875+4.375-0.8203125$
$y(x=1996)=97.6796875$

By Newton's backward interpolation formula
$y(x)=y_{n}+\frac{(v)}{1!} \nabla y_{n}+\frac{v(v+1)}{2!} \nabla^{2}\left(y_{n}\right)+\frac{v(v+1)(v+2)}{3!} \nabla^{3}\left(y_{n}\right)+\cdots$
where $\mathrm{v}=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}=\frac{1996-2001}{10}=-0.5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(x=1996) & =101+\frac{(-0.5)}{1!} 8+\frac{(-0.5)(0.5)}{2!}(-4)+\frac{(-0.5)(0.5)(1.5)}{3!}(-1) \\
& +\frac{(-0.5)(2.5)(1.5)(0.5)}{4!}(-3)
\end{aligned}
$$

$y(x=1996)=101-4+0.5+0.06250 .1171875$
$y(x=1996)=97.6796$
Problem 3. Find the interpolating polynomial for y from the following data using both Newton's forward and backward formula

| X | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Y | 1 | 3 | 8 | 16 |

Solution: The difference table is

| X | Y | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 8 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| 10 | 16 | 8 | 3 |  |

By Newton's forward interpolation formula
$y(x)=y_{0}+\frac{(u)}{1!} \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2}\left(y_{0}\right)+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3}\left(y_{0}\right)+\cdots$ where $\mathrm{u}=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{x-4}{2}$
$y(x)=1+\frac{\left(\frac{x-4}{2}\right)}{1!} 2+\frac{\frac{x-4}{2}\left(\frac{x-4}{2}-1\right)}{2!} 3+\frac{\frac{x-4}{2}\left(\frac{x-4}{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{x-4}{2}-2\right)}{3!} 0$
$y(x)=1+x-4+\frac{(3)}{8}(x-4)(x-6)$
$y(x)=\frac{\left(3 x^{2}-22 x+48\right)}{8}$ which is the required interpolating polynomial for y .
By Newton's backward interpolation formula
$y(x)=y_{n}+\frac{(v)}{1!} \nabla y_{n}+\frac{v(v+1)}{2!} \nabla^{2}\left(y_{n}\right)+\frac{v(v+1)(v+2)}{3!} \nabla^{3}\left(y_{n}\right)+\cdots$ where $\mathrm{v}=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}=\frac{x-10}{2}$
$y(x)=16+\frac{\frac{x-10}{2}}{1!} 8+\frac{\frac{x-10}{2}\left(\frac{x-10}{2}+1\right)}{2!} 3+0, \quad y(x)=\frac{\left(3 x^{2}-22 x+48\right)}{8}$ which is the required interpolating polynomial for y .

Problem4: Estimate the number of students whose weight is between 60 lbs and 70 lbs from the following data

| Weight(lbs) | $0-40$ | $40-60$ | $60-80$ | $80-100$ | $100-120$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No.Students | 250 | 120 | 100 | 70 | 50 |

Solution: let $x$-Weight less than $40 \mathrm{lbs}, y$-Number of Students, $\Rightarrow x_{0}=40, x_{1}=60, x_{2}=80, x_{3}=100, x_{n}=120$, Here all the intervals are equal with $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{x}_{1}-$ $\mathrm{x}_{0}=20$ we apply Newton interpolation

Difference Table:

| $x$ | $y$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ | $\Delta^{4} y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | $250=y_{0}$ | $y_{1}-y_{0}=120=\Delta y_{0}$ |  |  |  |
| 60 | $370=y_{1}$ | $y_{2}-y_{1}=100=\Delta y_{1}$ | $-20=\Delta^{2} y_{0}$ | $-10=\Delta^{3} y_{0}$ |  |
| 80 | $470=y_{2}$ | $y_{3}-y_{2}=70=\Delta y_{2}$ | $-30=\Delta^{2} y_{1}$ | $10=\nabla^{2} y_{n}$ | $20=\Delta^{4} y_{0}=\nabla^{4} y_{n}$ |
| 100 | $540=y_{3}$ | $y_{n}-y_{n-1}=50=\nabla y_{n}$ | $-20=\nabla^{2} y_{n}$ |  |  |
| 120 | $590=y_{n}$ |  |  |  |  |

Case (i): to find the number of students $y$ whose weight less than $60 \mathrm{lbs}(x=60)$
From the difference table the number of students $y$ whose weight less than 60 lbs $(x=60)=370$

Case (ii): to find the number of students $y$ whose weight less than $70 \mathrm{lbs}(x=70)$
Since $x=70$ is nearer to $x_{0}$ we apply Newton's forward Interpolation

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(x)=y_{0}+\frac{\Delta y_{0} \Delta^{2} y_{0} u+\frac{\Delta_{0}^{4} y}{2} u(u-1)+\frac{\Delta^{3} y_{0}}{0_{0} u(u-1)(u-2)++_{-}^{0} u(u-1)(u-2)(u-3)+----(1) 1}}{\text { where } u=\frac{1}{h}(x-x)=\frac{1}{20}(70-40)=\frac{3}{2} \Rightarrow u-1=\frac{3}{2}, u-2=\frac{2}{2}, u-2=\frac{-1}{2}, u-3=\frac{-3}{2}----(2)}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting 120 (2) in 10 (1) ge $y(x=70)=250+\frac{-}{1}\left(\frac{-}{2}\right)+\frac{-20}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{-10}{6}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)+\frac{20}{24}\left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{-3}{2}\right)=423.59$

The number of students $y$ whose weight less than $70 \mathrm{lbs}(x=70)=424$
Number of students whose weight is between 60 lbs and $70 \mathrm{lbs}=$ $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { The number of students } y \\ \text { whose weight less than } 70 \mathrm{lbs}\end{array}\right\}-\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { The number of students } y \\ \text { whose weight less than } 60 \mathrm{lbs}\end{array}\right\}=424-370=54$

## NUMERICAL DIFFERENTIATION

Consider a set of values $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), I=0,1,12, \ldots, n$ of a function. The process of comuputing the derivative of the function $y$ at a particular value of $x$ from the given set of values is called Numerical Differentiation. This maybe done by first approximating the function by a suitable interpolation formula and then differentiating it as many times as desired. Numerical diffentiation can be done for equal and unequal intervals.

## Gregory Newton's Forward Difference Formula for Derivatives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{v(x)}=\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}+\frac{2 u-1}{2} \Delta^{2}\left(y_{0}\right)+\frac{3 u^{2}-6 u+2}{6} \Delta^{3}\left(y_{0}\right)+\frac{\left[4 u^{3}-18 u^{2}+22 u-6\right]}{24} \Delta^{4}\left(y_{0}\right) \cdots\right] \\
& y^{\prime \prime(x)}=\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{0}+(u-1) \Delta^{3}\left(y_{0}\right)+\frac{6 u^{2}-18 u+11}{12} \Delta^{4}\left(y_{0}\right)+\cdots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
y^{m(x)}=\frac{d^{3} y}{d x^{3}}=\frac{1}{h^{3}}\left[\Delta^{3}\left(y_{0}\right)+\frac{12 u-18}{12} \Delta^{4}\left(y_{0}\right)+\cdots\right]
$$

And so on where $\mathrm{u}=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}, \mathrm{x}$ is the value at which the derivative needs to be found. $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ is the first value of $x, h$ is the common difference in $x$ values.
At particular case, $x=X_{0,} u=0$, then the derivative formula reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{X} 0)=\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}-\frac{\Delta^{2}\left(y_{0}\right)}{2}+\frac{\Delta^{\mathrm{S}}\left(y_{0}\right)}{3}-\frac{\Delta^{4}\left(y_{0}\right)}{4}+\cdots\right] \\
& \left(\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}\right)(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{X} 0)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\Delta^{3}\left(y_{0}\right)+\frac{11}{12} \Delta^{4}\left(y_{0}\right)+\cdots\right] \\
& \left(\frac{d^{3} y}{d x^{3}}\right)(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{X} 0)=\frac{1}{h^{3}}\left[\Delta^{3}\left(y_{0}\right)-\frac{3}{2} \Delta^{4}\left(y_{0}\right)+\cdots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.3.2. Gregory Newton's Backward Difference Formula for Derivatives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad y^{\prime(x)}=\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{1}{h}\left[\nabla y_{n}+\frac{2 v+1}{2} \nabla^{2}\left(y_{n}\right)+\frac{3 u^{2}-6 u+2}{6} \nabla^{3}\left(y_{n}\right)+\frac{\left[4 u^{3}-18 u^{2}+22 u-6\right]}{24} \nabla^{4}\left(y_{n}\right) \cdots\right] \\
& y^{n(x)}=\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\nabla^{2} y_{n}+(u-1) \nabla^{3}\left(y_{n}\right)+\frac{6 u^{2}-18 u+11}{12} \nabla^{4}\left(y_{n}\right)+\cdots\right] \\
& y^{m(x)}=\frac{d^{3} y}{d x^{3}}=\frac{1}{h^{3}}\left[\nabla^{3}\left(y_{n}\right)+\frac{12 u-18}{12} \nabla^{4}\left(y_{n}\right)+\cdots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

And so on where $\mathrm{u}=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}$, x is the value at which the derivative needs to be found. $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ is the first value of $x, h$ is the common difference in $x$ values.
At particular case, $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{X}_{0}, \mathrm{u}=0$, then the derivative formula reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{X} n)=\frac{1}{h}\left[\nabla y_{n}-\frac{\nabla^{2}\left(y_{n}\right)}{2}+\frac{\nabla^{\mathrm{s}}\left(y_{n}\right)}{3}-\frac{\nabla^{4}\left(y_{n}\right)}{4}+\cdots\right] \\
& \left(\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}\right)(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{X} n)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\nabla^{2} y_{n}-\nabla^{3}\left(y_{n}\right)+\frac{11}{12} \nabla^{4}\left(y_{n}\right)+\cdots\right] \\
& \left(\frac{d^{3} y}{d x^{3}}\right)(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{X} n)=\frac{1}{h^{3}}\left[\nabla^{3}(n)-\frac{3}{2} \nabla^{4}\left(y_{n}\right)+\cdots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 5: Find the rate of growth of population in the year 1941\&1961 from the following table

| Year | 1931 | 1941 | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population | 40.62 | 60.80 | 79.95 | 103.56 | 132.65 |

Solution: Here all the intervals are equal with $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{x}_{0}=10$ we apply Newton interpolation Difference Table: let $x$-year, $y$ Population

| $x$ | $y$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ | $\Delta^{4} y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1931 | $40.62=y_{0}$ | $y_{1}-y_{0}=20.18=\Delta y_{0}$ |  |  |  |
| 1941 | $60.80=y_{1}$ | $y_{2}-y_{1}=19.15=\Delta y_{1}$ | $-1.03=\Delta^{2} y 5.49=\Delta^{3} y_{0}$ |  |  |
| 1951 | $79.95=y_{2}$ | $y_{3}-y_{2}=23.61=\Delta y_{2}$ | $4.46=\Delta^{2} y_{1} 1.02=\nabla^{2} y_{n}$ | $-4.47=\Delta^{4} y_{0}=\nabla^{4}$ | $y_{n}$ |
| 1196 | $103.56=y_{3}$ | $y_{n}-y_{n-1}=20.18=\nabla_{n} 5.48=\nabla^{2}$ | $y_{n}$ |  |  |
| 1971 | $132.65=y_{n}$ |  |  |  |  |

Case (i): to find rate of growth of population $\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)$ in the year $(x=1941)$
Since $x=1941$ is nearer to $x_{0}$ we apply Newton's forwarded formula for derivative $y^{\prime}(x)=\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{1}{h}\left\{\Delta y_{0}+\frac{\Delta^{2} y_{0}}{2}(2 u-1)+\frac{\Delta^{3} y_{0}}{6}\left(3 u^{2}-6 u+2\right)+\frac{\Delta^{4} y_{0}}{24}\left(4 u^{3}-18 u^{2}+22 u-6\right)+---\right\}$ whereu $=\frac{1}{h}(x-x)=\frac{1}{0^{1}}(1941-1931)=1$

The rate of growth of population $\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)$ in the year $(x=1941) \quad y^{\prime}(1941)=2.36425$
Case (ii): to find rate of growth of population $\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)$ in the year $(x=1961)$
Since $x=1961$ is nearer to $x_{n}$ we apply Newton's backward formula for derivative
$y^{\prime}(x)=\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{1}{h}\left\{\nabla y_{n}+\frac{\nabla^{2} y_{n}}{2}(2 v+1)+\frac{\nabla^{3} y_{n}}{6}\left(3 v^{2}+6 v+2\right)+\frac{\nabla^{4} y_{n}}{24}\left(4 v^{3}+18 v^{2}+22 v+6\right)+---\right\}$
$v=\frac{1}{h}(x-x)=\frac{1}{{ }_{n}}(1961-1971)=-1$

The rate of growth of population $\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)$ in the year $(x=1961)=y^{\prime}(1961)=2.65525$
Problem 6 A rod is rotating in a plane, estimate the angular velocity and angular acceleration of the rod at time 6 secs from the following table

| Time-t(sec) | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Angle-日(radians) | 0 | 0.12 | 0.49 | 1.12 | 2.02 | 3.20 |

Solution: Here all the intervals are equal with $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{x}_{0}=0.2$ we apply Newton interpolation Difference Table: let $x$ - time (sec), $y$-Angle (radians)

| $x$ | $y$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ | $\Delta^{4} y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $0=y_{0}$ | $y_{1}-y_{0}=0.12=\Delta y_{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $0.12=y_{1}$ | $y_{2}-y_{1}=0.37=\Delta y_{1}$ | $0.25=\Delta^{2} y_{0}$ | $0.01=\Delta^{3} y_{0}$ |  |
|  | $0.49=y_{2}$ | $y_{3}-y_{2}=0.63=\Delta y_{2}$ | $0.26=\Delta^{2} y_{1}$ | $0.01=\Delta^{3} y_{1}$ | $0=\Delta^{4} y_{0}$ |
|  | $1.12=y_{3}$ | $y_{4}-y_{3}=0.90=\Delta y_{3}$ | $0.27=\Delta^{2} y_{2}$ | $0.01=\nabla^{2} y_{n}$ | $0=\nabla^{4} y_{n}$ |
|  | $2.02=y_{4}$ | $y_{n}-y_{n-1}=1.18=\nabla y_{n}$ | $0.28=\nabla^{2} y_{n}$ |  |  |
|  | $3.20=y_{n}$ |  |  |  |  |

Case (i): to find Angular velocity $\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)$ in time ( $x=0.6 \mathrm{sec}$ )
Since $x=0.6 \mathrm{sec}$ is nearer to $x_{n}$ we apply Newton's backward formula for derivative $y^{\prime}(x)=\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{1}{h}\left\{\nabla y_{n}+\frac{\nabla^{2} y_{n}}{2}(2 v+1)+\frac{\nabla^{3} y_{n}}{6}\left(3 v^{2}+6 v+2\right)+\frac{\nabla^{4} y_{n}}{24}\left(4 v^{3}+18 v^{2}+22 v+6\right)+---\right\}$ $v=\frac{1}{h}(x-x)=\frac{1}{n}(0.6-1.0)=-2$
$\Rightarrow$ Theangular velocity $y^{\prime}(x=0.6)=3.81665$ radian $/ \mathrm{sec}$
Case (ii): to find Angular acceleration $\left(\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}\right)$ in time ( $x=0.6 \mathrm{sec}$ )
Since $x=0.6 \sec$ is nearer to $x_{n}$ we apply Newton's backward formula for derivative

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{}{d x^{2}}=\frac{-}{h^{2}}\left\{\nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{n}{24}(v+1)+{ }_{-}^{n}\left(12 v^{2}+36 v 1+22\right)+---\right\}
$$

where $v=\frac{1}{\bar{h}}(x-x)=\frac{1}{n}(0.6-1.0)=-2$
$\left.\Rightarrow y^{\prime \prime}(x=0.6)=\begin{array}{c}\bar{h} \\ \overline{0.2^{2}}\end{array} \frac{n}{1} \int_{0.28}^{\overline{0.2}}+\frac{0.01}{1}(-2+1)+0\right\}$
$y^{\prime \prime}(0.6)=6.75$ radian $/ \mathrm{sec}^{2}$

## Numerical Integration

## Trapezoidal rule

$\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+n h} y(x) d x=\frac{h}{2}\left\{\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}+--\right)\right.$ whereh $=\frac{1}{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right), n-$ number of int ervals
Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule
$\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+n h} y(x) d x=\frac{h}{3}\left\{\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{6}+-\right)+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}+--\right)\right\}$
whereh $=\frac{1}{n}(\underset{n}{x-x}), n-n u m b e r$ of int ervals
Simpson's $\mathbf{3} / 8$ rule
$\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+n h} y(x) d x=\frac{3 h}{8}\left\{\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+2\left(y_{3}+y_{6}+y_{9}+-\right)+3\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{5}+--\right)\right\}$
whereh $=\frac{1}{n}(\underset{n}{x-x}), n-n u m b e r$ of int ervals
Remarks:

1) Geometrical interpretation of $\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} y(x) d x_{\text {is }}$ approximated by the sum of area of the trapezium
2) Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule is applicable when number of intervals are multiples of 2 and Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule is applicable when number of intervals are multiples of 3
3) The error in trapezoidal rule is $\frac{b-a}{12} h^{2} M$ where $M=\max \left\{y_{0}^{\prime \prime}, y_{1}^{n \prime}, \ldots\right\}$ which is of order $h^{2}$
4) The error in Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule rule is $\frac{b-a}{180} h^{4} M$ where $M=\max \left\{y_{0}^{m \prime \prime}, y_{2}^{m \prime \prime}, \ldots\right\}$ which is of order $h^{4}$

Problem7: Evaluate $\int_{1}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x$ using (i) Trapezoidal rule (ii) Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule (iii) Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule and Compare your answer with actual value.

Solution: Given $\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+n h} y(x) d x \Rightarrow y(x)=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}, x_{0}=0, x_{0}+n h=6----(1)$
Choose the number of interval ( $n$ ) $=6$ so that we can apply all rules

| $x$ | $x_{0}=0$ | $x_{1}=x_{0}+h=1$ | $x_{2}=x_{1}+h=2$ | $x_{3}=3$ | $x_{4}=4$ | $x_{5}=5$ | $x_{n}=6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y(x)=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{1}{10}$ | $\frac{1}{17}$ | $\frac{1}{26}$ | $\frac{1}{37}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

case(i) Trapezoidal rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+n h} y(x) d x=\frac{h}{2}\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}+--\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{6} 1 \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(1+\frac{1}{37}\right)+2\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{5}+\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{17}+\frac{1}{26}\right)\right\}=1.410799
\end{aligned}
$$

Case (ii) Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule
$\int^{x_{0}+n h} y(x) d x=\frac{h}{3}\left\{\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{6}+-\right)+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}+--\right)\right\}$
$\int_{0}^{6^{x_{0}}} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x=\frac{1}{3}\left\{\left(1+\frac{1}{37}\right)+2\left(\frac{1}{5}+\frac{1}{17}\right)+4\left(\underset{2}{10}+\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{26}\right)\right\}=1.36617$
Case(iii) Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule
$\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+n h} y(x) d x=\frac{3 h}{8}\left\{\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+2\left(y_{3}+y_{6}+y_{9}+-\right)+3\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{5}+--\right)\right\}$
$\int_{0}^{6} \frac{x_{0}}{1+x^{2}} d x=\frac{3}{8}\left\{\left(1+\frac{1}{37}\right)+2\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)+3\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{5}+\frac{1}{17}+\frac{1}{26}\right)\right\}=1.35708$

## Comparison

Exact value $\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x=[\tan (x)\rfloor_{x=0}^{-1}=\tan ^{\chi=6}(6)-\tan \quad(0)=1.40565$
Hence trapezoidal rule gives better approximation than Simpson's rule.
Problem 8: By dividing the range into 10 equal part Determine the value of $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x$ using (i) Trapezoidal rule (ii) Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule (iii) Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule and Compare your answer with actual value.

Solution: Given $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+n h} y(x) d x \Rightarrow y(x)=\sin x, x_{0}=0, x_{0}+n h=\pi$ and $n=10$ givennumber of int $\operatorname{ervals}(n)=10,(1) \Rightarrow h=\frac{1}{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{10} \frac{(\pi-0)}{}=\begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & 10\end{aligned}$

| $x$ | $x_{0}=0$ | $x_{1}=x_{0}+h=\frac{\pi}{10}$ | $x_{2}=x_{1}+h=\frac{2 \pi}{10}$ | $x_{3}=\frac{3 \pi}{10}$ | $x_{4}=\frac{4 \pi}{10}$ | $x_{5}=\frac{5 \pi}{10}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y(x)=\sin (x)$ | $\sin (0)$ <br> $=0$ | $\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{10}\right)$ <br> $=0.30901$ | $\sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{10}\right)$ <br> $=0.58779$ | $\sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{10}\right)$ <br> $=0.80901$ | $\sin \left(\frac{4 \pi}{10}\right)$ <br> $=0.95106$ | $\sin \left(\frac{5 \pi}{10}\right)$ <br> $=1.0$ |


| $x$ | $x_{6}=\frac{6 \pi}{10}$ | $x_{7}=\frac{7 \pi}{10}$ | $x_{8}=\frac{8 \pi}{10}$ | $x_{9}=\frac{9 \pi}{10}$ | $x_{n}=\pi$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y(x)=\sin (x)$ |  | $\sin \left(\frac{6 \pi}{10}\right)$ | $\sin \left(\frac{7 \pi}{10}\right)$ |  |  |
| $=0.95106$ | $=0.80902$ |  |  |  |  |

Case (i) Trapezoidal rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+n h} y(x) d x=\frac{h_{-}}{2}\left(y_{0}+y_{h}\right)+2\left(y_{1} y_{2}^{ \pm} y_{3}^{+} y_{4}^{+--)}\right. \\
& \Rightarrow \int_{0} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x=-\frac{1}{2}\{(0+0)+2(0.30901+0.58779+0.80901+0.95106+1.0+0.95106+0.80901+0.58779+0.30901)\} \\
& \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x=1.98352
\end{aligned}
$$

Case (ii) Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+\text { th } h} y(x) d x=\frac{h}{3}\left\{\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{6}+-\right)+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}+--\right)\right\} \\
& \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{6} \sin (x) d x=\frac{\pi}{30}\{(0+0)+2(0.58779+0.95106+0.95106+0.58779)+4(0.30901+0.80901+1.0+0.80901+0.30901\} \\
& \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{6} \sin (x) d x=2.00010
\end{aligned}
$$

Case (iii) Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule

$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+n h} y(x) d x=\frac{3 h}{8}\left\{\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+2\left(y_{3}+y_{6}+y_{9}+-\right)+3\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{5}+--\right)\right\}
$$

This rulecannot beapplied $\sin$ ce $n$ is not a multipoleof 3
Comparison
Exact value $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin (x) d x=[-\cos (x)]_{x=0}^{x=\pi}=-[\cos (\pi)-\cos (0)]=2.0$
Hence, Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule gives better approximation than trapezoidal rule
2.4.4 Gausian Quadrature Formula: $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$,
$\mathrm{I}=\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right) \int_{-1}^{1} \emptyset(u) d u, x=\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right) u+\left(\frac{b+a}{2}\right)$

1. Evaluate the integral $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ using 2 point and 3 point Gaussian Quadrature Formula

Solution: Putting $x=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) u+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$,
we get $I=\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\frac{d u}{z}}{1+\left(\frac{u+1}{z}\right)^{2}}=\int_{-1}^{1} \emptyset(u) d u, \emptyset(u)=\frac{2}{u^{2}+2 u+5}$
By Gaussian 2-point formula $I=\emptyset\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)+\emptyset\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)=$
$=\frac{2}{\frac{1}{3}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}+5}+\frac{2}{\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}+5}$
$=0.4786+0.3083$
$\mathrm{I}=0.7869$
$I=\frac{5}{9} \phi\left(u_{1}\right)+{ }_{9}^{8} \phi\left(u_{2}\right)+{ }_{9}^{5} \phi\left(u{ }_{3}\right)$

$\mathrm{I}=5 / 9(0.4937288)+8 / 9(0.4)+5 / 9(0.2797518)=0.785267$

# SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS <br> UNIT -III 

## POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATIONS

## LEAST SQUARES APPROXIMATION

To find an approximate function to the given set of values is called least squares regression. The approximating function is called least squares approximation.
The sum of the squares of the residuals of the plotted points be assumed to be the least. This is the principle of least squares, $S=\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left\{y_{r}-\left(a x_{r}+b\right)\right\}^{2}$ is least.

Fitting the straight line $y=a x+b$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { The normal equations are } \quad \sum y=a \sum x+n b---(1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sum x y=a \sum x^{2}+b \sum x---$
Fitting a second degree parabola $y=a x^{2}+b x+c$
By the principle of least squares, $S=\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left\{y_{r}-\left(a x_{r}^{2}+b x_{r}+c\right)\right\}^{2}$ is least.
The normal equations are $\quad \sum y=a \sum x^{2}+b \sum x+n c--$ (1)
$\sum x y=a \sum x^{3}+b \sum x^{2}+c \sum x---(2)$
$\sum x^{2} y=a \sum x^{4}+b \sum x^{3}+c \sum x^{2}---(3)$
Problem 1. Fit a straight line to the following data by the method of least squares:

| x | 3.4 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 8.7 | 10.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 4.5 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 10.5 | 12.7 |

Soln. Let the equation of the best fitting straight line be $y=a x+b$
The normal equations are $\quad \Sigma y=a \sum x+n b---(2)$

| $x y=a \sum x^{2}+b \sum x--(3)$ | $x^{2}$ | $x y$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Y | 11.56 | 15.30 |
| 3.4 | 4.5 |  |  |


| 4.3 | 5.8 | 18.49 | 24.94 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5.4 | 6.8 | 29.16 | 36.72 |
| 6.7 | 8.1 | 44.89 | 54.27 |
| 8.7 | 10.5 | 75.69 | 91.35 |
| 10.6 | 12.7 | 112.36 | 132.62 |
| Total 39.1 | 48.4 | 292.15 | 357.20 |

Substituting all the values in eqn (2) and (3) 39.1a+6b=48.4-----------------------(4)
$292.15 a+39.1 b=357.2$
------------- (5)
By solving (4) and (5) we get $\mathrm{a}=1.119, \mathrm{~b}=0.7744$, the required equation is $\mathrm{y}=1.119 \mathrm{x}+$ 0.7744

Problem 2. Fit a second degree parabola to the following data by the method of least squares:

| x | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 3.1 | 6 | 11.2 | 14.8 | 20 |

Solution: Fitting a second degree parabola $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}+b x+c x^{2}$ $\qquad$
The normal equations are $\quad \Sigma y=n a+b \sum x+c \sum x^{2}$
$\sum x y=a \sum x+b \sum x^{2}+c \sum x^{3}-\cdots-(3)$
$\sum x^{2} y=a \sum x^{2}+b \sum x^{3}+c \sum x^{4}--(4)$

| X | Y | $x^{2}$ | $x^{3}$ | $x^{4}$ | xy | $x^{2} y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.5 | 3.1 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.0625 | 1.55 | 0.775 |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| 2 | 11.2 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 22.4 | 44.8 |
| 3 | 14.8 | 27 | 27 | 81 | 44.4 | 133.2 |
| 5 | 20 | 125 | 125 | 625 | 100 | 500 |
| Total 11.5 | 55.1 | 39.25 | 161.125 | 723.0625 | 174.35 | 684.775 |

Substituting all the values in eqn (2), (3) and (4)
$5 \mathrm{a}+11.5 \mathrm{~b}+39.25 \mathrm{c}=55.1$
$11.5 \mathrm{a}+39.25 \mathrm{~b}+161.125 \mathrm{c}=174.35----$ (
$39.25 a+161.125 b+723.0625 c=684.775$
By solving these equations $\mathrm{a}=0.0882, \mathrm{~b}=6.4523, \mathrm{c}=-0.4979$
The best fitting parabola $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}=0.0882+6.4523 x-0.4979 x^{2}$

## Chebyshev polynomial:

$x_{r+1}=x_{r}-\frac{f\left(x_{r}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{r}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[f\left(x_{r}\right)\right]^{2} f n\left(x_{r}\right)}{\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{r}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{s}}}$ is the iterative formula of Chebyshev method.
Problem 1: Find the positive root of the equation $x^{3}-4 x+1=0$, correct to 4 places of decimals, using Chebyshev method.

Soln.: Let $f(x)=x^{3}-4 x+1$. Then $f^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}-4, f^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}(x)=6 x$ $f(0)=1>0, f(1)=-4<0$, The positive lies between 0 and 1
Taking $\mathrm{x}_{0}=0, \mathrm{f}_{0}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=1, \mathrm{f}^{ }{ }_{0}=\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=-4, \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{0}=\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=0$
Chebyshev iterative formula $x_{r+1}=x_{r}-\frac{f\left(x_{r}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{r}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[f\left(x_{r}\right)\right]^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{r}\right)}{\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{r}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{s}}}$
$x_{1}=x_{0}-\frac{f_{1}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f_{0}^{2}}{f_{0}^{\prime 3}} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}=0-[1 /(-4)]=0.25, f_{1}=0.05613 ; f_{1}^{\prime}=-3.8125 ; f_{1}^{\prime \prime}=1.5$
$x_{2}=x_{1}-\frac{f_{1}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{1}^{\prime 8}} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0.25+\frac{0.05613}{3.8125}+\frac{1(0.05613)^{2}}{2}(3.8125)^{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ (1.5) $=0.25410$,
$f_{2}=0.00001 ; f_{2}^{\prime}=-3.8063 ; f_{1}^{\prime \prime}=1.5246$

Since $\mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{x}_{3}=0.25410$, the required root.

Problem 2: Find the root of the equation $2 x^{3}-3 x+6=0$, that lies between -2 and -1 , correct to 4 places of decimals, using Chebyshev method

Soln.: Let $f(x)=2 x^{3}-3 x+6$. Then $f^{\prime}(x)=6 x^{2}-3, f^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}(x)=12 x$
$\mathrm{x}_{0}=-2, \mathrm{f}_{0}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=-4, \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{'}}{ }_{0}=\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=21, \mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{0}=\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=-24$
By Chebyshev iterative formula $x_{1}=x_{0}-\frac{f_{1}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f_{0}^{2}}{f_{0}^{\prime 8}} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}=-2+\frac{4}{21}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{16}{21^{\mathbb{1}}} 24=-1.78879$
$f_{1}=-0.08106 ; f_{1}^{\prime}=16.19862 ; f_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-21.46548$
$x_{2}=x_{1}-\frac{f_{1}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f_{1}{ }^{z}}{f_{1}^{\prime 3}} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}=$
$f_{2}=-0.00002 ; f_{2}^{\prime}=16.09101 ; f_{2}^{\prime \prime}=-21.40524$
$x_{3}=x_{2}-\frac{f_{2}}{f_{2}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{2}^{\prime 3}} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}=-1.78377$
Since $\mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{x}_{3}=-1.78377$ the required root.

## Piecewise Linear and Cubic Spine Interpolation:

Piecewise Linear Interpolation: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})=\quad\left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{x_{i-1}-x_{i}} y_{i-1}+\frac{x-x_{i-1}}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}} y_{i}\right)$ for $x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i}$ and $\mathrm{i}=1,2,3, \ldots . \mathrm{n}$. Then the interpolation polynomial is given by $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}(x)$

Problem 1: Find the piecewise linear interpolating polynomial

| X | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Y | 1 | 3 | 35 |
| $\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{I}}$ | 1 | 6 | 81 |

Solution: The piecewise linear interpolating polynomial
$\mathrm{Y}=\left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{x_{i-1}-x_{i}} y_{i-1}+\frac{x-x_{i-1}}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}} y_{i}\right)$ for $x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i}$.
Thus if for $0 \leq x \leq 1, \mathrm{Y}=\left(\frac{x-1}{0-1} 1+\frac{x-0}{1-0} 3\right)=2 x+1$
if for $1 \leq x \leq 2, \mathrm{Y}=\left(\frac{x-2}{1-2} 3+\frac{x-1}{2-1} 35\right)=32 x-29$
Hence the linear interpolating polynomial $\mathrm{Y}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}2 x+1, \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 32 x-29, \text { for } 1 \leq x \leq 2,\end{array}\right.$
Cubic Spine Interpolation: A cubic spline function $S(x)$ with respect to $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots \ldots x_{n}$ is a polynomial of degree three in each interval ( $x_{i-1}, x_{i}$ ): $\mathrm{I}=1,2, \ldots . \mathrm{n}$ such that $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})$ are continuous in $\left(x_{0}, x_{n}\right)$
$\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{x})=$
$\frac{1}{6 h}\left[\left(x_{i}-x\right)^{3} M_{i-1}+\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)^{3} M_{i}\right]+\frac{1}{h}\left(x_{i}-x\right)\left(y_{i-1}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} M_{i-1}\right)+\frac{1}{h}\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)\left(y_{i}-\right.$ $\frac{h^{2}}{6} M_{i}$ )

Where $M_{i-1}+4 M_{i}+M_{i+1}=\frac{6}{h^{2}}\left(y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i+1}\right), \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \ldots(\mathrm{n}-1)$ and $M_{0}=M_{n}=0$

Problem 1. Obtain the cubic spline approximation for the function $y=f(x)$ from the following data, given that $y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=y_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0$.
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\mathrm{X}: & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2, & \mathrm{y}:-1 & 1 & 3 & 35\end{array}$
Solution: Since the values of x are equally spaced with $\mathrm{h}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{i-1}+4 M_{i}+M_{i+1}=\frac{6}{h^{2}}\left(y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i+1}\right), & \mathrm{i}=1,2 \text { and }  \tag{1}\\
M_{0}=y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=0, M_{3}=y_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0 & \\
\text { Put } \mathrm{i}=1 \text { in }(1) M_{0}+4 M_{1}+M_{2}=6\left(y_{0}-2 y_{1}+y_{2}\right)=6(-1-2+3)=0 & \\
\text { Put } \mathrm{i}=2 \text { in }(1) M_{1}+4 M_{2}+M_{3}=6\left(y_{1}-2 y_{2}+y_{3}\right)=6(1-6+35)=180 & \\
\text { i.e. } 4 M_{1}+M_{2}=0, M_{1}+4 M_{2}=180 \text {, since } M_{0}=0, M_{3}=0 & \\
\text { i.e. } M_{1}=-12, M_{2}=48 &
\end{array}
$$

The Cubic Spine in $x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i}$. Is given by $\mathrm{y}=$
$\frac{1}{6}\left[\left(x_{i}-x\right)^{3} M_{i-1}+\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)^{3} M_{i}\right]+\frac{1}{1}\left(x_{i}-x\right)\left(y_{i-1}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} M_{i-1}\right)+\frac{1}{1}\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)\left(y_{i}-\right.$ $\left.\frac{h^{2}}{6} M_{i}\right)$
putting $\mathrm{i}=1$ in (2) for $-1 \leq x \leq 0, \mathrm{y}=\frac{1}{6}(x+1)^{3}(-12)+(0-x)(-1)+(x+1)\left\{1-\frac{(-12)}{6}\right\}=$ $-2 \mathrm{x}^{3}-6 \mathrm{x}^{2}-2 \mathrm{x}+1$
putting $\mathrm{i}=2$ in (2) for $0 \leq x \leq 1, \mathrm{y}=10 \mathrm{x}^{3}-6 \mathrm{x}^{2}-2 \mathrm{x}+1$
putting $\mathrm{i}=3$ in (2) for $1 \leq x \leq 2, \mathrm{y}=-8 \mathrm{x}^{3}+48 \mathrm{x}^{2}-56 \mathrm{x}+19$
Hence the required cubic spline approximation $y=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}-2 \mathrm{x} 3-6 \mathrm{x} 2-2 \mathrm{x}+1, & -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ 10 \mathrm{x} 3-6 \mathrm{x} 2-2 \mathrm{x}+1, & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ -8 \mathrm{x} 3+48 \mathrm{x} 2-56 \mathrm{x}+19, & 1 \leq x \leq 2\end{array}\right.$
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## Numerical Solution of Ordinary Differential equations

## Numerical Solution to Ordinary Differential Equation

## Introduction

An ordinary differential equation of order $n$ in of the form $F\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, y^{(n)}\right)=0$, where $y^{(n)}=\frac{d^{n} y}{d x^{n}}$.

We will discuss the Numerical solution to first order linear ordinary differential equations by Taylor series method, Euler method and Runge - Kutta method, given the initial condition $y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$.

## Taylor Series method

Consider the first order differential equation of the form $\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$.
The solution of the above initial value problem is obtained in two types
> Power series solution
$>$ Point wise solution
(i) Power series solution
$y(x)=y\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{1!} y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{3}}{3!} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots$
(ii) Point wise solution
$y(x)=y\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h}{1!} y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots$

## Problems:

1. Using Taylor series method find $y$ at $x=0.1$ if $\frac{d y}{d x}=y+1, y(0)=1$.

## Solution:

$$
\text { Given } \frac{d y}{d x}=y+1 \text { and } x_{0}=0, y_{0}=1, h=0.1
$$

Taylor series formula for $y(0.1)$ is
$y(x)=y\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h}{1!} y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots$

| $y^{\prime}(x)=y+1$ | $y^{\prime}(0)=y(0)+1=1+1=2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $y^{\prime \prime}(x)=y^{\prime}$ | $y^{\prime \prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(0)=2$ |
| $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)=y^{\prime \prime}$ | $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=2$ |

Substituting in the Taylor's series expansion:
$y(0.1)=y(0)+h y^{\prime}(0)+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y^{\prime \prime}(0)+\cdots$
$=1+0.1 \times 2+\frac{0.01}{2} \times 2+\frac{0.001}{6} \times 2+\cdots$
$y(0.1)=1.2103$
2. Find the Taylor series solution with three terms for the initial value problem $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}+y, y(1)=1$

## Solution:

$$
\text { Given } \frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}+y, x_{0}=1, y_{0}=1
$$

| $y^{\prime}(x)=x^{2}+y$ | $y^{\prime}(1)=1+1=2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $y^{\prime \prime}(x)=2 x+y^{\prime}$ | $y^{\prime \prime}(1)=2+2=4$ |
| $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)=2+y^{\prime \prime}$ | $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=2+4=6$ |
| $y^{\prime v}(x)=y^{n \prime \prime}$ | $y^{\prime v}(1)=6$ |

The Taylor's series expansion about a point $x=x_{0}$ is given by
$y(x)=y\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{1!} y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{3}}{3!} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots$
Hence at $x=1$
$y(x)=y(1)+\frac{(x-1)}{1!} y^{\prime}(1)+\frac{(x-1)^{2}}{2!} y^{\prime \prime}(1)+\frac{(x-1)^{3}}{3!} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)+\cdots$
$y(x)=1+2 \frac{(x-1)}{1!}+4 \frac{(x-1)^{2}}{2!}+6 \frac{(x-1)^{3}}{3!}+\cdots$

## Runge-Kutta method

Runge-kutta methods of solving initial value problem do not require the calculations of higher order derivatives and give greater accuracy. The Runge-Kutta formula possesses the advantage of requiring only the function values at some selected points. These methods agree with Taylor series solutions up to the term in $h^{r}$ where $r$ is called the order of that method.

## Fourth-order Runge-Kutta method

Let $\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ be given.

## Working rule to find $\boldsymbol{y}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right)$

The value of $y_{n}=y\left(x_{n}\right)$ where $x_{n}=x_{n-1}+h$ where $h$ is the incremental value for $x$ is obtained as below:
Compute the auxiliary values
$k_{1}=h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$
$k_{2}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\right)$
$k_{3}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}\right)$
$k_{4}=h f\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k_{3}\right)$
Compute the incremental value for $y$
$\Delta y=\frac{k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}}{6}$
The iterative formula to compute successive value of $y$ is $y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\Delta y$

## Problems

1. Find the value of $y$ at $x=0.2$. Given $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}+y, y(0)=1$, using R-K method of order IV.

Sol:
Here $f(x, y)=x^{2}+y, y(0)=1$, Choosing $h=0.1, x_{0}=0, y_{0}=1$
Then by R-K fourth order method,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.y_{1}=y_{0}+{\underset{6}{6}}_{1}^{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}+k_{4}\right] \\
& k_{1}=h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=0 \\
& k_{2}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\right)=0.00525 \\
& k_{3}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}\right)=0.00525 \\
& k_{4}=h f\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k_{3}\right)=0.0110050 \\
& y(0.1)=1.0053
\end{aligned}
$$

To find $y(0.2)$ given $x_{2}=x_{1}+h=0.2, y_{1}=1.0053$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}=y_{1}+\frac{1}{6}\left[k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right] \\
& k_{1}=h f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=0.0110 \\
& k_{2}=h f\left(x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{1}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\right)=0.01727 \\
& k_{3}=h f\left(x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{1}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}\right)=0.01728 \\
& k_{4}=h f\left(x_{1}+h, y_{1}+k_{3}\right)=0.02409 \\
& \mathrm{y}(0.2)=1.0227
\end{aligned}
$$

## Euler's Method:

Let $\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ be given

- The simple Euler's formula $y(x+h)=y(x)+h f(x, y)$
- The improved Euler's formula $y(x+h)=y(x)+h / 2[f(x, y)+f\{x+h, y+h f(x, y)\}]$
- The modified Euler's formula $y(x+h)=y(x)+h f[x+h / 2, y+h / 2 f(x, y)]$

1. Given that $5 x \frac{d y}{d x}+y^{2}-2=0, y(4)=1$, find $y(4.1)$ and $y(4.2)$ by Euler's method.

Soln.: The given equation is $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{2-y^{2}}{5 x}=f(x, y)---(1)$
Given $x_{0}=4, y_{0}=1$
The simple Euler's formula $y(x+h)=y(x)+h f(x, y)=y(x)+h\left[\frac{2-y^{2}}{5 x}\right]---(2)$
By taking $\mathrm{h}=0.1, x_{1}=x_{0}+h=4+0.1=4.1$,
$x_{2}=x_{1}+h=4.1+0.1=4.2$

$$
y\left(x_{1}\right)=y\left(x_{0}\right)+0.1\left[\frac{2-y_{0}{ }^{2}}{5 x_{0}}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{y}(4.1)=1+0.1\left[\frac{2-1^{2}}{5(4)}\right]=1.005, \quad x_{1}=4.1, y_{1}=1.005 \\
& \mathrm{y}\left(x_{2}\right)=\mathrm{y}\left(x_{1}\right)+0.1\left[\frac{2-y_{1}{ }^{2}}{5 x_{1}}\right] \\
& \mathrm{y}(4.2)=1.005+0.1\left[\frac{2-(1.005)^{2}}{5(4.1)}\right]=1.0098, x_{2}=4.2, y_{2}=1.0098
\end{aligned}
$$

## Predictor corrector method:

To solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$, by knowing 4 consecutive values of y namely $y_{n-3} y_{n-2,} y_{n-1}$, and $y_{n}$

## Milne's Predictor formula

$y_{n+1, p}=y_{n-3}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2{y_{n-2}}^{\prime}-y_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}+2 y_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right]$
when $n=3, y_{4, p}=y_{0}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2{y_{1}}^{\prime}-y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+2 y_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right]$

## Milne's Corrector formula

$y_{n+1, c}=y_{n-1}+\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}+4{y_{n}}^{\prime}+y_{n+1}{ }^{\prime}\right]$
when $n=3, y_{4, c}=y_{2}+\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+4 y_{3}{ }^{\prime}+y_{4}{ }^{\prime}\right]$
Problem 1: Find $y(2)$ if $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{(x+y)}{2}$ given $y(0)=2, y(0.5)=2.636, y(1)=3.595$ and $y(1.5)=$ 4.968

Solution:Here
$x_{0}=0, x_{1}=0.5, x_{2}=1, x_{3}=1.5, x_{4}=2, h=0.5, y_{0}=2, y_{1}=2.636, y_{2}=$ $3.595, y_{3}=4.968, f(x, y)=y^{\prime}=\frac{(x+y)}{2}$

Milne's Predictor formula $y_{4, p}=y_{0}+\frac{4 k}{3}\left[2 y_{1}{ }^{\prime}-y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+2 y_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right]---(2)$
From (1) $y_{1}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{\left(x_{1}+y_{1}\right)}{2}=\frac{(0.5+2.636)}{2}=1.5680, y_{2}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{\left(x_{2}+y_{2}\right)}{2}=2.2975, y_{3}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{\left(x_{\mathrm{s}}+y_{3}\right)}{2}=3.2340$
$y_{4, p}=2+\frac{4(0.5)}{3}[2(1.568)-2.2975+2(3.2340)]=6.8710$
$y_{4}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{\left(x_{4}+y_{4}\right)}{2}=4.4355$, Milne's Corrector formula $y_{4, c}=y_{2}+\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+4 y_{3}{ }^{\prime}+y_{4}{ }^{\prime}\right]$
$y_{4, c}=3.595+\frac{0.5}{3}[2.2975+4(3.234)+4.4355]=6.8732$

Note:
Suppose
$y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ values are not given then by using Taylor'sseries method, Euler'smethod and

## $R$. $K$ method to get all initial values.

Problem 2: Determine the value of $y(0.4)$ using Milne's method given that $y^{\prime}=x y+y^{2}, y(0)=1$, use Taylor's method find $\mathrm{y}(0.1), \mathrm{y}(0.2), \mathrm{y}(0.3)$

Solution: Given $\mathrm{y}(0)=1, x_{0}=0, y_{0}=1$

| $y^{\prime}=x y+y^{2}$ | $y_{0}{ }^{\prime}=x_{0} y_{0}+y_{0}{ }^{2}=1$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{1}^{\prime}=x_{1} y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}= \\ & 1.3587 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{2}^{\prime}=x_{2} y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}= \\ & 1.8853 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y^{\prime \prime}=x y^{\prime}+y+2 y y^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}=x_{0} y_{0}^{\prime}+y_{0}+2 y_{0} y_{0}{ }^{\prime} \\ & =3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{1}^{\prime} \prime=x_{1} y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1}+2 y_{1} y_{1}^{\prime} \\ & =4.2871 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}=x_{2} y_{2}^{\prime}+y_{2}+2 y_{2} y_{2}{ }^{\prime} \\ & =6.4677 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & y^{\prime \prime \prime}= \\ & x y^{\prime}+2 y^{\prime}+2 y y^{\prime}+2 y^{\prime 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime=} \\ & x_{0} y_{0,2}^{\prime \prime}+2 y_{0}{ }^{\prime}+2 y_{0} y_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}+ \\ & 2 y_{0}{ }^{\prime}=10^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}= \\ & x_{1} y_{12}^{\prime \prime}+2 y_{1}{ }^{\prime}+2 y_{1} y_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}+ \\ & 2 y_{1}=16.4131 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime=} \\ & x_{2} y_{2_{2}} \prime \prime+2 y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+2 y_{2} y_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}+ \\ & 2_{y_{2}}{ }^{\prime}=28.6875{ }^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=\left(y_{0}\right)+\frac{h}{1!} y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots=1+(0.1)+\frac{(0.01)}{2} 3+\frac{(0.001)}{6} 10 \\
& =1+0.1+0.015+0.001666=1.1167 \\
& \begin{aligned}
y_{2}=\left(y_{1}\right)+\frac{h}{1!} y_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}+\cdots \\
\quad=1.1167+(0.1) 1.3587+\frac{(0.01)}{2} 4.2871+\frac{(0.001)}{6} 16.4131
\end{aligned} \\
& y_{2}=y(0.2)=1.2767
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
y_{3}=\left(y_{2}\right)+\frac{h}{1!} y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}+\cdots
$$

$$
=1.2767+(0.1) 1.8853+\frac{(0.01)}{2} 6.4677+\frac{(0.001)}{6} 28.6875
$$

$$
y_{3}=y(0.3)=1.5023
$$

| $x_{0}=0$ | $y_{0}=1$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{1}=0.1$ | $y_{1}=y(0.1)=1.1167$ | $y_{1}{ }^{\prime}=1.3587$ |
| $x_{2}=0.2$ | $y_{2}=y(0.2)=1.2767$ | $y_{2}{ }^{\prime}=1.8853$ |
| .3 | $y_{3}=y(0.3)=1.5023$ | $y_{3}{ }^{\prime}=2.7076$ |


|  |  | $y_{4}{ }^{\prime}=4.09296$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Milne's Predictor formula $y_{4, p}=y_{0}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2{y_{1}}^{\prime}-y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+2 y_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right]=1.83297$
Milne's Corrector formula $y_{4, c}=y_{2}+\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+4 y_{3}{ }^{\prime}+{y_{4}}^{\prime}\right]=1.83698$

## Adam's Bashforth Predictor Corrector formula:

## Adam's Predictor formula

$y_{n+1, p}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{24}\left[55 y_{n}{ }^{\prime}-59 y_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}+37 y_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}-9 y_{n-3}{ }^{\prime}\right]$
when $n=3, y_{4, p}=y_{3}+\frac{h}{24}\left[55 y_{3}{ }^{\prime}-59 y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+37 y_{1}{ }^{\prime}-9 y_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right]$

## Adam's Corrector formula

$y_{n+1, c}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{24}\left[9 y_{n+1}^{\prime}+19 y_{n}{ }^{\prime}-5 y_{n-1}^{\prime}+y_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}\right]$
when $n=3, y_{4, c}=y_{3}+\frac{h}{24}\left[9 y_{4}^{\prime}+19{y_{3}}^{\prime}-5 y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+y_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right]$
Problem 3: : Find $\mathrm{y}(2)$ by Adam's method if $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{(x+y)}{2}$ given $\mathrm{y}(0)=2, \mathrm{y}(0.5)=2.636, \mathrm{y}(1)=$ 3.595 and $\mathrm{y}(1.5)=4.968$

Solution:
Here
$x_{0}=0, x_{1}=0.5, x_{2}=1, x_{3}=1.5, x_{4}=2, h=0.5, y_{0}=2, y_{1}=2.636, y_{2}=$ $3.595, y_{3}=4.968, f(x, y)=y^{\prime}=\frac{(x+y)}{2}$

From (1) $y_{1}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{\left(x_{1}+y_{1}\right)}{2}=\frac{(0.5+2.636)}{2}=1.5680, y_{2}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{\left(x_{2}+y_{2}\right)}{2}=2.2975, y_{3}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{\left(x_{8}+y_{3}\right)}{2}=3.2340$
$y_{4, p}=y_{3}+\frac{h}{24}\left[55 y_{3}{ }^{\prime}-59 y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+37 y_{1}{ }^{\prime}-9 y_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right]$

$$
=4.968+\frac{(0.5)}{24}[55(3.2340)-59(2.2975)+37(1.5680)-9(1)]=6.8708
$$

$y_{4}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{\left(x_{4}+y_{4}\right)}{2}=4.4354, \quad y_{4, c}=y_{3}+\frac{h}{24}\left[9 y_{4}{ }^{\prime}+19 y_{3}{ }^{\prime}-5 y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+y_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right]$
$y(2)=y_{4}=6.8731$

## Boundary value problems - by Finite Difference Method:

When the differential equation is to be solved satisfying the conditions specified at the end points of an interval, the problem is called a boundary value problem.

Problem 1. Solve, by finite difference method, the boundary value problem y' ${ }^{\prime}(x)-y(x)=0$, where $\mathrm{y}(0)=0$ and $\mathrm{y}(1)=1$, taking $\mathrm{h}=1 / 4$

Solution: The finite difference approximation of the given differential equation is
$\left(\frac{y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}}{h^{2}}\right)-y_{i}=0$, i.e. $y_{i-1}-\left(2+\frac{1}{16}\right) y_{i}+y_{i+1}=0$,
i.e., $y_{i-1}-2.0625 y_{i}+y_{i+1}=0, \mathrm{i}=1,2,3, \ldots$ (1)

The boundary conditions are $y_{0}=y(0)=0$, and $y_{4}=y(1)=1----(2)$
From (1) and (2) we have
$0-2.0625 \mathrm{y}_{1}+\mathrm{y}_{2}=0$
$\mathrm{y}_{1}-2.0625 \mathrm{y}_{2}+\mathrm{y}_{3}=0$
$\mathrm{y}_{2}-2.0625 \mathrm{y}_{3}+\mathrm{I}=0$
Solving the equations (3), (4) and (5), we get $\mathrm{y}_{1}=\mathrm{y}(0.25)=0.2151, \mathrm{y}_{2}=\mathrm{y}(0.5)=0.4437, \mathrm{y}_{2}=\mathrm{y}(0.75)=0.7$

Problem 2. Solve the equation $y^{\prime \prime}(x)-[14 / x] y^{\prime}(x)+x^{3} y(x)=2 x^{3}$, for $y(1 / 3)$ and $y(2 / 3)$, given that $\mathrm{y}(0)=2$ and $\mathrm{y}(1)=0$.
Soln.: The finite difference approximation of the given differential equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}}{h^{2}}\right)-\frac{14}{x_{i}}\left(\frac{y_{i+1}-y_{i-1}}{2 h}\right)+x_{i}^{3} y_{i}=2 x_{i}^{3}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\mathrm{h}=1 / 3$, we get
$\left(1+\frac{7}{3 x_{i}}\right) y_{i-1}-\left(2-\frac{1}{9}\right) y_{i}+\left(1+\frac{7}{3 x_{i}}\right) y_{i+1}=\frac{2}{9} x_{i}^{3}$
Putting $\mathrm{i}=1,2$ and using $\mathrm{x}_{1}=1 / 3$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}=2 / 3$ in (2), we have
$8 \mathrm{y}_{0}-\frac{485}{243} y_{1}-6 y_{2}=\frac{2}{243}$
$\frac{9}{2} y_{1}-\frac{478}{243} y_{2}-\frac{5}{2} y_{3}=\frac{18}{243}$
Using $y_{0}=y(0)=2$, and $y_{3}=y(1)=0$ in (3) and (4), we have
$485 \mathrm{y}_{1}+1458 \mathrm{y}_{2}=3886-----(5)$
$2187 \mathrm{y}_{1}-956 \mathrm{y}_{2}=36--------(6)$
Solving (5) and (6) we get $y_{1}=y(1 / 3)=1.0315$ and $y_{2}=y(2 / 3)=2.3222$
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Unit - V

## Numerical Solution of Partial Differential equations

## Solution of Laplace Equation and Poisson equation

Partial differential equations with boundary conditions can be solved in a region by replacing the partial derivative by their finite difference approximations. The finite difference approximations to partial derivatives at a point $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ are given below:
$u_{x}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\frac{u\left(x_{i+1}, y_{i}\right)-u\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)}{h}$
$u_{y}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\frac{u\left(x_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)-u\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)}{k}$
$u_{x x}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\frac{u_{x}\left(x_{i+1}, y_{i}\right)-u_{x}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)}{h}=\frac{u\left(x_{i+1}, y_{i}\right)-2 u\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)+u\left(x_{i-1}, y_{i}\right)}{h^{2}}$
$u_{y y}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\frac{u_{y}\left(x_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)-u_{y}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)}{k}=\frac{u\left(x_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)-2 u\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)+u\left(x_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)}{k^{2}}$

## Graphical Representation

The $x y$ plane is divided into small rectangles of length $h$ and breadth $k$ by drawing the lines $x=i h$ and $y=i k$, parallel to the coordinate axes. The points of intersection of these lines are called grid points or mesh points or lattice points. The grid points $\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)$ is denoted by $(i, j)$ and is surrounded by the neighbouring grid points $(i-1, j)$ to the left, $(i+1, j)$ to the right, $(i, j+1)$ above and $(i, j-1)$ below.

## Note

The most general linear P.D.E of second order can be written as

$$
A \frac{\partial^{z} u}{\partial x^{2}}+B \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+C \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+D \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+E \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+F u=f(x, y)
$$

where $A, B, C, D, E, F$ are in general functions of $x$ and $y$.

A partial differential equation in the above form is said to be

- Elliptic if $B^{2}-4 A C<0$
- Parabolic if $B^{2}-4 A C=0$
- Hyperbolic if $B^{2}-4 A C>0$


## Standard Five Point Formula (SFPF)

$$
u_{i, j}=\frac{1}{4}\left[u_{i-1, j}+u_{i+1, j}+u_{i, j-1}+u_{i, j+1}\right]
$$

## Diagonal Five Point Formula (DFPF)

$$
u_{i, j}=\frac{1}{4}\left[u_{i-1, j-1}+u_{i+1, j-1}+u_{i+1, j+1}+u_{i-1, j+1}\right]
$$

Solution of Laplace equation $u_{x x}+u_{y y}=0$

## Leibmann's Iteration Process

We compute the initial values of $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots . . u_{9}$ by using standard five point formula and diagonal five point formula . First we compute $u_{5}$ by standard five point formula (SFPF).
$u_{5}=\frac{1}{4}\left[b_{7}+b_{15}+b_{11}+b_{3}\right]$

We compute $u_{1}, u_{3}, u_{7} . u_{9}$ by using diagonal five point formula (DFPF)
$u_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left[b{ }_{1}+u_{5}+b_{3}+b_{15}\right]$
$u_{3}=\frac{1}{4}\left[u_{5}+b_{5}+b_{3}+b_{7}\right]$
$u_{7}=\underline{1}_{4}\left[b_{13}+u_{5}+b_{15}+b_{11}\right]$
$u_{9}=\underline{1}_{4}\left[b_{7}+b_{11}+b_{9}+u_{5}\right]$

Finally we compute $u_{2}, u_{4}, u_{6}, u_{8}$ by using standard five point formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left[u_{5}+b_{3}+u_{1}+u_{3}\right] \\
& u_{4}=\frac{1}{4}\left[u_{1}+u_{5}+b_{15}+u_{7}\right] \\
& u_{6}=\frac{1}{4}\left[u_{3}+u_{9}+u_{5}+b_{7}\right] \\
& u_{8}=\underline{4}_{4}\left[u_{7}+b_{11}+u_{9}+u_{5}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve the system of simultaneous equations obtained by finite difference method to get the value at the interior mesh points. This process is called Leibmann's method.

## Problems

1. Classify the PDE $u_{x x}+4 u_{x y}+\left(x^{2}+4 y^{2}\right) u_{y y}=0$

Solution: Here $\mathrm{A}=1, \mathrm{~B}=4, \mathrm{C}=x^{2}+4 y^{2}, B^{2}-4 A C=16-4\left(x^{2}+4 y^{2}\right)$,
The equation is elliptic, if

$$
4-x^{2}-4 y^{2}<0, x^{2}+4 y^{2}>4, \frac{x^{2}}{4}+\frac{y^{2}}{1}>1 .
$$

It is elliptic in the region outside the ellipse $\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{4}+1$.
It is Hyperbolic inside the ellipse $\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{4}+1$.
It is parabolic on the ellipse $\frac{x^{2}}{4}+\frac{y^{2}}{1}=1$.
2. Solve $u_{x x}+u_{y y}=0$ for the following square mesh with boundary values as shown in the figure below.

| A | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | B |
|  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 4 |
| 2 |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |

Solution: The boundary values are symmetrical about the diagonal AC but not about BD . Let the values at the interval grid points be $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$.

By Symmetry,

$$
u_{2}=u_{3} ; u_{1} \neq u_{4}
$$

Assume $u_{2}=3\left(\right.$ Since $\left.u_{2}=2+\frac{1}{3}(5-2)=3\right)$.
Rough values $\quad-u_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(1+1+2 u_{2}\right) ;=2 .(\mathrm{SFPF})$.
$u_{2}=3, u_{4}=\frac{1}{4}\left(5+5+2 u_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(5+u_{2}\right)=4$
First Iteration: $u_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+u_{2}\right)=2, u_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(6+u_{1}+u_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{4}(6+2+4)=3, u_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(5+u_{2}\right)=4$.
Result $u_{1}=2, u_{2}=3, u_{4}=4$.
3. Solve $\mathrm{Uxx}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Uy}}=0$ over the square mesh of side 4 unit satisfying the boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{U}(0, y)=0 \text { for } 0 \leq y \leq 4, u(4, y)=12+y \text { for } 0 \leq y \leq 4, u(x, 0)=3 x \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq \\
& 4, u(x, 4)=x^{2} \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq 4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution:
We divide the square mesh into 16 sub-squares of side 1 unit and calculate the
numerical values of $u$ on the boundary
using given analytical expressions.

| 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U1 | U2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U} \\ & 3 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 | U4 | U5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U} \\ & 6 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 | U7 | U8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U} \\ & 9 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 | 3 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |

Let the internal grid points be
$!u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3, \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . .} u_{9}$.

Rough values: $U_{5} \equiv 1 / 4(4+6+14+0)=6(\mathrm{SFPF})$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
U_{1} & =1 / 4(0+6+4+0)=2.5 \\
& -U_{3}=1 / 4(16+6+14+4)=10 \\
& (\mathrm{DFPF}) \\
& \mathrm{U}_{7}=1 / 4(0+6+6+0)=3 \\
& (\mathrm{DFPF}) \\
9 & 1 / 4(6+6+14+12)=9.5
\end{array} \quad(\mathrm{DFPF})\right) ~ \$
$$

We use SFPF to get the other values of $u$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -U_{2}=1 / 4(2.5+6+4+10)=5.625(\mathrm{SFPF}) \\
& -U_{4}=1 / 4(0+6+2.5+3)=3.125 \quad(\mathrm{SFPF}) \\
& -U_{6}=1 / 4(10+6+14+9.5)=9.875(\mathrm{SFPF}) \\
& -U_{8}=1 / 4(6+6+3+9.5)=6.125 \quad(\mathrm{SFPF})
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we proceed for iteration using always SFPF.

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| U 1 | U 2 | U 3 |
| 2.4375 | 5.6094 | 9.8711 |
| 2.3672 | 5.5888 | 9.8652 |


| 1000 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | U5 | U6 |
| 2.8594 | 6.1172 | 9.8721 |
| 2.8698 | 6.1209 | 9.8731 |
|  |  |  |
| U7 | U8 | U9 |
| 2.9948 | 6.153 | 9.5063 |
| 3.0057 | 6.1582 | 9.5078 |

Repeating one more iteration, we conclude, correct to 2 decimals,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}=2.37, u_{2}= & 5.59, u_{3}=9.87, u_{4}=2.88, u_{5}=6.13, u_{6}=9.88, u_{7}=3.01, u_{8}=6.16, u_{9} \\
& =9.51 .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Solve the equation $\nabla^{2} u=0$ for the following mesh, with boundary values as shown using Leibmann's iteration process.


Sol:

Let $u_{1}, u_{2} \ldots . . u_{9}$ be the values of u at the interior mesh points of the given region. By symmetry about the vertical lines $A B$ and the horizontal line $C D$, we observe
$u_{1}=u_{3}=u_{9}=u_{7} ; u_{2}=u_{8} ; u_{4}=u_{6}$
Hence it is enough to find $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{4}$,
Using SFPF $u_{5}=1500$
Using DFPF $u_{1}=1125 u_{2}=1187.5 u_{4}=1437.5$

## Solution of Poisson equation

An equation of the type $\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}=f(x, y)$ i.e., is called Poisson's equation where $f(x, y)$ is a function of $x$ and $y$. Substituting the finite difference approximations to the partial differential coefficients, we get $u_{i-1, j}+u_{i+1, j}+u_{i, j-1}+u_{i, j+1}-4 u_{i, j}=h^{2} f(i h, j h)$

## Problem: 1

Solve the poisson equation $\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}=-10\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+10\right)$ over the square mesh with sides

Applying the formula below at the interior point of the mesh we get a system of simultaneous

$$
\text { equations } u_{i-1, j}+u_{i+1, j}+u_{i, j-1}+u_{i, j+1}-4 u_{i, j}=h^{2} f(i h, j h)
$$

2. Solve $\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}=8 \mathrm{X}^{2} \mathrm{Y}^{2}$ for square mesh given $\mathrm{u}=0$ on the 4 boundaries dividing the square into 16 sub-squares of length 1 unit.

Solution:

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | U1 | U2 | U3 |
|  | U4 | U5 | U6 |
|  | U7 | U8 | U9 |

Take the coordinate system with origin at the center of the square.
Since the boundary conditions are symmetrical about the $x$, $y$ axes and $x=y$, we have
$\mathrm{U} 1=\mathrm{U} 3=\mathrm{U} 7=\mathrm{U} 9, \mathrm{U} 2=\mathrm{U} 4=\mathrm{U} 6=\mathrm{U} 8$

At $\mathrm{i}=-1, \mathrm{j}=-1$, we have,
At $\mathrm{i}=0, \mathrm{j}=1$, we have,
At $\mathrm{i}=0, \mathrm{j}=0$, we have,

## Solution of One dimensional heat equation

In this chapter, we will discuss the finite difference solution of one dimensional heat flow equation by Explicit and implicit method

## Explicit Method (Bender-Schmidt method)

Consider the one dimensional heat equation .This equation is an example of parabolic equation.

## Implicit method (Crank-Nicholson method)

This expression is called Crank-Nicholson's implicit scheme. We note that Crank Nicholson's scheme converges for all values of $\lambda$

When $\lambda=1$, i.e., $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{ah}^{2}$ the simplest form of the formula is given by ]

4
The use of the above simplest scheme is given below.

The value of $u$ at $A=A v e r a g e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ v a l u e s ~ o f ~ u t h, ~ C, ~ D, ~ E ~ A ~$

## Note

In this scheme, the values of $u$ at a time step are obtained by solving a system of linear equations in the unknowns $u_{i}$.

## Solved Examples

1.Solve $u_{x x}=2 u_{t}$ when $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{t})=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{t})=\mathbf{0}$ and with initial condition $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})=\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{4}-\mathbf{x})$. Assume $\mathrm{h}=1$. Find the values of u up to $\mathrm{t}=5$ by Bender-Schmidt recurrence equation.

Solution: Here $\mathrm{a}=2$. By Bender-Schmidt recurrence relation, Step -size in time $=\mathrm{k}=1$. The values of are tabulated below

| j | i | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1.5 | 2 | 1.5 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0.75 | 1 | 0.75 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 |

subject to the conditions $u(0, t)=u(5, t)=0$ and
$u(x, 0) \quad$ taking $\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{2}$,tabulate the values of $\mathbf{u}$ upto $t=4$ sec.

## Sol:

Here $\mathrm{a}=1, \mathrm{~h}=1$
For $\lambda=1 / 2$,we must choose $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{ah}^{2} / 2$
$\mathrm{K}=1 / 2$
The values of u upto 4 sec are tabulated as follows

| j\i | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 24 | 84 | 144 | 144 | 0 |
| 0.5 | 0 | 42 | 84 | 144 | 72 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 42 | 78 | 78 | 57 | 0 |
| 1.5 | 0 | 39 | 60 | 67.5 | 39 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 30 | 53.25 | 49.5 | 33.75 | 0 |
| 2.5 | 0 | 26.625 | 39.75 | 43.5 | 24.75 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 19.875 | 35.0625 | 32.25 | 21.75 | 0 |
| 3.5 | 0 | 17.5312 | 26.0625 | 28.4062 | 16.125 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 13.0312 | 22.9687 | 21.0938 | 14.2031 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3. Using Crank-Nicholson scheme, solve $U_{x X}-16 U_{t}=0$, given $u(x, 0)=0$, $\mathrm{u}(0, \mathrm{t})=0, \mathrm{u}(1, \mathrm{t})=100 \mathrm{t}$. Compute
for one step in t direction taking $\mathrm{h}=1 / 4$

Solution: Here $\mathrm{a}=16, \mathrm{~h}=1 / 4, \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{ah}^{2}, \quad 16(1 / 16)=1$.
4. Solve $U_{X X}-32 U_{t}=0$ taking $h=0.25$ for $t>0,0<x<1$ and $u(x, 0)=0, u(0, t)=0, u(1, t)=t$ using Bender-

Schmidt method.
Solution: The range of x is $(0,1) ; \mathrm{h}=0.25$

| J | i | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 2 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 1 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 1.625 | 4 |
| 5 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.875 | 2.25 | 5 |

## Solution of One dimensional wave equation

## Introduction

The one dimensional wave equation is of hyperbolic type. In this chapter, we discuss the finite difference solution of the one dimensional wave equation $u_{t t} \quad a^{2} u_{x x}$.

## Explicit method to solve $u$

## Problems

1.Solve numerically , $4 u_{x x} \quad U_{t t} \quad$ with the boundary conditions $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0 , t})=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{t})=\mathbf{0}$ and the initial conditions $u_{t}(x, 0)=0 \& u(x, 0) x(4-x)$, taking $\mathbf{h}=1$. Compute u upto $\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{3 s e c}$.
Sol:
Here $\mathrm{a}^{2}=4$

$$
\mathrm{A}=2 \text { and } \mathrm{h}=1
$$

We choose $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ak}=1 / 2$

The values of $u$ for steps $t=1,1.5,2,2.5,3$ are calculated using (1) and tabulated below.

| $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{l i}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | -2 | 3 | -2 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | -3 | -4 | 3 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | -2 | -3 | -2 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$x(4 \quad x)$
2.Solve $u_{x x}=1 / 4 u_{t t}$ Given $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{t})=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{t})=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})=u(x, 0) \quad \& u_{t}(x, 0)=0$.Take $\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{1}$.Find the solution upto 5 steps in t-direction.

## Sol:

Here $\mathrm{a}^{2}=4$
$\mathrm{A}=2$ and $\mathrm{h}=1$
We choose $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ak}=1 / 2$

The values of $u$ upto $t=5$ are tabulated below.

| $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{l i}$ | $\mathbf{l \| l \| l \| l \| l \|}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1.5 | $\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | -1 | -1.5 | -1 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | -1.5 | -2 | -1.5 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | -1 | -1.5 | -1 | 0 |

3. Solve, $\quad 25 U_{x x}=U_{t t}$ for $u$ at the pivotal points, given $u(0, t)=u(5, t)=0$, $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{x}, 0)=0 \quad$ and $\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}, 0)=2 \mathrm{x}$ for $0<\mathrm{x}<2.5,=10-2 \mathrm{x}$, for $2.5<\mathrm{x}<5$ for one half
period of vibration.
Solution: $a^{2}=25, a=5$
Period of vibration=21/a=2 seconds. Half period=1 second. We want values $u p$ to $\mathrm{t}=1$ second. Taking $\mathrm{h}=1, \mathrm{k}==1 / 5$. Step-size in t direction=1/5.
The explicit scheme is,
we have $u(0,0)=0, u(1,0)=2, u(2,0)=4, u(3,0)=4, u(4,0)=2, u(5,0)=0$

| T x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| $1 / 5$ | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| $2 / 5$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $3 / 5$ | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| $4 / 5$ | 0 | -2 | -3 | -3 | -2 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -4 | -2 | 0 |

## SATHYABAMA INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

## Course and Branch: B. Sc Maths <br> Course Name: NUMERICAL METHODS <br> Course Code: SMT1405

## UNIT 1 DIRECT AND ITERATIVE METHODS <br> PART - A

1. Explaintwo indirect methods to solve simultaneous linear Algebraic equations.
2. Determine the principle used in Gauss Seidel Method.
3. Solve the system of equations $x-2 y=0$ and $2 x+y=5$ by Gauss Elimination method.
4. Using Gauss Jacobi method solve $x-3 y=1,3 x+y=4$.
5. Evaluate the dominant eigen value of $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4\end{array}\right]$ by power method.
(1)
6. By Gauss Elimination method $4 x-3 y=11,3 x+2 y=4$ estimate the value of $x$ and $y$
7. Write any two direct methods in linear Algebraic equations
8. Define Diagonally dominant
9. Discuss power method to calculate dominant eigen value.
10. $4 x+2 y+z=14, x+5 y-z=10, x+y+8 z=20$ check the given system of equation is diagonally dominant.

PART - B

1. Estimate the following system of equations by Gauss Seidel method $28 x+4 y-z=32, x+3 y+10 z=24,2 x+17 y+4 z=35$.
2. Calculate following system of equation by using Gauss - Seidel Jacobi method
$x+17 y-2 z=48$
$30 x-2 y+3 z=75$
$2 x+2 y+18 z=30$
3. Evaluate the following equation using Gauss-Jocobi method
$10 x+y+z=12,2 x+10 y+z=13, x+y+5 z=7$
4. By Gauss Elimination method solve

$$
\begin{align*}
& x+3 y+8 z=4  \tag{1}\\
& x+4 y+3 z=-2  \tag{1}\\
& x+3 y+4 z=1
\end{align*}
$$

5. Examine the system of equations using Gauss Seidel method.
$8 x-y+z=18$
$2 x+5 y-2 z=3$
$x+y-3 z=-16$
6. Solve the following system of equations by using Gauss-Jocobi method and GaussSeidel method:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}=1, \\
& 3 x_{1}+6 x_{2}+2 x_{3}=0,  \tag{1}\\
& 3 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+7 x_{3}=4
\end{align*}
$$

7. Find the dominant Eigen value and Eigen vector of $A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3\end{array}\right)$ by Power method. (1)
8. Manipulate the following system of equation by Gauss - Seidel method:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 10 x-5 y-2 z=3 \\
& 4 x-10 y+3 z=-3  \tag{1}\\
& x+6 y+10 z=-3
\end{align*}
$$

$$
x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}=1
$$

9. Recall the system of equation by Gaussian elimination method $-3 x_{1}+2 x_{2}-3 x_{3}=-6$ (1)

$$
2 x_{1}-5 x_{2}+4 x_{3}=5
$$

$$
x+5 y+z=14
$$

10. By Gaussian elimination method evaluate: $2 x+y+3 z=13$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 x+y+4 z=17 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## UNIT 2 NUMERICAL DIFFERENTIATION AND INTEGRATION

## PART - A

1. State Lagrange's interpolation formula.
2. Write Gregory-Newton forward interpolation formula.
3. Listthe first and second derivative of Newton's backward formula.
4. Form the difference table for the points $(0,-1),(1,1),(2,1)$ and $(3,-2)$.
5. From the following table, find the rate of growth of the population in 1931

| Year x: | 1931 | 1941 | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population in thousands y: | 40.62 | 60.80 | 79.95 | 103.56 | 132.65 |

6. Evaluate $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ using Trapezoidal rule with $\mathrm{h}=0.2$
7. Evaluate $\int_{0.2}^{1.4}\left(\sin x-\log x+e^{x}\right) d x$ by Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ rule.
8. A river is 80 meters wide. The depth " $d$ " in meters at a distance "x" meters from one bank is given by the following table. Calculate the area of cross-section of the river using Simpson's $\left(\frac{1}{1}\right)^{r d}$ rule.

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~d}:$ | 0 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 8 | 3 |

9. Write down Trapezoidal rule to evaluate $\int_{1}^{6} f(x) d x$ with $\mathrm{h}=0.5$
10. Recall the errors in trapezoidal and Simpson's rule of numerical integration.
11. Explain the order of errors in trapezoidal and Simpson's rule of numerical integration.
PART - B
12. Using Lagrange's formula calculate $f(3)$ from the following table.

| X | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 1 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 19 |

2. Estimate $y(9.5)$ using Lagrange's formula of interpolation

| X | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Y | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 |

3. Infer the number of student whose weight is between 80 and 90

| Weight: | $0-40$ | $40-60$ | $60-80$ | $80-100$ | $100-120$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of students: | 250 | 120 | 100 | 70 | 50 |

4. Discuss the age corresponding to the annuity value $y=13.6$ given the table
(2)

| Age $(x):$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Annuity Value $(y):$ | 15.9 | 14.9 | 14.1 | 13.3 | 12.5 |

5. Form the parabola of the form $y=a x^{2}+b x+c$ passing through the points $(0,0),(1,1)$, $(2,20)$.
6. The following data are taken from the steam table.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Temperature }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: & 140 & 150 & 160 & 170 & 180\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Pressure } \mathrm{kgf} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \text { : } & 3.685 & 4.854 & 6.302 & 8.076 & 10.225\end{array}$
Calculate the pressure at temperature $t=142^{\circ}$ and $t=175^{\circ}$.
7. By dividing the range into 10 equal parts, evaluate $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x$ by Simpson's $1 / 3$ th rule and Trapezoidal rule.
8. Evaluate $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} d x$ by dividing the range of integration into 4 equal parts using (a) Trapezoidal rule, (b) Simpson's rule.
9. Dividing the range into 10 equal parts, find the approximate value of $\int_{4}^{5.2} \log _{e} x d x$ by
(a) Trapezoidal rule
(b) Simpson's rule.
10. From the following table, Compute $\Theta$ at $x=43$ and $x=84$

$$
\begin{array}{rccccccc} 
& \mathrm{x} & ; 40 & 50 & 60 & 70 & 80 & 90 \\
\Theta & ; 184 & 204 & 226 & 250 & 276 & 304 & \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

11. Estimate $y^{\prime}(10), y^{\prime \prime}(5), y^{\prime \prime \prime}(11)$ from the following data:

| X | 5 | 6 | 9 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Y | 12 | 13 | 14 | 16 |

## UNIT 3 POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATION

PART - A

1. Define curve fitting
2. State two categories of fitting a curve to a given set of data points.
3. Explain least-squares polynomials.
4. Recollect piecewise polynomials
5. Discuss the term 'knots' or 'nodes'
6. The conditions which satisfy the spline function $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{x})---$ ?
7. The contribution of Russian mathematician Chebyshev in minimizing the truncation error in interpolation is --?
8. Define the natural cubic spline
9. Elaborate the term Chebyshev points.
10. Various approaches for fitting a "best" line through the line.

PART - B

1. Examine whether the following piecewise polynomials are spline or not

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x+1,-1 \leq x \leq 0  \tag{3}\\
2 x+1,0 \leq x \leq 1 \\
4-x, 1 \leq x \leq 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

2. Check the polynomials are spline

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{2}-3 x+1,0 \leq x \leq 1  \tag{3}\\
x^{3}+x^{2}-3,1 \leq x \leq 2 \\
x^{3}+5 x-9,2 \leq x \leq 3
\end{array}\right.
$$

3. Develop cubic splines for the data given below and predict $\mathrm{f}(1.5)$

| X | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 |

4. Fit a straight line using method of least squares to the following data:
(3)

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 14 | 27 | 40 | 55 | 68 |

5. Given the data points

| i | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | 1.5 | 4.5 | 9 | 25.5 |

Estimate the function value at $\mathrm{x}=1.5$ using cubic splines.
6. Frame a straight line to the following set of data

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |

7. Fit a second order polynomial to the data in the table below:

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y$ | 6 | 11 | 18 | 27 |

8. Given the data points

| i | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 4 | 9 | 16 |
| $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| E |  |  |  |

Estimate the function value f at $\mathrm{x}=7$ using cubic splines.
9. The velocity distribution of a fluid near a flat surface is given below:

| x | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0.72 | 1.81 | 2.73 | 3.47 | 3.98 |

X is the distance from the surface $(\mathrm{cm})$ and v is the velocity $(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec})$. Using a suitable interpolation formula obtain the velocity at $\mathrm{x}=0.2,0.4,0.6$ and 0.8
10. Identify a second degree parabola by the method of least square to the following also estimate y at $\mathrm{x}=3.5$.

| X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y | 5 | 12 | 26 | 60 | 97 |

## UNIT 4NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

## PART - A

1. Using Euler's method, calculate $y(0.2)$ if $\frac{d y}{d x}=y-x^{2}, y(0)=1$.
2. Compute $\mathrm{y}(0.1)$ given that $y^{\prime}=1-y, \mathrm{y}(0)=0$ by Taylor's method
3. Define Fourth order Runge-Kutta Method.
4. Solve numerically $y^{\prime}=y+e^{x}, y(0)=0$ for $x=0.2,0.4$ by Euler's Method. (4)
5. Find $y(0.1)$ given $y^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}(x+y), y(0)=1$ by Modified Euler's Method.
6. State Taylor's series formula for $y\left(x_{1}\right)$ in solving $\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y)$ with $y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$.
7. Outline Adam's Bashforth predictor corrector formula.
8. Explain Milne's predictor corrector formula.
9. Write down the recurrence formula for Euler method.
10. Discuss single step and multi-step methods.

## PART - B

1. Apply Taylor's series method, find $y$ when $x=1.1$ from (4 decimal places) $\frac{d y}{d x}=x y^{\frac{1}{3}}, y(1)=1$
2. Estimate $y(0.2)$ from $y^{\prime}=y-x, y(0)=2$ taking $h=0.1$ by the fourth order Runge-Kutta method
3. By Milne's Predictor and Corrector Method, evaluate y (4.4) given $5 x y^{\prime}+y^{2}-2=0$. Given $y(4)=1, y(4.1)=1.0049, y(4.2)=1.0097$ and $y(4.3)=$ 1.0143.
4. Discuss the equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=1-y$, given $y(0)=0$ using Euler's Method and tabulated the solutions at $x=0.1,0.2$ and 0.3 . Compute your results with the Exact Solutions.
(4)
5. Generate $\mathrm{y}(0.8)$ by solving $y^{\prime}=\frac{1}{x+y}, \mathrm{y}(0)=2$ using Milne's predictorcorrector given $\mathrm{y}(0.2)=2.0933, \mathrm{y}(0.4)=2.1755, \mathrm{y}(0.6)=2.2493$.
6. Estimate $\frac{d y}{d x}=y^{2}+x^{2}$ with $\mathrm{y}(0)=1$
(a) Use Taylor series at $\mathrm{x}=0.2$ and $\mathrm{x}=0.4$ and
(b) Use Runge-Kutta method of order 4 at $x=0.6$.
7. Substitute Runge-Kutta method of $4^{\text {th }}$ order, solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{y^{2}-x^{2}}{y^{2}+x^{2}}$ given $y(0)=1$ at $x=0.2,0.4$. Take $h=0.2$.
8. Given that $y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+y=0, y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=0$ obtain $y$ for $x=0.1,0.2$ and 0.3 by Taylor's series method and find the solution for $y(0.4)$ by Milne's Predictor and Corrector Method.
9. Using Adams Bashforth method calculate $\mathrm{y}(0.4)$ given $y^{\prime}=\frac{x y}{2}, \mathrm{y}(0.1)=1.01$, $\mathrm{Y}(0.2)=1.022, \mathrm{y}(0.3)=1.023, \mathrm{y}(0)=1$.
10. The differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=y-x^{2}$ is satisfied by $\mathrm{y}(0)=1, \mathrm{y}(0.2)=1.012186$, $\mathrm{y}(0.4)=1.46820, \mathrm{y}(0.6)=1.7379$. Compute the value of $\mathrm{y}(0.8)$ by Milne's Predictor-Corrector formula.

## UNIT 5 NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS.

 PART - A1. Write down the Crank-Nicholson difference formula.
2. Explain the Explicit scheme to solve one dimensional wave Equation.
3. Define Poisson's Equation.
4. Discuss the Bender-Schmidt recurrence equation.
5. Classify the Partial differential equation $\mathrm{xu}_{\mathrm{xx}}+\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{yy}}=0$ when (i) $\mathrm{x}>0$ (ii) $\mathrm{x}<0$ (iii) x $=0$.
6. State Diagonal five point formula for Laplace equation.
7. Discuss standard five point formula
8. Define period of oscillation
9. What are Lattice points
10. Classify the partial differential equation $x f_{x x}+y f_{y y}=0, x<0, y<0$.

## PART - B

1. Analyze $u_{x x}+u_{y y}=0$ over the square mesh of side 4 units satisfying the following boundary conditions.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (i) } & \mathrm{u}(0, \mathrm{y})=0 \text { for } 0 \leq y \leq 4 & \text { (iii) } \\
\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{x}, 0)=3 \mathrm{x} \text { for } 0 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 4  \tag{5}\\
\text { (ii) } & \mathrm{u}(4, y)=12+\mathrm{y} \text { for } 0 \leq y \leq 4 & \text { (iv) } \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}, 4)=x^{2} \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq 4
\end{array}
$$

2. Estimate $25 u_{x x}-u_{t t}=0$ for u at the pivotal points given $\mathrm{u}(0, \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{u}(5, \mathrm{t})=0, u_{t}(x, 0)=0$ and

$$
\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}, 0)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
2 x, & 0 \leq x \leq 2.5  \tag{5}\\
10-2 x, & 2.5 \leq x \leq 5
\end{array}\right. \text { for one half period of vibration. }
$$

3. Using Leibmann method, solve the equation $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0$ for the following square mesh with boundary values as shown in given figure. Iterate until the maximum difference between successive values at any point is less than 0.001 .

to the conditions $u(x, 0)=0$, $u(1, t)=t$. Compute u for $\mathrm{t}=$
4. $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}$ subject
$u(0, t)=0$,
(5)

1/8 in two steps, using Crank-Nicolson formula.
5. Evaluate using Crank-Nicholson's scheme, solve $u_{x x}=16 u_{t}, 0<x<1, t>0$ given $\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}, 0)=0, \mathrm{u}(0, \mathrm{t})=0, \mathrm{u}(1, \mathrm{t})=100 \mathrm{t}$. Compute u for one step in t direction taking h $=1 / 4$. (5)
6. ApplyLiebmann's method the values at the interior lattice points of a square region of the harmonic function u whose boundary values are as shown in the following figure.

7. Determine $\nabla^{2} u=0$ at all node point for the following square region using boundary conditions.
(5) $\quad 0 \quad 10 \quad 20$

8. Solve $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ given $\mathrm{u}(0, \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{u}(4, \mathrm{t})=0 \mathrm{u}(x, 0)=\frac{1}{2} x_{(4-x)}$, $u_{t}(x, 0)=0$ Taking $\mathrm{h}=1$, find the solutions upto 5 steps in $\mathrm{t}-$ direction.
9. Calculate the Poisson equation $U_{x x}+U_{x x}=-10\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+10\right)$ over the square mesh with sides $\mathrm{x}=0, \mathrm{y}=0, \mathrm{x}=3, \mathrm{y}=3$ with $\mathrm{u}=0$ on the boundary and mesh length lunit, correct to one place of decimal.
10. Interpret the pivotal value of the equation $U_{t t}=U_{x x}$ for $x=0$ (1) 4 and $t=0$ (1) 4, given that $\mathrm{u}(0, \mathrm{t})=0, \mathrm{u}(4, \mathrm{t})=0, \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}, 0)=0, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{x}, 0)=\mathrm{x}(4-\mathrm{x}) / 10$

